

#### **GOVERNING BODY MEETING**

#### 5 September 2019 9.30am to 12.30pm

#### The Snow Room, West Offices, Station Rise, York YO1 6GA

Prior to the commencement of the meeting a period of up to 20 minutes, starting at 9.30am, will be set aside for questions or comments from members of the public who have registered in advance their wish to participate.

The agenda and associated papers will be available at: <u>www.valeofyorkccg.nhs.uk</u>

#### AGENDA

| STA | STANDING ITEMS – 9.50am |  |            |  |  |
|-----|-------------------------|--|------------|--|--|
| 1.  | Verbal                  | Apologies for absence  | To Note    | All  |  |
| 2.  | Verbal                  | Declaration of Members'<br>Interests in the Business of the<br>Meeting | To Note    | All  |  |
| 3.  | Presentat<br>ion        | Patient Story  | To Receive | Michelle Carrington<br>Executive Director of<br>Quality and Nursing /<br>Chief Nurse |  |
| 4.  | Pages<br>5 to 16        | Minutes of the meeting held on 4 July 2019                             | To Approve | All  |  |
| 5.  | Verbal                  | Matters arising from the minutes                                       |            | All  |  |
| 6.  | Pages<br>17 to 25       | Accountable Officer's Report   | To Receive | Executive Team   |  |
| 7.  | Pages<br>27 to 33       | Risk Report  | To Receive | Helena Nowell<br>Planning and Assurance<br>Manager                                   |  |

# FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE – 10.30am

| 8.  | Pages<br>35 to 50  | Financial Performance Report 2019/20 Month 4                                      | To Receive | Simon Bell<br>Chief Finance Officer  |
|-----|--------------------|---|------------|--|
| 9.  | Presentat<br>ion   | Financial Planning  | To Receive | Simon Bell<br>Chief Finance Officer  |
| 10. | Presentat<br>ion   | Long Term Plan for Vale of<br>York and Humber, Coast and<br>Vale Care Partnership | To Receive | Caroline Alexander<br>Assistant Director of<br>Delivery and<br>Performance |
| 11. | Pages<br>51 to 100 | Integrated Performance Report<br>Month 3  | To Receive | Caroline Alexander<br>Assistant Director of<br>Delivery and<br>Performance |

# ASSURANCE – 11.45am

| 12. | Pages<br>101 to<br>120 | Safeguarding Children Annual<br>Report 2018/19   | To Receive | Michelle Carrington<br>Executive Director of<br>Quality and Nursing /<br>Chief Nurse             |
|-----|------------------------|--|------------|--|
| 13. | Pages<br>121 to<br>130 | Update on work relating to<br>physical health checks for<br>people with severe mental<br>illness | To Receive | Denise Nightingale<br>Executive Director of<br>Transformation, Complex<br>Care and Mental Health |
| 14. | Pages<br>131 to<br>145 | Audit Committee Annual<br>Report 2018/19   | To Ratify  | Phil Goatley<br>Audit Committee Chair  |
| 15. | Pages<br>147 to<br>205 | Emergency Preparedness,<br>Resilience and Response –<br>NHS Vale of York CCG<br>Arrangements     | To Approve | Helena Nowell<br>Planning and Assurance<br>Manager   |

### **RECEIVED ITEMS – 12.25pm**

#### Committee minutes are published as separate documents

| 16. | Page<br>206         | Chair's Report Executive Committee: 5 June, 5 and 17 July 2019             |
|-----|---------------------|--|
| 17. | Pages<br>207 to 208 | Chair's Report Audit Committee: 11 July 2019                               |
| 18. | Pages<br>209 to 210 | Chair's Report Finance and Performance Committee: 27 June and 25 July 2019 |
| 19. | Page<br>211         | Chair's Report Primary Care Commissioning Committee: 11 July 2019          |
| 20. | Pages<br>212 to 221 | Medicines Commissioning Committee: 12 June and 10 July 2019                |
|     |                     |  |

## NEXT MEETING

| 21. Verbal 9.30am on 7 November 2019<br>at West Offices, Station Rise,<br>York YO1 6GA | To Note A | All |
|--|-----------|-----|
|--|-----------|-----|

#### CLOSE – 12.30pm

# **EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC**

In accordance with Paragraph 8 of Schedule 2 of the Health and Social Care Act 2012 it is considered that it would not be in the public interest to permit press and public to attend this part of the meeting due to the nature of the business to be transacted as it contains commercially sensitive information which, if disclosed, may prejudice the commercial sustainability of a body.

A glossary of commonly used terms is available at

http://www.valeofyorkccg.nhs.uk/data/uploads/governing-body-papers/governing-body-glossary.pdf

This page is intentionally blank



Item 3

#### Minutes of the Meeting of the NHS Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group Governing Body on 4 July 2019 at West Offices, York YO1 6GA

| <b>Present</b><br>Dr Nigel Wells (NW) (Chair) | Clinical Chair  |
|---|---|
| Simon Bell (SB)                               | Chief Finance Officer   |
| David Booker (DB)                             | Lay Member, Finance and Performance Committee<br>Chair                |
| Michelle Carrington (MC)                      | Executive Director of Quality and Nursing/Chief Nurse                 |
| Dr Helena Ebbs (HE)                           | North Locality GP Representative                                      |
| Phil Goatley (PG)                             | Lay Member, Audit Committee Chair                                     |
| Dr Andrew Lee (AL)                            | Executive Director of Primary Care and Population<br>Health           |
| Phil Mettam (PM)                              | Accountable Officer   |
| Denise Nightingale (DN)                       | Executive Director of Transformation, Complex Care and Mental Health  |
| Dr Ruth Walker (RW)                           | South Locality GP Representative                                      |
| In Attendance (Non Voting)                    |   |
| Dr Aaron Brown (AB)                           | Liaison Officer, YOR Local Medical Committee<br>Vale of York Locality |
| Abigail Combes (AC) – for item 7              | Head of Legal and Governance  |
| Joanne Holmes (JH) – for item 12              | Research and Development Manager                                      |
| Michèle Saidman (MS)                          | Executive Assistant   |
| Ros Savege (RS) - for item 5                  | Carer<br>Discretes of Dublic Haalth, Oite of Vorth Ocurreil           |
| Sharon Stoltz (SS)                            | Director of Public Health, City of York Council                       |

There were four members of the public present.

There were no questions from members of the public.

#### AGENDA

The agenda was discussed in the following order.

#### STANDING ITEMS

#### 1. Apologies

There were no apologies.

# 2. Declaration of Members' Interests in Relation to the Business of the Meeting

There were no declarations of interest in the business of the meeting. All declarations were as per the Register of Interests.

RS joined the meeting

#### 3. Patient Story

MC introduced RS who had previously presented her story at the Quality and Patient Experience Committee.

RS described her family situation and experiences in seeking appropriate support for her 31 year old daughter 'A' who had experienced issues for around 12 years prior to being referred to the Early Intervention Psychosis Team approximately four years ago by her GP. 'A' and the family had received excellent support from this team, including family therapy and depot injections, which had reduced 'A's psychosis and enabled a greater understanding of her illness.

When 'A's' three year entitlement to Early Intervention Psychosis support came to an end she had been transferred to the Community Mental Health Team. RS explained that their approach was very different being patient, not family, centred and did not provide the same level of support.

RS noted that 'A' was having a relatively stable period but detailed the current main issue, supported housing for 'A'. As the family lived outside the City of York 'A' was being offered housing in Selby which meant she would be removed from all her support mechanisms, including six hours a week of arranged activity at an art group and St Nick's. This was a major concern as 'A' needed continuity and a relationship with service providers to enable her to develop trust. RS was attending a meeting the following week to express her concerns.

RS highlighted that she was fortunate in being articulate on behalf of her daughter noting concern that others may not have this advantage. RS also explained the pressure experienced by herself and her husband in living with 'A's challenging behaviour and detailed concerns for the future in view of their respective ages.

Members expressed appreciation to RS for her comprehensive account of her situation and NW assured her that it was the CCG's responsibility to assist.

In response to members seeking further clarification, RS emphasised that three years support from the Early Intervention Psychosis Team, whilst of great benefit, was not long enough; the need for the family to be included in the support provided as was the practice of the Early Intervention Psychosis Team; and continuity. GP members emphasised the need for wrap around support and recognition that there was no discharge from an enduring mental illness such as psychosis.

RS explained that she had developed her own personal support networks of professionals and emphasised the importance of the triangle of care: patient, carer and services. In response to DN enquiring about "quick wins" to improve services,

RS emphasised the need for people to be considered in the round and what is meaningful to them, not just as their psychosis. She also emphasised the need for accountability to be held by a practitioner with time and a mental health background that enabled a complete understanding, including medication.

#### 4. Minutes of the Meeting held on 2 May 2019

The minutes of the meeting held on 2 May were agreed.

#### The Governing Body:

Approved the minutes of the meeting held on 2 May 2019.

#### 5. Matters Arising from the Minutes

Alternative models of monitoring eating disorder patients, update on system discussions from the GP perspective: Members noted that information had been circulated. DN added that this work was ongoing and some replication across the system was needed.

Potential to share Local Authority risk registers in appropriate forums: SS reported that the City of York Council Corporate Directors had welcomed this proposal. It was agreed that initial discussion should take place at the joint meeting of the CCG and City of York Council Executive Teams.

*PM and NW to develop a proposal for system partnership working, including the voluntary sector and regulators, to facilitate agreement of shared priorities to achieve change:* PM reported that there were a number of areas of work including establishment of quarterly meetings which they would chair alternately between NW and the Chair of York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust to review the financial health of the system, i.e. NHS Vale of York and NHS Scarborough and Ryedale CCGs and York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. NW confirmed that Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust, as the main provider of mental health services, would be invited to these meetings to ensure full clinical representation.

PM also reported that the System Delivery Board, whose membership included the regulators, was meeting later in the day as part of the financial recovery programme. An aspect of the work of this Board was development of a system financial dashboard which would provide information on risks and opportunities to the respective organisations' Boards and Governing Bodies. Once established, consideration would be given to including other system partners. PM also noted that a meeting in late August was planned for NHS Vale of York and NHS Scarborough and Ryedale CCGs, York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and Humber, Coast and Vale Health and Care Partnership to consider system values. Discussion in this regard emphasised the need for a common understanding across the system but with acceptance that there may be different approaches and learning opportunities to meet population health needs, such as North Yorkshire County Council and City of York Council's differing dementia strategies.

### The Governing Body:

Noted the updates.

#### 6. Accountable Officer's Report

PM presented the report which provided an update on turnaround, local financial position and system recovery; operational planning; primary care protected learning time; Patient and Public Participation Annual Report 2018-19; Primary Care Networks in the Vale of York; Humber, Coast and Vale Healthcare Partnership Care Strategy 2019-24; joint commissioning and the Better Care Fund; emergency preparedness, resilience and response; Governing Body membership changes; and strategic and national issues.

PM noted that, although broadly on forecast, the CCG's plan was the only non control total compliant plan in Yorkshire and the North East. As such the CCG was the subject of considerable scrutiny despite the significant achievement, led by the CCG, of the fixed upper value contract agreed with York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and the continuing work towards reducing the provider's costs. PM also advised that there was a new framework following the convergence of NHS England and NHS Improvement. In response to DB seeking assurance about the reporting of financial positions of system partners PM advised that, although there was not currently an "open book" approach, there was an ambition for a five year system recovery plan by the autumn. DB commented that the Finance and Performance Committee had regretted the need to return to a one year financial plan for 2019/20 and had emphasised the requirement for a multi year plan thereafter.

NW reported that the protected learning time event the previous day had been well received and well attended. The CCG had worked with Healthwatch to explain to patients the reasons for Practice closures and would continue to do so for future events. The keynote presentation on 'Addressing Childhood Adversity in Professional Practice' was particularly commended and AL suggested the potential for a local system pilot in this regard. Opportunities for networking at the protected learning time, including discussion of the CCG's commissioning intentions, were highlighted. MC additionally reported she had met with senior nurses immediately before the event and would continue this approach. She noted their support for the joint learning with GPs offered through the protected learning time and also the flexibility for more specific sessions. From a non clinical perspective PM emphasised the value to the health system of these events, commended the clinical Governing Body members and support from Healthwatch, and noted that the approach of peer-led workshops was developing leaders from within the professional community. Members additionally expressed appreciation to the admin team for their support to the events.

AL referred to the six Primary Care Networks established across the CCG noting they were at different stages of development and were also working with the Local Medical Committee. Each Primary Care Network would have a Clinical Director and two senior CCG officers were providing support to their development. Discussion ensued in the context of concern that too much expectation may be placed on the Clinical Directors, the role of Primary Care Networks in the system to assist facilitation of clinical change and cost reduction, and CCG support. PM commended the Patient and Participation Annual Report for 2018/19 and expressed appreciation to the Communication and Engagement Team for their work. He highlighted the variety of information noting in particular 'You said, We did / we are doing', thematic activities and the intention of further developing communications and engagement with system partners.

In response to PM referring to the regular update on the Better Care Fund and emphasising the need for clinical engagement, HE and RW advised that the Primary Care Network Clinical Directors would be the appropriate contact to discuss progressing this.

Discussion of the information on strategic and national issues related to introduction of the NHS App and assurance that the CCG was maximising opportunities from biosimilar medicine.

#### The Governing Body:

- 1. Received the Accountable Officer's report.
- 2. Ratified the Patient and Public Participation Annual Report 2018-19.

#### AC joined the meeting

#### 7. Risk Update Report

AC referred to the report presented to provide assurance that risks were being strategically managed, monitored and mitigated. It described details of current events and risks escalated to Governing Body by its committees for consideration regarding effectiveness of risk management approach.

AC reported that all events had been reviewed since the last Governing Body meeting and highlighted a new event ES.38 *There is a potential risk that the CCG will fail to deliver its financial plan,* proposed following review and rationalisation of risk reporting, which required Governing Body approval.

AC noted that risk JC.26c *Children and young people's eating disorders* had decreased. AC also highlighted that Brexit was not on the report in view of NHS England stepping down briefings until September but advised Brexit was still being considered by the CCG with matters escalated to NHS England and would be added for the next Risk Update Report with information available at the time. AC noted that NHS England did not currently have a position on Brexit.

#### The Governing Body:

- 1. Received the Risk Report.
- 2. Approved the new event ES.38 There is a potential risk that the CCG will fail to deliver its financial plan and archiving of risks ES.17 There is a potential risk that the CCG will fail to deliver a 1% surplus in-year and ES.20 There is a potential risk of failure to maintain expenditure within allocation.

AC left the meeting

#### FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE

#### 8. Financial Performance Report 2019/20 Month 2

SB presented the report which forecast delivery of the key financial statutory duties with the exception of the 'in-year total expenditure does not exceed the total allocation (programme and running costs)' as the outturn forecast was £18.8m higher than the CCG's in-year allocation, in line with the financial plan.

SB referred to areas of deterioration in performance. He explained the historic context of the NHS Property Services legacy issue and advised that ongoing negotiations were likely to resolve this in-year but with a resulting prior year pressure. In respect of QIPP (Quality, Innovation, Productivity and Prevention) delivery, which was £195k behind plan, SB reported that £100k of this related to primary care investment slippage as detailed, noting concern about the ambition of the level of savings in core primary care services as well as prescribing. Notwithstanding those risks, the CCG was forecasting full achievement of the QIPP plan.

With regard to system recovery SB explained that a best practice programme management approach was now being established. He welcomed the appointment of a Programme Director, initially for three months, to expedite this work.

SB highlighted on the finance dashboard the nil variance at the York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust line as a result of agreement of a fixed value contract. He also noted that the forecast outturn variance on the QIPP programme should read zero as all areas were expected to deliver.

SB referred to the allocations information in the report describing changes in the national formula for calculation of CCGs' weighted population for 2019/20. SB explained the scale of challenge and difference of allocations between organisations by comparing this with a number of other areas where per head allocation was higher and highlighted the need for clinically led innovation in order to improve and change services locally.

SB referred to the earlier discussion of the fixed value contract with York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and emphasised that all efforts were continuing to develop a multi year plan based on clinical change and also an aligned system financial plan. In response to SS enquiring about the potential for a joint NHS and Local Authority medium term financial plan SB referred to ongoing discussions about joint commissioning, including working with the care market in the context of public sector funding.

Discussion ensued in respect of the national allocations formula and any potential to influence further change, the need for innovation, opportunities to learn from areas with similar challenges and emphasis on the need to progress the clinical discussions to achieve service change. PM additionally referred to the historical context of the financial deficit across North Yorkshire and York and the potential for influencing future allocations as part of this system but any such opportunities would be subject to delivering a multi year financial plan. He emphasised that the CCG's current position was accountability for delivery of the plan submitted for 2019/20.

#### The Governing Body:

Received the month 2 Financial Performance Report.

#### 9. Integrated Performance Report Month 1

PM referred to the report which provided a triangulated overview of CCG performance across all NHS Constitutional targets identifying causes of current performance levels and work being undertaken by CCG partners across a number of different forums and working groups in the local York and Scarborough and Ryedale system and wider Humber, Coast and Vale Care Partnership to drive performance improvement.

PM reported that since the last Governing Body meeting four hour A and E performance issues at York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust had been subject to national and regulator focus, more so on the Scarborough than the York site. Weekly monitoring calls were taking place in respect of ambulance turnaround, patient flow, additional attendances at York Hospital and resilience at Scarborough Hospital. A number of new initiatives had been introduced in efforts to address the issues but greater focus was required on such as delayed transfers of care and over prescribing of care. PM noted that a meeting with colleagues from York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and City of York Council was taking place later in the day to discuss patient flow and discharge. He highlighted that deprivation and proximity were the two main determinants of attendance at A and E and requested that AL and CS undertake a condition specific review to gain an understanding which could inform change.

HE described her Practice's approach to multi disciplinary team meetings, notably inclusion of representatives from social care. This enabled clinicians to gain a greater understanding of the system, in particular criteria and thresholds for residential care home admissions and care at home.

DN referred to the continuing healthcare legal framework and assessment process. She explained that if an assessment identified a Primary Health Need, this would be fully funded and consideration would be given as to how care needs could be met. With regard to continuing healthcare not assessed as eligible for full funding DN advised that joint work was taking place to develop pathways to maximise independence but noted, in particular for individuals with complex needs, that a paternalistic approach to decision making and risk management could often result in over prescribing of care. She also noted impact from lack of availability of domiciliary care for individuals with complex needs and highlighted that discharge planning from an acute setting should be on the basis of facilitating optimised recovery of the individual and take account of the family and client's perspectives. A system approach was required from the perspectives of both meeting identified care need, system finances and relieving acute bed pressures.

With regard to the Total Waiting List position PM advised that work was taking place via the System Delivery Board. The ambition was to meet the national position. PM referred to previous discussions about diagnostic performance noting the continuing concerns. He advised that further clinically led discussions may be required in this regard.

With regard to the Mental Health Investment Standard DN reminded members that the CCG's contract for Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) was below the national target for the current year. Although performance had improved and targets were now being met for time to first treatment and recovery, the number of referrals was not increasing despite there being capacity in the service. DN reported that work was taking place to correlate low IAPT referrals and high prescribing of antidepressants. She also noted a potential impact as a result of IAPT type intervention by counsellors who were not IAPT qualified and emphasised that the CCG was required to fund an IAPT model. In this regard DN described work taking place with Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust who, as part of their action plan, wished to work with Practices, including potentially co-locating IAPT practitioners in GP Practices. Detailed discussion ensued with emphasis on the need for a system approach and the context of Primary Care Networks. AB advised that GPs wished to assist with this and, in the context of Get It Right First Time, suggested the Local Medical Committee or Primary Care Networks were the appropriate route. NW agreed to work with DN in respect of communication with primary care about the importance of signposting and referring to IAPT.

DN referred to performance against the two week waiting time standard for Early Intervention Psychosis which was currently not being met. She noted that, in addition to the CCG's work with Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust, NHS England was undertaking detailed work. DN also referred to the additional £200k investment which had enabled recruitment of additional therapists but noted that there was no At Risk Mental States element to the Early Intervention Psychosis service. Such individuals were currently seen in the Community Mental Health Team.

HE, DN and RW reported on recent attendance at an event *Dementia Diagnosis and Shared Learning*. Detailed discussion ensued about dementia diagnosis, which was still not meeting target, including the importance of clinicians supporting people and their carers to raise memory issues, the need for a dementia strategy across the system with local implementation plans, promotion of culture change with memory being part of the making every contact count approach, and the #mentionmemory.

#### The Governing Body:

- 1. Received the month 1 Integrated Performance Report.
- 2. Requested assurance on progress with actions to address four hour A and E performance at the next meeting.
- 3. Noted that AL and CS would undertake a condition specific review of attendances at A and E from Practices.
- 4. Noted that NW would work with DN on communication with primary care about signposting and referring to IAPT.

#### ASSURANCE

JH joined the meeting

#### 12. Role of CCG Research and Development Manager

MC introduced JH who was on secondment from the CCG's Contracting Team noting she had a background in research.

**Unconfirmed Minutes** 

JH gave a presentation describing the need for research and development support; supporting research, innovation and evaluation; promoting research; research topics undertaken across the CCG footprint by General Practice, Care Homes, York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust; and research recruitment across the CCG footprint. JH particularly encouraged sign up to dementia research.

JH advised that all the CCG Practices took part in research activity and that she was working with the Clinical Research Network to increase research opportunities in General Practice. She noted that the Clinical Research Network was trying to support new research through fellowships.

PM highlighted that the CCG was recognised as one of the best in the country in terms of research.

HE detailed a number of aspects of research including: potential to link research to the CCG's clinical priorities such as dementia templates, carers and cancer; offering a day a week for research which may assist recruitment and retention in primary care; the need for more research in primary care in areas such as deprescribing; and opportunities via the Hull and York Medical School.

AL additionally emphasised the need for awareness across the system of research funding opportunities.

#### The Governing Body

Commended the ongoing research work.

#### JH left the meeting

#### **10.** Quality and Patient Experience Report

MC presented the report which provided an overview of the quality of services across the CCG's main providers and an update on the quality improvement work of the CCG's Quality Team relating to quality improvements affecting the wider health and care economy. Key pieces of improvement work included: Special School Nursing Review as part of review of the 0 - 19 pathway, Care Home Strategy development, maternity services transformation and workforce transformation.

MC highlighted the information on ongoing work relating to quality in care homes and domiciliary care. She noted the React to Red programme had been shortlisted for a national award and the previous day had been the subject of a presentation by the Senior Quality Lead at the national Patient Safety Congress. The Senior Quality Lead had also presented at a Sepsis Conference in Hull on the use of Stop and Watch and the Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation (SBAR) communication tool.

MC detailed the review processes, including the Regional Quality Surveillance Group, taking place in response to the significant concerns about the norovirus and clostridium difficile outbreaks at York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, noting she was attending a follow-up meeting later in the day. MC also reported that an unannounced Care Quality Commission inspection had taken place, mainly at the Scarborough site which was being followed up on 16 July by a 'Well-led' inspection;

a further visit would take place a month afterwards to review response to recommendations. MC advised that the CCG had provided feedback via a preinspection call and explained that the issues at the Scarborough site were multi factorial. The CCG was providing support as appropriate, both in terms of NHS Scarborough and Ryedale CCG and potential impact on the York Hospital site.

MC referred to the death of a 17 year old at the Tier 4 Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services inpatient unit at West Lane Hospital in Middlesbrough. She advised that work was taking place with the local CCG and noted that admissions had been suspended following an unannounced visit by the Care Quality Commission. Discussion ensued in the context of new models of care and shared risk and responsibility.

MC referred to the national focus on sepsis noting support across the local system in this regard. She advised that there was a potential for around 10% mortality reduction.

MC highlighted the information on children and young people noting in particular the Special School Nursing and Community Children's Nursing Transformation Plan. She noted that the new Chief Nurse at York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust had just taken up post and hoped that this would result in further progress with the work.

SS reported that the Healthy Child Service had transferred to Public Health on 1 July and discussions, including with MC, were taking place to establish a system focus. SS had commissioned an independent review, likely to take place in the autumn, by another Local Authority where the Healthy Child Service was in-house. She assured members that MC and her team would be closely involved in developing the new service specification and also emphasised that GP engagement would be sought in this regard.

MC welcomed the appointment of a specialist nurse by York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust to the Community Paediatric Continence Service. This would support the development of a Level 2 Community Paediatric Continence Service.

MC noted establishment of a Community Children's and Special School Clinical Nursing Forum through the work of the CCG's Senior Quality Lead, Children and Young People. This regional clinical forum for peer support had held its first meeting on 20 June and would continue to meet quarterly.

In conclusion NW requested that further information be included in the next report in respect of the ongoing work relating to physical health checks for people with severe mental illness.

#### The Governing Body:

- 1. Received the Quality and Patient Experience Report.
- 2. Requested inclusion in the next report of further information on the work relating to physical health checks for people with severe mental illness.

#### 11. 2018/19 Annual Report and Annual Accounts

SB explained that the final Annual Audit Letter, published with the papers for this item, was unchanged from the draft presented at the May meeting of the Audit Committee along with the annual report, annual accounts and associated documents. He expressed appreciation to PG and the Audit Committee for their support.

SB additionally reported the requirement for the Mental Health Investment Standard to be audited by the end of September noting that the findings would be published.

#### The Governing Body:

Ratified the 2018/19 Annual Report and Annual Accounts.

#### **RECEIVED ITEMS**

The Governing Body noted the following items as received:

- **13.** Executive Committee chair's report and minutes of 17 April, 1 and 15 May 2019.
- **14.** Audit Committee chair's report and minutes of 23 May 2019.
- **15.** Finance and Performance Committee chair's report and minutes of 25 April and 23 May 2019.
- **16.** Primary Care Commissioning Committee chair's report and minutes of 9 May 2019.
- **17.** Quality and Patient Experience Committee chair's report and minutes of 13 June 2019
- **18.** Medicines Commissioning Committee recommendations of 10 April and 8 May 2019.

#### 19. Next Meeting

#### The Governing Body:

Noted that the next meeting would be held at 9.30am on 5 September 2019 at West Offices, Station Rise, York YO1 6GA.

#### Close of Meeting and Exclusion of Press and Public

In accordance with Paragraph 8 of Schedule 2 of the Health and Social Care Act 2012 it was considered that it would not be in the public interest to permit press and public to attend this part of the meeting due to the nature of the business to be transacted as it contains commercially sensitive information which, if disclosed, may prejudice the commercial sustainability of a body.

A glossary of commonly used terms is available at:

http://www.valeofyorkccg.nhs.uk/data/uploads/governing-body-papers/governingbody-glossary.pdf

### NHS VALE OF YORK CLINICAL COMMISSIONING GROUP

#### ACTION FROM THE GOVERNING BODY MEETING ON 4 JULY 2019 AND CARRIED FORWARD FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

| Meeting Date | ltem                                     | Description  | Director/Person<br>Responsible | Action completed<br>due to be<br>completed (as<br>applicable) |
|--------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 4 July 2019  | Quality and Patient<br>Experience Report | <ul> <li>Inclusion in the next report of further<br/>information on the work relating to<br/>physical health checks for people with<br/>severe mental illness</li> </ul>   | DN                             | 5 September 2019  |
| 4 July 2019  | Integrated<br>Performance Report         | <ul> <li>Assurance on progress with actions to address four hour A and E performance at the next meeting.</li> <li>AL and CS to undertake a condition specific review of attendances at A and E from Practices</li> <li>NW to work with DN on communication with primary care about signposting and referring to IAPT</li> </ul> | PM<br>AL/CS<br>DN/NW           | 5 September 2019  |

| Item Number: 6  |   |
|---|---|
| Name of Presenter: Executive Team   |   |
| Meeting of the Governing Body   | NHS   |
| Date of meeting: 5 September 2019   | Vale of York  |
|   | Clinical Commissioning Group  |
|   | chinear commissioning croup   |
| Report Title – Accountable Officer's Report   |   |
| Purpose of Report<br>To Receive   |   |
| Reason for Report   |   |
| To provide an update on a number of projects, in the last Governing Body meeting along with an or | itiatives and meetings that have taken place since verview of relevant national issues. |
| Strategic Priority Links  |   |
| Strengthening Primary Care  | □Transformed MH-LD- Complex Care  |
| □Reducing Demand on System  | System transformations  |
| □Fully Integrated OOH Care  | ⊠Financial Sustainability   |
| □Sustainable acute hospital- single acute   |   |
| contract  |   |
| Local Authority Area  |   |
| ⊠CCG Footprint  | □East Riding of Yorkshire Council   |
| □ City of York Council  | North Yorkshire County Council  |
|   |   |
| Impacts- Key Risks  | Covalent Risk Reference and Covalent  |
| ⊠Financial  | Description   |
|   |   |
| □Legal<br>□Primary Care   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
| Emerging Risks (not yet on Covalent)  |   |
|   |   |
| Recommendations   |   |
| The Governing Body is asked to note the report.   |   |
| Responsible Executive Director and Title  | Report Author and Title   |
| Phil Mettam<br>Accountable Officer  | Sharron Hegarty<br>Head of Communications and Media Relations                           |
|   |   |

### **GOVERNING BODY MEETING: 5 SEPTEMBER 2019**

#### Accountable Officer's Report

#### 1. Turnaround, local financial position and system recovery

- 1.1 The CCG's overall financial position is beginning to come under pressure and we have fallen behind our year-to-date plan for the first time this year by £304k at the end of July. There are also emerging pressures, including national prescribing costs that are adding to this all of which are detailed in the finance report. The CCG is developing and implementing plans to mitigate this, as the CCG's contingency, £2.4m, is the primary source of mitigation for any under-delivery of the system recovery plan of £11.2m.
- 1.2 QIPP delivery at Month 4 is £428k off plan. This relates to Prescribing, £257k, as PIB2 still needs to launch and £209k from the System Recovery Schemes in plan, but not delivered in July 2019. Both are still forecast to deliver in full, PIB2 has recently been approved by the Executive Committee and work is underway in August around repeat prescriptions. We have now delivered £7.4m of savings for the year in total.
- 1.3 The CCG is making good progress with system partners in terms of the multi-year plan and we will be submitting our first draft in September. This continues the principles we have talked about here previously fixing the money so that we can have the clinical discussions and transformation we require to live within our budget. It also provides a realistic assessment of the potential year on year improvement brining us back to in-year balance over the next five years. Although we do not have all of the detailed planning guidance or control totals we are progressing to the point where we will have a jointly developed and owned plan for our system that we can jointly present to our regulators.

#### 2. Operational Planning

- 2.1 The CCG is now working with partners to consider how to deliver the priorities for service delivery and transformation locally. The NHS Long Term Plan Implementation Framework provides a clear set of ambitions for improving outcomes for patients for commissioners and providers to consider alongside the financial allocation received for our population.
- 2.2 The joint planning with partners including our NHS England and NHS Improvement colleagues have focused in July and August 2019 on how pressures on emergency care services in our acute hospital can be further reduced through the development of an 'anticipatory care' model which more effectively manages patients and their conditions in the community. The

ambition is that this approach will be embedded within the increasingly integrated models of care based around Primary Care Networks (PCNs).

- 2.3 The development of more integrated physical and mental health care for local people is also a key focus area for our mental health providers working with the PCNs, particularly around how adult common mental illness can be more effectively managed alongside other physical conditions through the IAPT model. The NHS Mental Health Implementation Plan for 2019-20 to 2023-24 frames the ambition for improving mental health care for all ages and people locally. There is a particular focus on those most vulnerable in our local population including rough sleepers and how providers can collaborate to deliver secure care.
- 2.4 System partners are also considering how key enabling work including the role of digital and technology in supporting our staff and services to deliver care more effectively and flexibly, can be accelerated and resourced. This will be critical for our local services as we continue to struggle with significant workforce gaps in almost every professional area across acute and primary care services.

#### 3. Improvement and Assessment Framework 2018-19

- 3.1 The CCG's Improvement and Assessment Framework rating was published in July 2019. The CCG is encouraged that its regulators have recognised the organisation's hard work and efforts during 2018-19.
- 3.2 Given the enormous progress made by the CCG in the last year, the annual assessment result of Requires Improvement, that reflects the financial health of the wider NHS system, has been met by the Governing Body with some disappointment.
- 3.3 The Vale of York has some of the best health outcomes in the region, all of the CCG's member GP practices are rated good by the CQC and our patient and public engagement has been assessed as Good. We will continue to work closely with partners to improve access to care, and the quality of care offered to the Vale of York community.

### 4. York and North Yorkshire Long Term Plan Stakeholder Engagement Event

4.1 The CCG has worked with the Humber, Coast and Vale Health and Care Partnership (HCVHCP) to deliver a local Vale of York and Scarborough engagement event on the 15 August 2019. The event highlighted the work delivered at scale to date in the local area and described our plans on how we will work with the HCVHCP partners as an Integrated Care System (ICS) to deliver the ambitions in the NHS Long Term Plan. The event provided an opportunity to begin engaging with local people and our wider partners around the pressures in our care system and how we want to work with them to co-design the delivery of future care.

### 5. Primary Care Protected Learning Time

5.1 The latest protected learning time event for primary care that took place on Wednesday 3 July 2019 was very successful. Once again hundreds of professionals from our primary care community joined the CCG in what has been viewed by many of the previous participants as a very positive and useful event. The next event takes place on the 15 October 2019.

#### 6. The UK's exit from the European Union

- 6.1 The Government is preparing for a 'No Deal' Exit on 31 October 2019. The CCG will be attending the Regional EU Workshop on 17 September 2019 to receive a briefing on the status of NHS preparations for EU Exit at national and regional level and the processes and structures that are being established to co-ordinate a response and actions required by local organisations.
- 6.2 The recently stood down EU Exit SitRep reporting system is expected to recommence in September 2019.

#### 7. Joint Commissioning and the Better Care Fund

- 7.1 The Better Care Fund Planning Requirements 2019-20, published in July 2019, set out the timeline for submission and assurance for local plans. The document confirmed what was expected, in that there will be no Quarter 1 return, no separate narrative plan, and the four national conditions and key performance metrics remain the same as 2017-19. The planning template incorporates a section for local areas to update their vision for integration, and to describe what has changed or progressed from the previous submission.
- 7.2 The financial schedule which forms part of the planning requirements included a higher than forecast uplift to the CCG Minimum Contribution. For many CCGs, including the Vale of York, this increase from 1.89% to 5.3% uplift has created a financial pressure which will be met through an additional allocation of the equivalent amount. CCG Chief Finance Officers are required to submit a new template to the regional Chief Finance Officer to provide the assurance required to release the additional allocation.
- 7.3 Health and Wellbeing Boards are required to sign off plans on behalf of the system prior to the submission deadline of 27 September 2019. There are several key milestones leading up to that date, including 6 September 2019 for the submission of a draft plan to the regional team for initial feedback,

and the separate process to receive the additional allocation to cover the CCG Minimum Contribution to BCF.

- 7.4 In York, the Better Care Fund amounts to an extra £141k of investment for the system. The minimum contribution will also result in more of the existing CCG schemes being included within the BCF pool.
- 7.4.1 Due to the timing of Health and Wellbeing Board meetings it will not be possible for York to receive the final plan prior to submission therefore the Health and Wellbeing Board has been asked to delegate authority to the Chair (Councillor Runciman) and Vice Chair (Dr Nigel Wells), with support from City of York Council's Corporate Director for Health, Housing and Adult Social Care and the CCG's Accountable Officer, to sign off the plan between meetings. Each partner organisation member of the Better Care Fund Performance and Delivery Group has been asked to make the necessary arrangements for its individual governance requirements. The Governing Body will receive a copy of the plan at a future date.
- 7.4.2 The capacity and demand exercise funded through the York Better Care Fund has provided an evidence base for future planning and commissioning of services, including improving our understanding of the day to day pressures we observe in the system. Several feedback sessions have been held with Venn consulting and there will be further opportunities to share the key messages for the York system. These are:
  - A whole system under pressure
  - A workforce who go the extra mile to care for people needing support
  - While the system absorbs the pressure most of the time, when demand peaks it can take as long as 3 weeks to recover.
  - Discharge planning starts too late
  - An over-prescription of care permanent care or 4 times daily visits
  - People wait for care which is unavailable
  - People wait in more acute settings than the care they need
  - Almost half of the people in short term beds didn't need to be there
  - A quarter of the people in short term beds needed home care
  - An eighth needed residential or nursing care
  - A third of the people in reablement didn't need it
  - About a quarter of the people in reablement were waiting for long term home care packages
  - More people with end of life / palliative needs in acute setting
  - More assessment beds in the acute setting
  - More people attending emergency department who are not admitted

### 7.5 North Yorkshire and East Riding of Yorkshire

A Better Care Fund quarterly submission was not required for NHS England for Quarter 1 due to the late release of 2019/20 planning guidance. For this reason a Quarter 1 update form North Yorkshire and East Riding will not be available until Quarter 2. The CCG is working with partners on the submission in time for the deadline.

#### 8. York Health and Wellbeing Board Annual Report

8.1 The York Health and Wellbeing Board has published its Annual Report. The report illustrates the wealth of fantastic work taking place in the city to deliver against the board's priorities. Copies of the report are available on the City of York Council website at

https://www.york.gov.uk/downloads/file/18279/health\_and\_wellbeing\_board\_ annual\_report\_2018-2019.

#### 9. Emergency, Preparedness, Resilience and Response Update

- 9.1 As part of the NHSE Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR) Framework, providers and commissioners of NHS funded services must show they can effectively respond to major, critical and business continuity incidents whilst maintaining services to patients. NHS England has an annual statutory requirement to formally assure readiness, of its own and other NHS organisations England, to respond to emergencies and accordingly the CCG is required to complete a self-assessment against the NHS Core Standards for EPRR. The outcome of the CCG's selfassessment is a 'substantial' compliance and the Governing Body has been asked to ratify this rating.
- 9.2 The North Yorkshire and York Mass Treatment and Vaccination Plan has been agreed with partner organisations and has been passed to the North Yorkshire Health Protection Steering Group for ratification. Following a recent outbreak of Measles in York, it has been possible to test the plan.
- 9.3 The UCI Cycle Championships take place on the 21-27 September 2019 and as part of its EPRR work the CCG has attended the planning meetings. The para-cycle race starts in Tadcaster on 21 September 2019 directly outside Tadcaster Medical Centre and as the roads in the town will be closed that day, the GPs have arranged to run their morning clinic from Sherburn. York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust is carefully planning workloads for Community Nursing Teams. The Trust is fully briefed on the routes and it is currently undertaking risk assessments on all services, which include stroke and renal dialysis, provided at Harrogate District Hospital.
- 9.3.1 All races finish in Harrogate. There will be rolling road closure across North Yorkshire throughout this period which could impact on NHS staff getting to and from work and on patient access to services. NHS England will be

operating a 24/7 control centre alongside Yorkshire Ambulance Service at the Regional Operation Centre in Wakefield.

### 10. Governing Body membership changes

- 10.1 The Governing Body welcomes two new members to its Governing Body.
- 10.1.1 Dr Rajeev Gupta, Secondary Care Doctor Member, is a Consultant Paediatrician at Barnsley Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, a role that he has held for over 15 years. He is interested in teaching and education and has been running a Paediatric Education Programme in Barnsley for over 12 years. Rajeev is also a Senior Clinical Lecturer at the University of Sheffield and a Postgraduate Tutor for the Royal College of Paediatrics.
- 10.1.2 Julie Hastings, Lay Member for Patient and Public Involvement, works with North Bank Forum Ltd where some of her self-employed work includes being the Mindful Employer Lead that provides emotional, creative problem solving and mental health first aid to teams across the North Bank area. Julie's varied experience has also included acting as a 'critical friend' to NHS Hull CCG during the development of their Mental Health First Aid initiative and to other organisations while they to set up and deliver Mindful Employer support for their staff.
- 10.2 Both appointments have a three year tenure from 2 September 2019.

#### 11. Strategic and national issues

- 11.1 The first national NHS Patient Safety Strategy has been launched setting a vision of continuous safety improvement, underpinned by a safety culture and effective safety systems. Its strategic aims commit to a series of actions to support the NHS to save more lives and the costs associated with patient safety incidents. The strategy emphasises the need to support staff and look at systems rather than blaming individuals when incidents occur. Key features include a safety syllabus and training for all staff, a new incident management system, the involvement of patients and a national patient safety improvement programme.
- 11.2 Senior leaders at NHS England and NHS Improvement have reaffirmed commitments set out in the Interim NHS People Plan to make the NHS the best place to work. A fair experience for all: Closing the ethnicity gap in rates of disciplinary action across the NHS workforce, outlines clear goals for each NHS organisation, including healthcare arm's length bodies, focused on closing the gap in the disproportionate rates of disciplinary action between black and minority ethnic and white staff across the healthcare system by 2022. CCGs along with other NHS organisations are expected to implement this very important piece of work to meet the aims set over the coming years
- 11.3 NHS England and NHS Improvement have announced changes to improve the Friends and Family Test (FFT) as a tool for enabling continuous

improvement in healthcare services. This includes changing the standard FFT question, something many of you told us you wanted during nine months of consultations with commissioners, providers and the public. We have also listened to views on how the FFT could work better in maternity services, emergency departments and inpatients services. Revised FFT guidance is expected to be published in September for implementation from April next year.

- 11.4 NHS staff will work with more schools and colleges through Mental Health Support Teams (MHSTs), with 123 more teams to be recruited, expanding work to improve mental health support for children and young people as part of the NHS Long Term Plan. Training for all schools and colleges will also be offered through a £9.3m programme bringing education and mental health services together, co-ordinated by CCGs. The Link Programme, run by the Anna Freud Centre, funded by the Department for Education, and supported by NHS England, will roll out from September for the next four years.
- 11.5 Establishing the person as central to service design, evaluation and care pathways, as well as workforce requirements to provide a high quality and safe care environment, are key recommendations for Commissioners in both National Audit of Care at the End of Life Report and National Maternity and Perinatal Audit Organisational Report. The National Cardiac Audit Programme Annual Report recommendations ask Commissioners to consider if guidance (NICE and British Heart Rhythm Society) is being followed for device implantation. All recently published reports can be found on the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership website.
- 11.6 The newly published Mental Health Implementation Plan provides a framework to deliver the mental health commitments of the NHS Long Term Plan. It sets out information on funding, transformation activities and indicative workforce numbers, so that local partners and providers have clear targets to work towards and build upon. It also includes information on what NHS England and NHS Improvement will do to support local areas with planning and delivery in improving access to high quality mental health care, including advancing mental health equalities.
- 11.7 The national Elective Care Transformation Programme has helped more than 60 integrated teams to introduce and evaluate innovative solutions in 14 elective care specialties since its work began in March 2017 and has seen up to a 3% drop in GP referrals. Now handbooks with learning and 'how-to' tips from all 14 specialities are available. They include general medicine which focuses on frailty; neurology, including alternative approaches for headache; and radiology, with initiatives to reduce DNAs.
- 11.8 Social prescribing link workers are one of the five additional roles being introduced into general practice through PCNs. A reference guide to social prescribing, including a set of technical annexes, has been published that

will support PCNs to set up and develop their schemes. The guide includes information on working with partners, recruitment, supervision and learning, quality assurance and measuring impact. A collaborative platform for social prescribing supports shared learning, discussion and information sharing

- 11.9 NHS England, in conjunction with NHS Improvement and external partners, have launched a campaign to help support staff in reducing long hospital stays for their patients called Where best next? We know it's much better for a patient's physical and mental wellbeing to leave hospital as soon as they are clinically fit. Making this happen is a team effort and we all have a part to play.
- 11.10 A new handbook has been developed as a key tool to support commissioners implement personalised care locally as set out in the NHS Long term Plan. It enables staff to understand what the expansion of the personalised care programme means locally, with practical tools and recommendations to enable sustainable change. It includes support for the six components of personalised care and guidance on identifying cohorts, developing service specification, agreeing meaningful data and metric, funding models for contracting personalised care, expansion and sustainability, the recommended commissioning cycle process, information and data sharing considerations and working with partners for achieving integrated commissioning.
- 11.11 NHS England has published extended guidance for children and young people's eating disorder services and new adult eating disorder guidance to support the development of dedicated eating disorder services in the community (CED), and the integration between them and inpatient and day patient services, in line with the NHS Long Term Plan. Both documents support commissioning and service improvements with quality benchmarks and describe optimal models of care. Each CED should take a lead role in the community and, if required, inpatient or intensive day care, liaising across the entire pathway, in particular with GPs and the voluntary, community and social enterprise sector.

#### 12. Recommendation

12.1 The Governing Body is asked to note the report.

This page is intentionally blank

| Item Number: 7  |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Name of Presenter: Helena Nowell  | Name of Presenter: Helena Nowell  |  |  |  |
| Meeting of the Governing Body   | NHS   |  |  |  |
| Date of meeting: 5 September 2019   | Vale of York<br>Clinical Commissioning Group  |  |  |  |
| Report Title – Risk Report  |   |  |  |  |
| Purpose of Report (Select from list)<br>To Receive  |   |  |  |  |
| Reason for Report   |   |  |  |  |
| For the Governing Body to receive assurance ar overseen or managed by the Governing Body.   | nd information regarding the current risks to be  |  |  |  |
| Strategic Priority Links  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Strengthening Primary Care</li> <li>Reducing Demand on System</li> <li>Fully Integrated OOH Care</li> <li>Sustainable acute hospital/ single acute contract</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>□ Transformed MH/LD/ Complex Care</li> <li>□ System transformations</li> <li>□ Financial Sustainability</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| Local Authority Area  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>☑CCG Footprint</li> <li>☑City of York Council</li> </ul>   | □East Riding of Yorkshire Council<br>⊠North Yorkshire County Council  |  |  |  |
| Impacts/ Key Risks  | Risk Rating   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Financial</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Primary Care</li> <li>Equalities</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |
| Emerging Risks  |   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |

| Impact Assessments  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Please confirm below that the impact assessments have been approved and outline any risks/issues identified.  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Quality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Data Protection Impact Assessment</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Equality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Sustainability Impact Assessment</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Risks/Issues identified from impact assessments:  |  |  |  |
| Recommendations   |  |  |  |
| To receive the report in the new format and to consider any actions which the Governing Body believe need to be considered to further mitigate the risks or provide assurance of the management of the risks. |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested (for Decision Log)   |  |  |  |
| Report received and Governing Body assured that the risks described are being appropriately managed in the ways described.  |  |  |  |
| (For example, Decision to implement new system/ Decision to choose one of options a/b/c for new system)   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |

| Responsible Executive Director and Title | Report Author and Title                      |
|--|--|
| Phil Mettam, Accountable Officer         | Abigail Combes, Head of Legal and Governance |

| Risk Ref                       | ES.38  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Title                          | The CCG will fail to deliver its financial plan  |
| Operational Lead               | Michael Ash-McMahon  |
| Lead Director                  | Simon Bell (Chief Finance Officer)   |
| Description and Impact on Care | There is a risk that the CCG fails to deliver its financial plan in 2019/20 with the potential impact of further regulatory intervention and loss of control/confidence. |



#### Mitigating Actions and Comments

#### Date: 13 August 2019

The CCG has now agreed a fixed upper contract value with its main acute provider. This has been signed and is operating as expected.

The CCG is part of developing a joint, system cost reduction programme to support the delivery of the financial plan alongside YTHFT and NHS S&R. A revised programme management infrastructure is in place, led by experienced Programme Director. Weekly reporting is in place monitoring progress. Regulators attend System Delivery Board and other system meetings supporting this programme and provide additional support and advice.

The CCG is building on the robust processes already in place to deliver its own QIPP. These have been subject to internal audit testing, and regulator review, as well as input from Chief Finance Officer and Programme Director.

The CCG is investing in Primary Care and Mental Health services to support service transformation. Over time this will help better manage demand otherwise being seen in an acute setting. Investment standards for Primary Care and Mental Health have been achieved.

The CCG has approved a plan for submission to NHS England with a planned deficit of £18.8m. This is £4.8m away from the proposed control total and will mean the CCG will not be able to access Commissioner Sustainability Funding. However, this plan has been accepted by Regulators and to month 4 is forecast to achieve.

The CCG is actively part of the York-Scarborough system response to the regulator in terms of the system recovery plan, including the principles of multi- year financial recovery. This is the first time the York and Scarborough system has moved away fully from a transactional in-year risk sharing arrangement and will contribute to a more stable financial position than previously. However, precribing QIPP delivery and impact of national pricing changes (Cat M) at month 4 require further mitigation than was planned. Independent sector acute activity is above plan and requires further mitigation which is underway. The risk around system recovery plan continues. Contingency reserve is set at £2.4million and is available to offset these risks, however, it is likely further mitigation will be required.

| Risk Ref                       | JC.26a  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
|                                |   |  |  |
| Title                          | CAMHS: Long waiting lists for assessment and treatment that significantly extend beyond national constitutional standards.          |  |  |
| Operational Lead               | Susan De Val  |  |  |
| Lead Director                  | Denise Nightingale (Executive Director for Transformation, Complex Care and Mental Health)  |  |  |
|                                | Delays in assessment and diagnosis leading to delays in treatment and support options. Poor patient experience.                     |  |  |
|                                |   |  |  |
| Description and Impact on Care | escription and Impact on Care   |  |  |
|                                |   |  |  |
|                                |   |  |  |
| JC 26a - CAMHS                 | JC.26a - CAMHS: Long waiting lists for assessment and treatment that significantly extend beyond national constitutional standards. |  |  |
|                                |   |  |  |
|                                | I.C.26a Likelihood I.C.26a Impact ◆J.C.26a Rating ●J.C.26a Projection with mitigations  |  |  |
| 18                             |   |  |  |
| 16                             | • •   |  |  |
| 14                             | 4   |  |  |
| 12                             |   |  |  |
| 10                             |   |  |  |
| 8                              |   |  |  |
|                                |   |  |  |
| 6                              |   |  |  |
| 6                              |   |  |  |
| 4                              |   |  |  |
|                                |   |  |  |

#### Mitigating Actions and Comments Date: 19 July 2019

Update unchanged for July - Governing Body strategic commitment to mental health investment as a priority for the CCG.

Service action plan in place.

Close monitoring at CMB / F&P / QPEC and Governing Body.

Capacity and Demand Gap Analysis received at end of July 2018 and considered by CMB. It will inform future decisions around further reinvestment.

Commitment to continue school well-being services in York and North Yorkshire funding (in the baseline) to support those with lower level needs.

The CCG and TEWV have agreed the approach to investment, performance standards and monitoring for 2019/2020, which will enable an increase in the numbers of children and young people being seen and treated.

Local Transformation Plan highlights need for early identification and intervention to prevent escalation of symptoms and conditions. This is across the CCG area and engages all agencies. Waiting lists remain long reflecting the high levels of referral into service despite the schools projects and the crisis team, all of which have reduced demand for support. The CCG is investing £120k recurrently into CAMHS services from 2018/19; TEWV will use this for additional support to the emotional and eating disorders pathways.

Staff have been appointed and are in post. The CVs for this investment have set out measures to show effect on waiting times and are under discussion with TEWV. The numbers waiting on the emotional pathway (depression anxiety, self-harm and other similar conditions) did see a drop in December, but increased again by February.

The number of referrals into service has exceeded the number for 2018/19 by February 2019: a higher % of referrals are being accepted into service than in 2018/19 which implies greater acuity and impacts on waiting lists and waiting times.

| JC.26b  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Children's Autims Assessments: Long waiting lists and non-compliance with NICE guidance for diagnostic process  |  |  |
| Susan De Val  |  |  |
| Denise Nightingale (Executive Director for Transformation, Complex Care and Mental Health)  |  |  |
| Delays in assessment and diagnosis mean families wait longer for specialist support in school and other settings. This impacts on the lifestyle and education of children and their families. |  |  |
| JC.26b - Children's Autims Assessments: Long waiting lists and non-compliance with NICE guidance for diagnostic process   |  |  |
| • •   |  |  |
|   |  |  |

#### Mitigating Actions and Comments

Date: 19 July 2019

Feb-19

4 2 0

Update unchanged for July - Action plan to address issues around waiting list and diagnostic process.

Apr-19

Aay-19

Close monitoring at CMB / F&P / QPEC and Governing Body.

Mar-19

The capacity and gap analysis has been received and considered at CMB and will inform future decisions on investment should funds be available.

Jun-19

Changes in TEWV internal triage process in Autumn 2017 will work through into Autumn/Winter 2018 and improve ratio of assessments: conversion rate and the reduction in waiting times. The matter remains referenced at CMB to ensure focus is maintained.

Jul-19

Aug-19

Sep-19

Oct-19

Nov-19

Dec-19

Jan-20

Pathway review and discussions with other providers and commissioners to identify and drive out opportunities for improving conversion rate

TEWV is reviewing the pathway around integration of autism and ADHD referrals to improve overall response to patient need. Expect to see conversion rate start to improve by end of 2018/19 and waiting times to reduce by end Q4/Q1 2019/20

The CCG and TEWV have agreed the approach to investment, performance standards and monitoring for 2019/2020, which will enable an increase in the numbers of children and young people being seen and treated. TEWV is investing an additional £50k recurrently in the service from 2018/19. Staff have been appointed and coming into post in October/November 2018.

The CCG has committed non-recurrent funding of £120k in 2018/19 to fund additional assessments (combination of slippage and additional in year funding). TEWV projects 67 additional assessments in the current year: 27 undertaken by the independent sector, and the remainder utilising bank staff and overtime payments. Winter monies granted by NHS England has enabled a further 10 urgent assessments to be escalated.

Numbers awaiting assessment continue to rise notwithstanding the additional assessments. We are reviewing with TEWV the conversion rate, which is low compared to other providers in the region, at 55% (as at February 2019. TEWV is undertaking a manual review of all cases in the last year to provide an accurate figure.

| Risk Ref         | JC.26c  |
|------------------|---|
| Title            | Children and young people eating disorders, non-compliance with national access and waiting time standards  |
| Operational Lead | Susan De Val  |
| Lead Director    | Denise Nightingale (Executive Director for Transformation, Complex Care and Mental Health)  |
|                  | Delays in assessment and diagnosis and potentially longer periods in treatment with potential for poorer outcomes.<br>Doubtful will meet national waiting time standards by 2021.<br>Currently unable to develop early intervention activity or training in schools and other community settings. |

|      | JC.26c - Children and young people eating disorders, non-compliance with national access and waiting time standards |       |  |
|------|---|-------|--|
|      | ■ JC.26c Likelihood ■ JC.26c Impact ◆ JC.26c Rating ● JC.26c Projection with mitigations                            |       |  |
| 18   |   |       |  |
| 16   | • •   |       |  |
| 14   |   |       |  |
| 12 - |   |       |  |
| 10   |   |       |  |
| 8 -  |   |       |  |
| 6    |   |       |  |
| 4    |   |       |  |
| 2    | 4 4 4   |       |  |
| 0 +  | Feb-19 Mar-19 Apr-19 May-19 Jun-19 Jul-19 Aug-19 Sep-19 Oct-19 Nov-19 Dec-19 J                                      | an-20 |  |

Mitigating Actions and Comments Date: 19 July 2019 Update unchanged for July - Action plan across NYY to set out how TEWV will deliver to national standards and examine improving issues around dosage and physical health checks.

TEWV's performance improving against local trajectories: expect to meet in year targets for urgent and routine cases.

TEWV is applying for NHS England New Models of Care funding to invest in eating disorder services to improve access and waiting times and also intensity of treatment.

Close monitoring at CMB / F&P / QPEC and Governing Body. Additional funding agreed for 0.6WTE (0.4 psychologist and 0.2 mental health nurse) as part of additional recurrent CCG investment.

Performance against access and waiting times standards continues to improve: further targets for 2019/2020 have been agreed

Meeting with primary care leads has agreed there will be a local protocol on physical health checks, with a working group over Q1 2019/2020.

| Risk Ref         | JC.30   |
|------------------|---|
| Title            | Dementia - failure to achieve 67% coding target in general practice   |
| Operational Lead | Sheila Fletcher   |
| Lead Director    | Denise Nightingale (Executive Director of Transformation, Complex Care and Mental Health)   |
|                  | Service users may not be appropriately flagged and therefore on-going referrals from primary care will not have the relevant information to make reasonable adjustments for their carers support. |



#### Mitigating Actions and Comments

Date: 18 June 2019 and 17 July 2019 (percentages)

CCG leads have devised a comprehensive action plan.

CCG to provide focussed support targeting the larger practices with the lowest coding rates.

All practices will be encouraged to re-run the toolkit and review all records identified.

Controls include: Programme meeting and TEWV CMB. Diagnosis rates decreased again in June to 57.3% from 57.6%.

2 initiatives are planned with the aim of increasing diagnosis rates: The central locality Integrated Care Team will be supporting 3 practices with case finding in care homes TEWV has now cleansed data from memory service and this will be available shortly to reconcile with primary care dementia registers.

This page is intentionally blank

| ltem | Number: | 8 |
|------|---------|---|
|------|---------|---|

Name of Presenter: Simon Bell

Meeting of the Governing Body

Date of meeting: 5 September 2019



**Clinical Commissioning Group** 

# **Report Title – Financial Performance Report Month 4** Purpose of Report **For Information Reason for Report** To brief members on the financial performance of the CCG and achievement of key financial duties for 2019/20 as at the end of July 2019. To provide details and assurance around the actions being taken. **Strategic Priority Links** □ Strengthening Primary Care □Transformed MH/LD/ Complex Care □ Reducing Demand on System □ System transformations □ Fully Integrated OOH Care ⊠Financial Sustainability □Sustainable acute hospital/ single acute contract Local Authority Area ⊠CCG Footprint East Riding of Yorkshire Council □City of York Council □North Yorkshire County Council Impacts/ Key Risks **Risk Rating** ⊠Financial □Legal □ Primary Care □ Equalities **Emerging Risks**

| Impact Assessments   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Please confirm below that the impact assessments have been approved and outline any risks/issues identified. |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Quality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Data Protection Impact Assessment</li> </ul>                     | <ul> <li>Equality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Sustainability Impact Assessment</li> </ul> |  |
| Risks/Issues identified from impact assessments:   |  |  |
| Recommendations  |  |  |
| The Governing Body is asked to note the financial performance to date and the associated actions.            |  |  |
| Decision Requested (for Decision Log)  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| Responsible Executive Director and Title | Report Author and Title                              |
|--|--|
| Simon Bell, Chief Finance Officer        | Michael Ash-McMahon, Deputy Chief<br>Finance Officer |
|  |  |

Annexes (please list) Appendix 1 – Finance Dashboard Appendix 2 – Running Cost Dashboard
# Finance and Contracting Performance Report – Executive Summary



April 2019 to July 2019 Month 4 2019/20



# **Financial Performance Headlines**

## **IMPROVEMENTS IN PERFORMANCE**

| Issue                 | Improvement   | Action Required                            |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| QIPP delivery         | As previously reported the forecast outturn<br>remains strong in terms of what has been<br>delivered at this stage of the year as it now<br>includes £7.4m of actioned savings although<br>these are profiled through the remainder of<br>the year. | On-going monitoring.                       |
|                       | In addition, £214k of achievement has now been identified against the £600k non-recurrent QIPP plan for slippage in primary care adjustments.   |  |
| Continuing Healthcare | The CHC financial position continues to have<br>a good start to the year and is £573k<br>underspent for the year to date and is on<br>track to deliver the associated QIPP plans in<br>this area.   | On-going monitoring of expenditure trends. |

# **Financial Performance Headlines**

## **DETERIORATION IN PERFORMANCE**

| Issue                    | Deterioration   | Action Required   |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| Ramsay                   | The CCG continues to see an increase in activity<br>at Ramsay over the levels in 2019/20. In June<br>this was a further £182k and the contract is now<br>£337k higher than plan at the end of July.   | Contracting team to review data and identify<br>any trends that may require further<br>investigation and contract enforcement.<br>CFO meeting with both independent sector<br>providers in August in order to review in-<br>year options to return spending to plan.<br>Work to develop an interface service<br>through MSK to provide a definitive<br>treatment point and clock stop underway. |
| NHS Property<br>Services | The CCG has agreed a position with the NHS<br>Property Services arbitration team for the<br>settlement of 2017/18 and 2018/19 invoices. This<br>needs to be formally confirmed with their Board<br>for it to be transacted. This creates an in-year<br>pressure of £331k, but represents a significant<br>discount on the outstanding bills and means the<br>CCG has no on-going financial liability for<br>Bootham Park Hospital estate from 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2019. | Transact agreement when formally<br>confirmed by NHS Property Services.<br>Discussion with TEWV colleagues in terms<br>of additional support agreement for 2019/20<br>as estate costs can now be released earlier<br>in 2020/21 than planned.   |
| QIPP                     | The Month 4 QIPP position is £428k off plan. This relates to Prescribing, £257k, as PIB2 still needs to launch and £209k from the System የምርራን ery Schemes in plan, but not delivered in July.  | Both are still forecast to deliver in full.<br>PIB2 has been approved by the Executive<br>committee and work is underway in August<br>around repeat prescriptions.  |

# **Financial Performance Headlines**

### **ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION AND EMERGING ISSUES**

- 1. Category M price changes The CCG have this month received notification from NHS England / NHS changes to the medicines margin calculation. During 2018/19, CCGs were supported by a central allocation of £50m and a further £50m of margin rebate on Category M drugs. However, a further review of 2018/19 has taken place and recent discussions with DHSC have identified an under-delivery of the medicines margin for 2018/19 whilst also highlighting the likelihood of a significant under-delivery of the medicines margin for and by the end of the financial year 2019/20. To address this, it has been agreed that with effect from August 2019 the medicines margin will be increased by £15m per month to the financial year. CCGs have been expected to manage this within their overall financial positions and this has impacted prescribing budgets by an estimated £665k for the year. Currently this is offset in reserves and other plans will need to be developed to mitigate this additional costs otherwise it would be an impact on the CCGs contingency reserve.
- 2. System recovery The CCG's contingency reserve was set at £2.4m as the primary source of mitigation for the risk of under-delivery of the system recovery plan of £11.2m. Given the three way risk share associated with this recovery plan, and the high risk associated with the majority of the plans, alternative actions will be needed to ensure plan delivery in 19/20. The maximum exposure for total delivery failure of the system recovery plan would be £3.7m.

# **Financial Performance Summary**

### Summary of Key Finance Statutory Duties

|  | Year to Date |              |                | 2019-20 Forecast Outturn |              |              |                |               |
|--|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| Indicator  | Target<br>£m | Actual<br>£m | Variance<br>£m | RAG<br>rating            | Target<br>£m | Actual<br>£m | Variance<br>£m | RAG<br>rating |
| In-year running costs expenditure does not exceed running costs allocation               |              |              |                |                          | 7.5          | 7.1          | 0.5            | G             |
| In-year total expenditure does not exceed total allocation (Programme and Running costs) |              |              |                |                          | 490.8        | 509.6        | (18.8)         | R             |
| Better Payment Practice Code (Value)   | 95.00%       | 99.67%       | 4.67%          | G                        | 95.00%       | >95.00%      | 0.00%          | G             |
| Better Payment Practice Code (Number)  | 95.00%       | 97.23%       | 2.23%          | G                        | 95.00%       | >95.00%      | 0.00%          | G             |
| CCG cash drawdown does not exceed maximum cash drawdown                                  |              |              |                |                          | 508.7        | 509.6        | (0.9)          | R             |

'In-year total expenditure does not exceed total allocation' – outturn expenditure is forecast to be £18.8m higher than the CCG's in-year allocation, but is in line with the CCG plan.

• CCG cash drawdown does not exceed Maximum Cash Drawdown' – this is currently showing as red on the RAG rating due to the NHS England calculation which includes an arbitrary value for depreciation and will be corrected later in the year as it has been in previous years.

# **Financial Performance Summary**

### Summary of Key Financial Measures

|  | Year to Date   |                |                  |               | 2019-20 Forecast Outturn |                |                  |               |
|--|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|
| Indicator  | Target<br>£000 | Actual<br>£000 | Variance<br>£000 | RAG<br>rating | Target<br>£000           | Actual<br>£000 | Variance<br>£000 | RAG<br>rating |
| Running costs spend w ithin plan                                       | 2.4            | 2.3            | 0.1              | G             | 7.1                      | 7.1            | 0.0              | G             |
| Programme spend w ithin plan   | 168.3          | 168.7          | (0.4)            | R             | 502.6                    | 502.6          | (0.0)            | G             |
| Actual position is within plan (In-year)                               | (6.3)          | (6.6)          | (0.3)            | R             | (18.8)                   | (18.8)         | (0.0)            | G             |
| Actual position is within plan (Cumulative)                            |                |                |                  |               | (81.3)                   | (81.3)         | 0.0              | G             |
| Risk adjusted deficit  |                |                |                  |               | (18.8)                   | (18.8)         | 0.0              | G             |
| Cash balance at month end is within 1.25% of monthly draw dow n (£000) | 545            | 252            | 293              | G             |                          |                | •                |               |
| QIPP delivery  | 3.7            | 3.2            | (0.4)            | R             | 14.6                     | 14.7           | 0.1              | G             |

QIPP delivery YTD – shortfall relates to prescribing indicative budgets. The new scheme (PIB2) and associated agreements should be in before the end of September, although the Medicines Management Team continue to work with practices on schemes that could impact earlier than this. The scheme is still forecast to deliver the full amount of savings (£2.0m) over the remainder of the financial year.

# NHS Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group Financial Performance Report

# **Detailed Narrative**

Report produced: August 2019

Financial Period: April 2019 to July 2019 (Month 4)

### 1. Month 4 Supporting Narrative

The year to date plan at Month 4 was a deficit of £6.3m; however the actual deficit is £6.6m, £304k worse than planned. This is explained in further detail in the table below.

QIPP delivery at Month 4 is £3.2m against a year to date plan target of £3.7m, £428k worse than plan. The difference relates to slippage on prescribing schemes and system recovery plans, although both are still forecast to deliver in full over the remainder of the year – see section 7 for more details.

| Description              | Value    | Commentary / Actions   |
|--------------------------|----------|--|
| Continuing Care          | £0.57m   | The reported position is based on information from<br>the QA system. A £1.5m contingency has been<br>provided in plan for high cost packages, and this has<br>not been utilised in the year to date position resulting<br>in a £500k underspend. However, the forecast<br>position remains that this will be utilised in full over<br>the year and that the underspend will disappear. |
| Ramsay                   | (£0.34m) | Activity at Ramsay continues to be higher than plan<br>based on the Month 3 flex position and without<br>additional action will result in a £1.4m over trade.<br>Discussions are planned this month with the CFO<br>and key staff at both Ramsay and Nuffield as part of<br>managing back to contract and broader involvement<br>in the system recovery required.                      |
| Primary Care Prescribing | (£0.29m) | Of this £257k relates to slippage on QIPP schemes.   |
| Reserves                 | (£0.21m) | This relates wholly to the System Recovery Schemes<br>that are planned to come in from July onwards, but<br>are no yet delivering.   |
| Other variances          | (£0.16m) |  |
| Total impact on YTD      | (£0.43m) |  |

### Reported year to date financial position – variance analysis

### 2. Forecast Outturn Supporting Narrative

The forecast outturn of £18.8m deficit is in line with plan, however within this position there are several variances which are explained in further detail in the following table. This table now includes the CCG's first full forecast on a line by line basis applying the established forecasting methodologies, albeit some lines only have two months of expenditure to base this on.

Financial Period: April 2019 to July 2019

The forecast outturn includes QIPP delivery of £14.7m, broadly in line with the QIPP plan of £14.6m and including the CCG's share of the £11.2m System Cost Reduction requirement.

| •                         | •        |   |
|---------------------------|----------|---|
| Description               | Value    | Commentary / Actions  |
| Other Acute Commissioning | (£0.29m) | This effectively relates to the NHS Property Services<br>deal that has been agreed with their arbitration team<br>with £260k here and the balance within the NHS<br>Property services line below.   |
| Primary Care Prescribing  | (£0.73m) | £665k of this relates to the nationally notified Category<br>M price adjustment, which it is assumed will be<br>managed by CCGs and therefore has been off-set<br>within reserves while it awaits specific management<br>action.  |
| Other Services            | (£0.11m) | The CCG has agreed a position with the NHS Property<br>Services arbitration team for the settlement of 2017/18<br>and 2018/19 invoices. This needs to be formally<br>confirmed with their Board for it to be transacted. This<br>creates an in-year pressure of £331k, but represents a<br>significant discount on the outstanding bills and means<br>the CCG has no on-going financial liability for<br>Bootham Park Hospital estate from 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2019.<br>Due to how this was accrued for in 2018/19 £71k<br>impacts here with the balance in "Other Acute<br>Commissioning". |
| Prior Year Balances       | (£0.12m) | As previously reported.   |
| Reserves                  | £1.27m   | Forecast adjustment in reserves for mitigations to be<br>developed throughout the financial year in order to<br>deliver performance in line with financial plan. £665k<br>relates to the Category M price change and £331k to<br>the NHS Property Services historic agreement.  |
| Other variances           | (£0.02m) |   |
| Total impact on forecast  | £0.00m   |   |

Forecast in-year financial position – variance analysis

It is important to note that within the current forecast outturn there is now £1.3m adjusted for in total in reserves of which £665k is in relation to Category M, with no current plans in place to offset, £331k to mitigate the NHS Property Services pressure, which will require discussions with system partners later in the year and a number of other smaller variances. This assumes that the CCG will fully mitigate the potential variance on the Ramsay contract by a further £1.4m, although there is a proposal to manage this. If this is not possible the CCG already has £2.7m of variances that is already more than the contingency amount and in addition to the potential Prescribing QIPP risk and System Recovery savings delivery.

### 3. Gap and Key Delivery Challenges

In the Month 4 non-ISFE submission, the CCG reported risks totalling £2.4m offset in full by the contingency as follows:

#### Pressures

| Description         | Expected Value | Commentary   |
|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Cat M mitigation    | £0.66m         | There is currently no plan in place to off-set the |
|                     |                | national Category M price change pressure.         |
| QIPP under-delivery | £1.78m         | In-year QIPP slippage, £0.56m Prescribing and      |
|                     |                | £1.22m System Recovery Plans.                      |
| Total               | £2.44m         |  |

#### Proposals and contingencies

| Description | Expected Value | Commentary                            |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Contingency | £2.44m         | 0.5% contingency provided for in plan |
| Total       | £2.44m         |                                       |

### 4. Allocations

The allocation as at Month 4 is as follows:

| Description                                | Recurrent /   | Category     | Value    |
|--|---------------|--------------|----------|
|  | Non-recurrent |              |          |
| Total allocation at Month 2                |               |              | £426.19m |
| Excess Treatment Costs – move to centrally | Non-recurrent | Core         | (£0.02m) |
| co-ordinated funding                       |               |              |          |
| DWP Employment Advisors in IAPT            | Non-recurrent | Core         | £0.10m   |
| Improving Access 2019/20 allocation        | Non-recurrent | Core         | £2.00m   |
| Transfer PMS premium monies from delegated | Non-recurrent | Core         | (£0.31m) |
| to core                                    |               |              |          |
| Transfer PMS premium monies from delegated | Non-recurrent | Primary Care | £0.31m   |
| to core                                    |               |              |          |
| PHB Mentoring Programme                    | Non-recurrent | Core         | £0.04m   |
| Total allocation at Month 4                |               |              | £428.31m |

### 5. Underlying position

The underlying position as at Month 4 is reported in line with the plan as per the table below.

| Description  | Value     |
|--|-----------|
| Planned in-year deficit                                    | (£18.84m) |
| Adjust for non-recurrent items in outturn -                |           |
| Equipment and wheelchairs non-recurrent prior year payment | £0.20m    |
| Deferred PIB payments                                      | £0.60m    |
| Repayment of 2016/17 system support                        | £0.33m    |
| Primary Care slippage – non-recurrent QIPP                 | (£0.60m)  |
| NHS Property Services                                      | £0.33m    |
| Category M price change                                    | £0.66m    |
| Prior year pressures                                       | £0.12m    |

| Reserves adjustment               | (£1.29m)  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Other non-recurrent items in plan | £0.36m    |
| Reported underlying position      | (£18.13m) |

#### 6. Balance sheet / other financial considerations

There are no material concerns with the CCG's balance sheet as at 31 July 2019. One of the CCG's statutory requirements is that the cash drawdown in year must not exceed the Maximum Cash Drawdown as determined by NHS England. This is currently showing as red on the RAG rating due to the NHS England calculation which includes an arbitrary value for depreciation and will be corrected later in the year as it has been historically.

The CCG achieved the Better Payment Practice Code in terms of both the volume and value of invoices being paid above the 95% target year to date.

### 7. QIPP programme

|                    |   | ١     | ear to Dat | e        |        | Forecas   | Outturn  |          |   |
|--------------------|---|-------|------------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|---|
|                    |   |       |            |          |        |           |          | FOT      |   |
| Area               | Scheme  | Plan  | Actual     | Variance | Plan   | Delivered | Forecast | Variance | Comments  |
| Acute              | Biosimilar drugs (FYE)                            | 1,101 | 1,101      | 0        | 2,384  | 2,384     | 2,384    | 0        | Delivered in full through acute contract  |
| Commissioning      | Cost reductions in contract                       | 738   | 738        | 0        | 2,970  | 2,970     | 2,970    | 0        | Delivered in full through acute contract  |
|                    | CHC Packages (FYE)                                | 841   | 871        | 30       | 1,401  | 1,443     | 1,443    | 42       | Delivered in full   |
|                    | MH Out of Contract Packages (FYE)                 | 159   | 151        | (8)      | 237    | 224       | 224      | (13)     | Delivered in full   |
| Complex Care       | Review of CHC Packages                            | 176   | 148        | (29)     | 1,377  | 148       | 1,336    | (41)     | Forecast is based on a detailed package by package<br>savings report and will continue to be monitored<br>throughout the year.  |
|                    | Fast track post (investment)                      | (16)  | (16)       | 0        | (48)   | (16)      | (48)     | 0        |   |
|                    | MH Out of Contract Packages                       | 0     | 31         | 31       | 0      | 31        | 97       | 97       | No specific line in plan relating to MH OOC but<br>packages continue to be reviewed. This offsets the<br>small forecast shortfall in CHC to deliver the full level<br>of planned savings across complex care.   |
| Prescribing        | Prescribing schemes                               | 257   | 0          | (257)    | 2,008  | 0         | 2,008    | 0        | Prescribing Indicative Budgets 2 is to be set up<br>based on primary care networks, slippage is<br>therefore down to networks being establised from 1<br>July. It is expected that the full level of planned<br>savings will be delivered over the remaining 9 months<br>of the financial year. |
| Primary Care       | Primary Care investment slippage                  | 200   | 214        | 14       | 600    | 214       | 600      | 0        | £193k of slippage has been identified, £407k still to identify.   |
|                    | Independent Sector                                | 111   | 0          | (111)    | 1,000  | 0         | 1,000    | 0        | The system recovery schemes are being overseen  |
| 0                  | Cardiology prescribing - DOAC switch              | 78    | 0          | (78)     | 700    | 0         | 700      | 0        | by System Delivery Board and detailed PIDs are  |
| System<br>Recovery | Decommissioning non obstetric ultrasounds (YHS)   | 0     | 0          | 0        | 370    | 0         | 370      | 0        | being developed. These schemes are all profiled later   |
| Schemes            | PTS - decommission saloon cars / tighten criteria | 0     | 0          | 0        | 250    | 0         | 250      | 0        | in the financial year. The forecast delivery will be  |
|                    | Management costs                                  | 20    | 0          | (20)     | 180    | 0         |          | 0        | monitored and agreed by system partners are the   |
|                    | Other acute cost reductions (YTHFT)               | 0     | 0          | 0        | 1,220  | 0         | 1,220    | 0        | schemes progress.   |
|                    |   | 3,667 | 3,239      | (428)    | 14,648 | 7,397     | 14,733   | 85       |   |
|                    |   |       | 88%        |          |        | 50%       | 101%     |          |   |

### Appendix 1 – Finance dashboard

|                                      | YTD Position |        | YTD F    | Previous N | lonth  | YT       | D Movem | ent    | Foreca   | st Outturr | n (FOT) | FOT      | Previous | Month   | FOT Movement |        |        |          |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------|----------|------------|--------|----------|---------|--------|----------|------------|---------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|--------|--------|----------|
|                                      | Budget       | Actual | Variance | Budget     | Actual | Variance | Budget  | Actual | Variance | Budget     | Actual  | Variance | Budget   | Actual  | Variance     | Budget | Actual | Variance |
|                                      | £000         | £000   | £000     | £000       | £000   | £000     | £000    | £000   | £000     | £000       | £000    | £000     | £000     | £000    | £000         | £000   | £000   | £000     |
| Commissioned Services                |              |        |          |            |        |          |         |        |          |            |         |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| Acute Services                       |              |        |          |            |        |          |         |        |          |            |         |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| York Teaching Hospital NHS FT        | 72,656       | 72,656 | (0)      | 54,508     | 54,508 | (0)      | 18,147  | 18.147 | (0)      | 217,212    | 217,212 | 0        | 217,212  | 217,212 | 0            | 0      | 0      | 0        |
| Yorkshire Ambulance Service NHS      | , i          | ,      |          | ,          |        |          |         |        |          | í í        | , i     |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| Trust                                | 4,756        | 4,756  | (0)      | 3,567      | 3,567  | (0)      | 1,189   | 1,189  | (0)      | 14,267     | 14,267  | (0)      | 14,267   | 14,267  | 0            | 0      | 0      | (0)      |
| Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust   | 2,818        | 2,862  | (45)     | 2,066      | 2,026  | 41       | 752     | 837    | (85)     | 8,497      | 8,541   | (45)     | 8,497    | 8,456   | 41           | 0      | 85     | (85)     |
| Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals    |              |        |          |            |        |          |         |        |          |            |         |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| NHS Trust                            | 1,113        | 1,180  | (67)     | 811        | 879    | (69)     | 302     | 301    | 1        | 3,320      | 3,387   | (67)     | 3,320    | 3,389   | (69)         | 0      | (1)    | ) 1      |
| Harrogate and District NHS FT        | 840          | 810    | 30       | 624        | 603    | 21       | 217     | 208    | 9        | 2,552      | 2,523   | 30       | 2,552    | 2,532   | 21           | 0      | (9)    | 9        |
| Mid Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust    | 718          | 609    | 109      | 537        | 485    | 52       | 181     | 124    | 57       | 2,119      | 2,009   | 109      | 2,119    | 2,067   | 52           | 0      | (57)   | 57       |
| South Tees NHS FT                    | 474          | 474    | (0)      | 355        | 355    | (0)      | 118     | 118    | (0)      | 1,422      | 1,422   | (0)      | 1,422    | 1,422   | 0            | 0      | 0      | (0)      |
| North Lincolnshire & Goole Hospitals |              |        |          |            |        |          |         |        |          |            |         |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| NHS Trust                            | 123          | 127    | (4)      | 90         | 95     | (5)      | 33      | 32     | 1        | 369        | 373     | (4)      | 369      | 374     | (5)          | 0      | (1)    | ) 1      |
| Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS FT  | 98           | 98     | 0        | 73         | 73     | 0        | 24      | 24     | 0        | 293        | 293     | 0        | 293      | 293     | 0            | 0      | 0      | 0        |
| Non-Contracted Activity              | 1,799        | 1,799  | 0        | 1,350      | 1,350  | 0        | 450     | 450    | 0        | 5,398      | 5,398   | 0        | 5,398    | 5,398   | 0            | 0      | 0      |          |
| Other Acute Commissioning            | 416          | 435    | (19)     | 295        | 320    | (24)     | 121     | 115    | 6        | 1,382      | 1,667   | (286)    | 1,171    | 1,212   | (41)         | 210    | 455    | (245)    |
| Ramsay                               | 1,594        | 1,931  | (337)    | 1,157      | 1,312  | (155)    | 436     | 619    | (182)    | 4,820      | 4,820   | (0)      | 4,820    | 4,975   | (155)        | 0      | (155)  | 155      |
| Nuffield Health                      | 1,183        | 1,162  | 20       | 859        | 881    | (23)     | 324     | 281    | 43       | 3,574      | 3,553   | 20       | 3,574    | 3,597   | (23)         | 0      | (43)   | 43       |
| Other Private Providers              | 472          | 433    | 39       | 354        | 319    | 35       | 118     | 114    | 4        | 1,415      | 1,377   | 39       | 1,415    | 1,381   | 35           | 0      | (4)    |          |
| Sub Total                            | 89,058       | 89,332 | (273)    | 66,646     | 66,773 | (127)    | 22,413  | 22,559 | (146)    | 266,639    | 266,843 | (204)    | 266,429  | 266,572 | (143)        | 210    | 271    | (60)     |
| Mental Health Services               |              |        |          |            |        |          |         |        |          |            |         |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS FT    | 14,690       | 14,706 | (16)     | 11,018     | 11,018 | (0)      | 3,673   | 3,689  | (16)     | 44,028     | 44,044  | (16)     | 44,028   | 44,028  | 0            | 0      | 16     | (16)     |
| Out of Contract Placements           | 2,451        | 2,347  | 104      | 1,838      | 1,729  | 109      | 613     | 618    | (5)      | 7,353      | 7,353   | 0        | 7,353    | 7,353   | 0            | 0      | 0      | 0        |
| SRBI                                 | 405          | 524    | (119)    | 304        | 377    | (73)     | 101     | 148    | (46)     | 1,215      | 1,328   | (112)    | 1,215    | 1,268   | (52)         | 0      | 60     | (60)     |
| Non-Contracted Activity - MH         | 153          | 153    | 0        | 114        | 114    | 0        | 38      | 38     | 0        | 458        | 458     | 0        | 458      | 458     | 0            | 0      | 0      | 0        |
| Other Mental Health                  | 370          | 377    | (7)      | 277        | 284    | (6)      | 92      | 93     | (1)      | 1,109      | 1,108   | 1        | 1,074    | 1,108   | (35)         | 35     | (1)    |          |
| Sub Total                            | 18,069       | 18,108 | (39)     | 13,552     | 13,522 | 30       | 4,517   | 4,586  | (69)     | 54,163     | 54,290  | (127)    | 54,128   | 54,215  | (87)         | 35     | 75     | (40)     |
| Community Services                   |              |        |          |            |        |          |         |        |          |            |         |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| York Teaching Hospital NHS FT -      |              |        |          |            |        |          |         |        |          |            |         |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| Community                            | 6,375        | 6,375  | 0        | 4,781      | 4,781  | 0        | 1,594   | 1,594  | 0        | 19,125     | 19,125  | 0        | 19,125   | 19,125  | 0            | 0      | 0      | 0        |
| York Teaching Hospital NHS FT - MSK  | 779          | 779    | (0)      | 584        | 584    | (0)      | 195     | 195    | (0)      | 2,336      | 2,336   | (0)      | 2,336    | 2,336   | (0)          | 0      | 0      | (0)      |
| Harrogate and District NHS FT -      |              |        |          |            |        |          |         |        |          |            |         |          |          |         |              |        |        |          |
| Community                            | 968          | 992    | (24)     | 726        | 757    | (31)     | 242     | 235    | 7        | 2,905      | 2,945   | (40)     | 2,905    | 2,948   | (43)         | 0      | (3)    | ) 3      |
| Humber NHS FT - Community            | 719          | 719    | (0)      | 539        | 539    | 0        | 180     | 180    | (0)      | 2,156      | 2,157   | (1)      | 2,156    | 2,156   | 0            | 0      | 1      | (1)      |
| Hospices                             | 453          | 452    | 1        | 339        | 339    | 0        | 113     | 113    | 1        | 1,358      | 1,357   | 1        | 1,358    | 1,357   | 0            | 0      | (1)    | ) 1      |
| Longer Term Conditions               | 94           | 96     | (2)      | 71         | 72     | (1)      | 24      | 24     | (0)      | 282        | 284     | (2)      | 282      | 284     | (2)          | 0      | (1)    | ) 1      |
| Other Community                      | 873          | 937    | (63)     | 656        | 726    | (70)     | 218     | 211    | 7        | 2,599      | 2,661   | (62)     | 2,599    | 2,663   | (64)         | 0      | (2)    |          |
| Sub total                            | 10,261       | 10,349 | (89)     | 7,696      | 7,798  | (102)    | 2,565   | 2,551  | 14       | 30,761     | 30,864  | (104)    | 30,761   | 30,870  | (109)        | 0      | (6)    | 6        |

|                                  | YTD Position |              | YTD F        | Previous I | Nonth      | YT        | D Movem | ent      | For      | ecast Out      | turn            | FOT        | Previous   | Month        | FOT Movement |        |          |             |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------|----------|-------------|
|                                  | Budget       | Actual       | Variance     | Budget     | Actual     | Variance  | Budget  | Actual   | Variance | Budget         | Actual          | Variance   | Budget     | Actual       | Variance     | Budget | Actual   | Variance    |
|                                  | £000         | £000         | £000         | £000       | £000       | £000      | £000    | £000     | £000     | £000           | £000            | £000       | £000       | £000         | £000         | £000   | £000     | £000        |
| Other Services                   |              |              |              |            |            |           |         |          |          |                |                 |            |            |              |              |        |          |             |
| Continuing Care                  | 9,244        | 8,671        | 573          | 6,959      | 6,469      | 491       | 2,285   | 2,202    | 83       | 26,885         | 26,771          | 114        | 26,885     | 26,807       | 78           | 0      | (36)     | 36          |
| CHC Clinical Team                | 426          | 418          | 8            | 320        | 321        | (1)       | 107     | 97       | 9        | 1,319          | 1,319           | 0          | 1,279      | 1,270        | 9            | 40     | 48       | (8)         |
| Funded Nursing Care              | 1,351        | 1,278        | 73           | 1,013      | 1,000      | 13        | 338     | 277      | 60       | 4,052          | 4,095           | (42)       | 4,052      | 4,083        | (31)         | 0      | 12       | (12)        |
| Patient Transport - Yorkshire    | 745          | 754          | (9)          | 559        | 567        | (9)       | 186     | 186      | (0)      | 2,234          | 2,246           | (11)       | 2,234      | 2,246        | (11)         | 0      | 0        | 0           |
| Voluntary Sector / Section 256   | 187          | 182          | 4            | 140        | 136        | 4         | 47      | 46       | 1        | 560            | 547             | 13         | 560        | 545          | 15           | 0      | 2        | (2)         |
| Non-NHS Treatment                | 207          | 207          | 0            | 156        | 159        | (4)       | 52      | 48       | 4        | 622            | 620             | 3          | 622        | 629          | (6)          | 0      | (9)      | 9           |
| NHS 111                          | 354          | 346          | 8            | 265        | 260        | 6         | 88      | 87       | 2        | 1,061          | 1,038           | 23         | 1,061      | 1,038        | 23           | 0      | 0        | 0           |
| Better Care Fund                 | 3,652        | 3,653        | (2)          | 2,739      | 2,740      | (1)       | 913     | 913      | (0)      | 10,956         | 10,960          | (5)        | 10,956     | 10,955       | 1            | 0      | 6        | (6)         |
| Other Services                   | 231          | 314          | (84)         | 173        | 183        | (10)      | 58      | 132      | (74)     | 692            | 802             | (109)      | 692        | 731          | (39)         | 0      | 71       | (71)        |
| Sub total                        | 16,396       | 15,823       | 573          | 12,324     | 11,835     | 488       | 4,073   | 3,988    | 85       | 48,382         | 48,396          | (15)       | 48,342     | 48,303       | 39           | 40     | 93       | (53)        |
|                                  | -            |              |              |            |            |           |         |          |          |                |                 |            |            |              |              |        |          |             |
| Primary Care                     | 10 150       | 16 447       | (201)        | 12.122     | 12.242     | (121)     | 4,035   | 4,205    | (170)    | 47.240         | 40.045          | (706)      | 47,319     | 47.401       | (81)         | 0      | 645      | (645)       |
| Primary Care Prescribing         | 16,156       | 16,447       | (291)<br>149 | 494        | 12,242     | 209       | 4,035   |          | · · · /  | 47,319         | 48,045<br>1,974 | (726)      | ,          | 1,930        | · · ·        | -      |          | (645)       |
| Other Prescribing                | 659          | 510          |              |            | 286<br>556 |           | 165     | 224      | (60)     | 1,978<br>2,242 | <i>'</i>        | 4          | 1,978      |              | 48<br>77     | 0      | 44       | (44)        |
| Local Enhanced Services          | 747          | 835          | (88)         | 560        | 95         | 4         | 31      | 279      | (92)     |                | 2,151<br>388    | 91         | 2,242      | 2,164<br>373 |              | 0      | (14)     | 14          |
| Oxygen                           | 124<br>275   | 129<br>263   | (6)<br>12    | 93<br>206  | 95<br>197  | (2)<br>10 | 69      | 34<br>66 | (3)<br>3 | 371<br>826     | 763             | (17)<br>63 | 371<br>826 | 761          | (2)<br>65    | 0      | 15<br>2  | (15)        |
| Primary Care IT<br>Out of Hours  | 1,082        |              | (32)         | 812        | 841        | (29)      | 271     | 274      | (3)      | 3,247          | 3,279           | (32)       | 3,247      | 3,276        | (29)         | 0      | 2        | (2)         |
| Other Primary Care               | 791          | 1,114<br>824 | (32)         | 515        | 538        | (29)      | 271     | 274      | (3)      | 2,374          | 2,451           | (32)       | 2,061      | 2,152        | (29)         | 313    | 299      | (3)<br>14   |
| Sub Total                        | 19,835       | 20,123       | (33)         | 14,803     | 14.754     | 49        | 5,033   | 5.369    | (11)     | 58,357         | <b>59,050</b>   | (77)       | 58.044     | 58.057       | (91)         | 313    | <u> </u> | (680)       |
|                                  | 19,000       |              |              |            |            | _         |         | .,       |          | í.             | í.              |            |            |              |              |        |          |             |
| Primary Care Commissioning       | 14,897       | 14,853       | 44           | 11,201     | 11,081     | 120       | 3,695   | 3,772    | (76)     | 45,265         | 45,268          | (3)        | 45,578     | 45,579       | (1)          | (313)  | (311)    | (2)         |
| Trading Position                 | 168,516      | 168,588      | (72)         | 126,221    | 125,764    | 457       | 42,295  | 42,825   | (530)    | 503,567        | 504,712         | (1,146)    | 503,281    | 503,597      | (315)        | 285    | 1,115    | (830)       |
| Prior Year Balances              | 0            | 119          | (119)        | 0          | 93         | (93)      | 0       | 26       | (26)     | 0              | 119             | (119)      | 0          | 93           | (93)         | 0      | 26       | (26)        |
| Reserves                         | (209)        | 0            | (209)        | 0          | 0          | 0         | (209)   | 0        | (209)    | (3,433)        | (4,697)         | 1,264      | (3,188)    | (3,596)      | 409          | (245)  | (1,101)  | 856         |
| Contingency                      | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0       | 0        | 0        | 2,443          | 2,443           | 0          | 2,443      | 2,443        | 0            | 0      | 0        | 0           |
| Unallocated QIPP                 | 0            | 0            | 0            | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0              | 0               | 0          | 0          | 0            | 0            | 0      | 0        | 0           |
| Reserves                         | (209)        | 119          | (328)        | 0          | 93         | (93)      | (209)   | 26       | (234)    | (990)          | (2,135)         | 1,146      | (745)      | (1,060)      | 315          | (245)  | (1,075)  | 830         |
| Programme Financial Position     | 168,307      | 168,707      | (400)        | 126,221    | 125,857    | 364       | 42,086  | 42,850   | (764)    | 502,577        | 502,577         | (0)        | 502,537    | 502,537      | (0)          | 40     | 40       | (0)         |
| In Year Surplus / (Deficit)      | (6,283)      | 0            | (6,283)      | (4,712)    | 0          | (4,712)   | (1,571) | 0        | (1,571)  | (18,849)       | 0               | (18,849)   | (18,849)   | 0            | (18,849)     | 0      | 0        | 0           |
| In Year Programme Financial      |              |              |              |            |            |           |         |          |          |                |                 |            |            |              |              |        |          |             |
| Position                         | 162,024      | 168,707      | (6,683)      | 121,509    | 125,857    | (4,348)   | 40,515  | 42,850   | (2,335)  | 483,728        | 502,577         | (18,849)   | 483,688    | 502,537      | (18,849)     | 40     | 40       | (0)         |
| Running Costs                    | 2,358        | 2,263        | 95           | 1,771      | 1,706      | 65        | 587     | 557      | 30       | 7,052          | 7,052           | 0          | 7,052      | 7,052        | 0            | 0      | 0        | 0           |
| Total In Year Financial Position | 164,382      | 170,970      | (6,588)      | 123,280    | 127,563    | (4,283)   | 41,102  | 43,407   | (2,305)  | 490,780        | 509,629         | (18,849)   | 490,740    | 509,589      | (18,849)     | 40     | 40       | (0)         |
| Brought Forward (Deficit)        | (20,824)     | 0            | (20,824)     | (15,618)   | 0          | (15,618)  | (5,206) | 0        | (5,206)  | (62,471)       | 0               | (62,471)   | (62,471)   | 0            | (62,471)     | 0      | 0        | 0           |
| Cumulative Financial Position    | 143,559      | 170,970      | (27,411)     | 107,662    | 127,563    | (19,901)  | 35,896  | 43,407   | (7,511)  | 428,309        | 509,629         | (81,320)   | 428,269    | 509,589      | (81,320)     | 40     | 40       | <u>(</u> 0) |

|                                | YTD Position   |                | YTD Previous Month |                | YTD Movement   |                  |                | Forecast Outturn (FOT) |                  |                | FOT            | Previou          | s Month        | FOT Movement   |                  |                |                |                  |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Directorate                    | Budget<br>£000 | Actual<br>£000 | Variance<br>£000   | Budget<br>£000 | Actual<br>£000 | Variance<br>£000 | Budget<br>£000 | Actual<br>£000         | Variance<br>£000 | Budget<br>£000 | Actual<br>£000 | Variance<br>£000 | Budget<br>£000 | Actual<br>£000 | Variance<br>£000 | Budget<br>£000 | Actual<br>£000 | Variance<br>£000 |
| Joint Commissioning            | 62             | 38             | 24                 | 31             | 19             | 12               | 31             | 19                     | 12               | 186            | 185            | 1                | 186            | 174            | 13               | 0              | 12             | (12)             |
| Chief Executive / Board Office | 387            | 348            | 40                 | 194            | 183            | 11               | 194            | 165                    | 29               | 1,162          | 1,124          | 38               | 1,162          | 1,177          | (15)             | 0              | (53)           | 53               |
| Planned Care                   | 340            | 328            | 13                 | 184            | 172            | 12               | 156            | 156                    | 0                | 1,015          | 998            | 17               | 1,091          | 1,069          | 22               | (76)           | (70)           | (6)              |
| Communication and Engagement   | 91             | 65             | 25                 | 45             | 30             | 15               | 45             | 35                     | 10               | 272            | 269            | 3                | 272            | 265            | 7                | 0              | 3              | (3)              |
| Contract Management            | 295            | 271            | 24                 | 145            | 131            | 14               | 150            | 140                    | 10               | 885            | 859            | 25               | 870            | 839            | 31               | 15             | 21             | (6)              |
| Corporate Governance           | 318            | 285            | 33                 | 160            | 144            | 16               | 159            | 141                    | 18               | 953            | 927            | 26               | 953            | 924            | 29               | 0              | 3              | (3)              |
| Finance                        | 439            | 392            | 46                 | 224            | 201            | 23               | 215            | 191                    | 24               | 1,309          | 1,301          | 7                | 1,324          | 1,280          | 44               | (15)           | 21             | (36)             |
| Medicines Management           | 42             | 34             | 8                  | 21             | 18             | 3                | 21             | 16                     | 5                | 126            | 109            | 17               | 126            | 119            | 8                | 0              | (10)           | 10               |
| Quality & Nursing              | 244            | 246            | (2)                | 122            | 125            | (2)              | 122            | 121                    | 0                | 730            | 734            | (5)              | 730            | 739            | (9)              | 0              | (4)            | 4                |
| Risk (SI team)                 | 11             | 10             | 0                  | 6              | 5              | 0                | 5              | 5                      | 0                | 31             | 31             | 1                | 31             | 32             | (0)              | 0              | (1)            | 1                |
| RSS                            | 110            | 92             | 18                 | 49             | 40             | 8                | 61             | 52                     | 10               | 330            | 316            | 14               | 291            | 297            | (6)              | 39             | 19             | 20               |
| Primary Care                   | 195            | 158            | 37                 | 98             | 81             | 18               | 97             | 78                     | 19               | 582            | 487            | 96               | 582            | 561            | 22               | 0              | (74)           | 74               |
| Reserves                       | (176)          | 0              | (176)              | (94)           | 0              | (94)             | (82)           | 0                      | (82)             | (529)          | (288)          | (240)            | (566)          | (421)          | (144)            | 37             | 133            | (96)             |
| Overall Position               | 2,358          | 2,268          | 90                 | 1,185          | 1,150          | 35               | 1,174          | 1,118                  | 55               | 7,052          | 7,052          | 0                | 7,052          | 7,052          | (0)              | 0              | (0)            | 0                |

### Appendix 2 – Running costs dashboard

Item Number: 11

Name of Presenter: Caroline Alexander

Meeting of the Governing Body

Date of meeting: 5 September 2019



**Clinical Commissioning Group** 

Report Title – Integrated Performance Report Month 3 2019/20

Purpose of Report (Select from list) For Information

#### Reason for Report

This document provides a triangulated overview of CCG performance across all NHS Constitutional targets which identifies the causes of current performance levels and the work being undertaken by CCG partners across a number of different forums and working groups in the local Vale and Scarborough and Ryedale system and wider Humber, Coast and Vale health and Care Partnership to drive performance improvement.

The report captures validated data for Month 3.

| Strategic Priority Links  |   |
|---|---|
| <ul> <li>Strengthening Primary Care</li> <li>Reducing Demand on System</li> <li>Fully Integrated OOH Care</li> <li>Sustainable acute hospital/ single acute contract</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>□ Transformed MH/LD/ Complex Care</li> <li>□ System transformations</li> <li>□ Financial Sustainability</li> </ul> |
| Local Authority Area  |   |
| ⊠CCG Footprint □City of York Council  | □East Riding of Yorkshire Council<br>□North Yorkshire County Council  |
| Impacts/ Key Risks  | Risk Rating   |
| ⊠Financial<br>□Legal<br>□Primary Care<br>⊠Equalities  |   |
| Emerging Risks  |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |

| Impact Assessments   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please confirm below that the impact assessments have been approved and outline any risks/issues identified.   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Quality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Data Protection Impact Assessment</li> </ul>   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Risks/Issues identified from impact assessments:   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A summary is being prepared under separate cover for all relevant workstreams which are driving performance improvement to summarise assessments and risks for all CCG or partner work. This will support the Committee being able to closely monitor the impact of all programmes of work the CCG and partners are undertaking. This will be aligned to the October review of all system financial recovery programme schemes at the System Delivery Board. |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommendations  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decision Requested (for Decision Log)<br>(For example, Decision to implement new system/ Decision to choose one of options a/b/c for<br>new system)  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsible Executive Director and Title   | Report Author and Title |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Responsible Executive Director and Title | Report Author and Title  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Phil Mettam, Accountable Officer         | Caroline Alexander, Assistant Director of Performance and Delivery |  |

# Vale of York CCG Integrated Performance Report

Validated data to June 2019, Month 3 2019/20

Produced August 2019



# Contents

### Planned Care:

- Diagnostics
- Referral to Treatment (RTT)
- % of children waiting 18 weeks or less for a wheelchair
- Cancer

### Unplanned and Out of Hospital Care:

- Emergency Department York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
- Yorkshire Ambulance Service (YAS)
- NHS 111 Yorkshire and Humber
- GP Out of Hours Northern Doctors
- Primary Care Access
- Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOCs)

### Mental Health:

- Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT)
- Early Intervention in Psychosis (EIP)
- Dementia Diagnosis
- Children and Young People's (CYP) Mental Health Services Access Rate
- Children and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) Referral to Treatment (RTT)
- Children and Young People's (CYP) Eating Disorders
- Autism Assessments
- Annual Health Checks for people with Severe Mental Illness (SMI)

### • Complex Care:

- Continuing Healthcare (CHC)
- Personal Health Budgets (PHBs)
- CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework
- CCG Quality Premium
- Clinical Standards Review 2019
- Acronyms

### **Performance Headlines**

**1. Diagnostics:** to note the refreshed YTHFT recovery plans which will be further developed with the CCGs during August and September to consider how to ensure all unnecessary referrals to diagnostics are mitigated. There will be weekly recovery reporting and escalations from WC 19/8/19.

**2. ECS:** to note the escalations from the system ECS Summit held on 8/8/19 with NHSE/I and CQC. Focus is on refreshing the focus on managing the pressures on ED Front Door at York ED and developing the DTOC capacity and approach to discharge. Verbal update.

**3. Cancer 62D:** to note M4 (unvalidated) performance is at target and the joint system cancer operational delivery plan and new local Cancer Strategy and Delivery Groups will be meeting and discussed on 22/8/19.

**4. Cancer 2WW:** to note the fast track referrals to York Hospital specialties has reached the highest levels ever recorded in June 2019 – approx. 365 referrals for 2WW per week. This is an 8% increase on the same period in 18/19. Practice visits and supporting information packs are incorporating this referral trend. There are no subsequent increase in cancer diagnosis rates.

**5. CCG IAF 2018/19 year end rating:** to note the 'Requires Improvement' rating and detailed breakdown of scoring within the IAF indicators is outlined from slide 39

# Performance and Programme Overview Planned Care

### Areas Covered:

- Diagnostics
- Referral to Treatment (RTT)
- % of children waiting 18 weeks or less for a wheelchair
- Cancer

### Content:

- Summary dashboard
- Narrative
- Supporting data



## Vale of York CCG Performance Summary Dashboard – Planned Care

| CCG IAF 2018/19 | Planning Guidance 2019/20 | Quality Premium 2018/19 | Category    | Indicator  | 2019/20 Target           | Jul-18 | Aug-18 | Sep-18 | Oct-18             | Nov-18             | Dec-18    | Jan-19    | Feb-19 | Mar-19 | Apr-19 | May-19 | Jun-19 | Previc<br>20 61/81-02 | 5018/19 03<br>5018/19 03 | arters<br>5018/10 C | Current<br>QTD<br>501920 07 | Previous<br>Financial<br>Year<br>64.8<br>800 | Current<br>Financial<br>YTD<br>02/6102 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Plan            | ned C                     | are                     |             |  | 1                        |        |        |        |                    |                    |           |           |        |        |        |        |        |                       |                          |                     |                             |  |  |
| 133a            | E.B.4                     |                         | Diagnostics | Diagnostics: % waiting >6 weeks                            | ≤1%                      | 4.1%   | 6.3%   | 4.5%   | 4.4%               | 7.3%               | 11.0%     | 11.1%     | 8.6%   | 8.2%   | 12.7%  | 13.7%  | 11.7%  | 5.0%                  | 7.6%                     | 9.4%                | 12.7%                       | 6.5%   | 12.7%                                  |
|                 |                           | Y                       | RTT         | RTT: Total incomplete pathways<br>(waiting list)           | <16,544 at<br>March 2020 |        | 17,513 | 17,302 | 17,312             | 17,019             | 16,831    | 16,490    | 16,987 | 17,143 | 17,344 | 18,021 | 17,849 | -                     | -                        | -                   | -                           | -  | -                                      |
| 129a            | E.B.3                     |                         | RTT         | RTT incomplete pathways: %<br>within 18 weeks              | ≥ <b>92%</b>             | 86.0%  | 85.4%  | 85.4%  | 85.4%              | 84.4%              | 84.1%     | 84.0%     | 84.3%  | 83.3%  | 81.6%  | 81.9%  | 80.5%  | 85.6%                 | 84.7%                    | 83.9%               | 81.3%                       | 84.8%  | 81.3%                                  |
|                 | E.B.18                    |                         | RTT         | RTT: incomplete pathways 52<br>week breaches               | 0                        | 5      | 7      | 7      | 8                  | 6                  | 8         | 10        | 7      | 9      | 7      | 4      | 9      | 19                    | 22                       | 26                  | 20                          | 87   | 20                                     |
|                 |                           |                         | RTT         | RTT Completed Admitted<br>pathways: % within 18 weeks      | -                        | 64.2%  | 64.7%  | 63.3%  | <mark>67.5%</mark> | <mark>63.6%</mark> | 64.5%     | 60.6%     | 63.3%  | 65.2%  | 65.1%  | 64.8%  | 63.7%  | 64.1%                 | 65.2%                    | 63.0%               | 64.5%                       | 64.4%  | 64.5%                                  |
|                 |                           |                         | RTT         | RTT Completed Non-Admitted<br>pathways: % within 18 weeks  | -                        | 90.6%  | 91.7%  | 90.1%  | 90.1%              | <mark>89.6%</mark> | 89.5%     | 89.5%     | 90.4%  | 90.5%  | 90.9%  | 89.4%  | 88.4%  | 90.8%                 | 89.7%                    | 90.1%               | 89.6%                       | 90.5%  | 89.6%                                  |
|                 | E.O.1                     |                         | RTT         | % of children waiting 18 weeks or<br>less for a wheelchair | ≥92%                     |        |        |        |                    |                    | Quarterly | indicator |        |        |        |        |        | 95.8%                 | 88.9%                    | -                   | -                           | 95.1%  | -                                      |
|                 | E.B.6                     |                         | Cancer      | Cancer: 2WW  | ≥ <b>93%</b>             | 86.6%  | 89.6%  | 84.3%  | 91.4%              | 91.2%              | 95.9%     | 86.5%     | 96.1%  | 90.7%  | 88.9%  | 84.9%  | 81.7%  | 87.0%                 | 92.6%                    | 91.0%               | 85.3%                       | 91.6%  | 85.3%                                  |
|                 | E.B.7                     |                         | Cancer      | Cancer: 2WW (breast symptoms)                              | ≥93%                     | 94.0%  | 97.3%  | 100.0% | 100.0%             | 92.2%              | 88.6%     | 91.1%     | 93.1%  | 82.0%  | 81.3%  | 86.1%  | 92.8%  | 97.0%                 | 93.8%                    | 88.8%               | 86.2%                       | 93.0%  | 86.2%                                  |
|                 | E.B.8                     |                         | Cancer      | Cancer: 31 day first treatment                             | ≥96%                     | 97.4%  | 96.8%  | 96.3%  | 94.4%              | 97.4%              | 94.6%     | 94.9%     | 97.3%  | 95.4%  | 95.4%  | 96.3%  | 97.9%  | 96.8%                 | 95.5%                    | 95.8%               | 96.6%                       | 96.8%  | 96.6%                                  |
|                 | E.B.9                     |                         | Cancer      | Cancer: 31 day subsequent<br>treatment - surgery           | ≥94%                     | 95.6%  | 94.7%  | 90.0%  | 92.1%              | 96.4%              | 85.2%     | 88.6%     | 100.0% | 90.2%  | 92.1%  | 88.6%  | 90.6%  | 93.5%                 | 92.5%                    | 92.0%               | 90.4%                       | 93.6%  | 90.4%                                  |
|                 | E.B.10                    |                         | Cancer      | Cancer: 31 day subsequent<br>treatment - drug              | ≥98%                     | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0%             | 100.0%             | 100.0%    | 100.0%    | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0%                | 100.0%                   | 100.0%              | 100.0%                      | 100.0%                                       | 100.0%                                 |
|                 | E.B.11                    |                         | Cancer      | Cancer: 31 day subsequent<br>treatment - radiotherapy      | ≥94%                     | 98.6%  | 100.0% | 98.0%  | 100.0%             | 100.0%             | 97.4%     | 98.0%     | 98.0%  | 96.7%  | 100.0% | 98.1%  | 98.0%  | 98.8%                 | 99.3%                    | 97.5%               | 98.8%                       | 98.8%  | 98.8%                                  |
|                 | E.B.12                    | Y                       | Cancer      | Cancer: 62 day GP referral                                 | ≥85%                     | 74.7%  | 76.1%  | 71.3%  | 78.0%              | 76.8%              | 78.0%     | 83.2%     | 77.8%  | 82.8%  | 80.2%  | 77.9%  | 84.2%  | 73.9%                 | 77.6%                    | 81.4%               | 80.8%                       | 78.3%  | 80.8%                                  |
|                 | E.B.13                    |                         | Cancer      | Cancer: 62 day Screening<br>referral                       | ≥90%                     | 81.3%  | 90.0%  | 92.3%  | 100.0%             | 75.0%              | 80.0%     | 100.0%    | 76.9%  | 80.0%  | 100.0% | 88.9%  | 88.9%  | 87.2%                 | 83.3%                    | 86.2%               | 92.0%                       | 87.7%  | 92.0%                                  |
|                 | E.B.14                    |                         | Cancer      | Cancer: 62 day Status upgrade                              | -                        | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0%             | 0.0%               | 100.0%    | 100.0%    | 100.0% | 33.3%  | 100.0% | 100.0% | 75.0%  | 100.0%                | 66.7%                    | 77.8%               | 88.9%                       | 83.3%  | 88.9%                                  |

| Planned Care        |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance<br>Area | Are targets being met                      | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance  | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement  | Further escalations required/underway  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diagnostics         | No –<br>88.3%<br>against<br>>99%<br>target | CCG performance improved from<br>86.3% to 88.3% in June, equating to<br>450 diagnostic breaches from a<br>cohort of 3,845 patients.<br>YTHFT also saw an improvement<br>from 86.4% to 88.9%.<br>Pressures remain in Endoscopy,<br>Echo CT, Non-Obstetric Ultrasound<br>and MRI.<br>Echo-cardiographs have been<br>affected by staff shortages and the<br>service is reviewing actions to<br>mitigate pressures.<br>There has been a significant<br>increase in demand for Echo<br>referrals - YTHFT to determine if the<br>increase in demand is from GP open<br>access or from within the Trust. | <ul> <li>Possible relocation of York cardio-<br/>respiratory department to old<br/>Endoscopy Unit to increase scan<br/>capacity and reduce backlog.</li> <li>Work to reduce Endoscopy demand<br/>through redesign of referral form<br/>and introduction of electronic vetting<br/>of referrals is expected to reduce<br/>demand for upper GI direct access<br/>endoscopy by 5% from September</li> <li>Validation of surveillance patients is<br/>taking place 3 months before the<br/>TCI date which is reducing demand<br/>on the surveillance programme by<br/>10% per month.</li> <li>New Endoscopy Suite opening in<br/>September will increase capacity by<br/>40%.</li> <li>Continuation on WLI lists until<br/>December 2019 is providing<br/>additional 9 lists per week.</li> <li>MRI and Non-obstetric ultrasound<br/>demand management initiatives will<br/>be developed as part of the<br/>Radiology Improvement<br/>Programme.</li> <li>Outsourcing MRI work to Nuffield<br/>and Thorpe Park to support<br/>prostate pathway &amp; 2 days per<br/>Week with mobile scanner on-site.</li> </ul> | Actions are detailed in<br>YTHFT Recovery Plan –<br>Supporting Performance<br>Delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Performance  | Are targets  | If yes are you assured this is  | What mitigating actions are   | Further escalations  |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Area   | being met  | sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance   | underway and is there a trajectory for recovery/improvement   | required/underway  |
| RTT – Total<br>Waiting List<br>(TWL) and<br>92% target | No – 80.5%<br>against<br>92% target<br>and waiting<br>list<br>increasing | Nuffield York are having issues since<br>the introduction of their new<br>Electronic Patient Record system<br>which means that clock start and<br>stop events are not properly<br>recorded. This has led to a visible<br>downturn in their reported<br>performance and waiting times which<br>will in turn be affecting the CCG's<br>total waiting list and performance<br>position against 92% target. A data<br>cleanse is underway and should be<br>completed by end August.<br>The CCG with system partners<br>continue to review the TWL position<br>against referral demand and planned<br>capacity by specialty available to<br>deliver care to those waiting.<br>The position at M3 (Q1) is that<br>referrals are reducing or stable in<br>most specialties and overall reduced<br>across all referrals compared to the<br>same Q1 period in 18/19.<br>The capacity in certain specialties is<br>below plan and therefore clearance<br>rates are lower than planned.<br>However, latest M4 TWL position<br>shows an actual position of 400 less<br>patients waiting than projected. | Nuffield have provided the CCG with<br>an action plan to address their<br>system errors and will provide<br>corrected data as soon as available.<br>Issues are purely system based and<br>no patients are experiencing undue<br>delays, it is solely a data issue which<br>is affecting performance standards.<br>There are a wide range of mitigating<br>actions and programmes of work with<br>YTHFT and the CCGs which are<br>providing support to specialties to<br>ensure that the system is able to<br>optimise all available elective<br>capacity and shorten waiting times. | The CCG with system<br>partners is currently<br>considering the trajectory<br>for TWL recovery as part<br>of it's current activity,<br>performance and financial<br>planning for the long term<br>plan to be submitted to<br>the HCV Care Partnership<br>(ICS) in September.<br>This is being considered<br>alongside the cost<br>reduction programme and<br>the limitations on finance<br>to deliver investment in<br>year on subcontracted<br>activity to recover waiting<br>list and times. |

| Performance<br>Area          | Are targets being met   | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance   | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement  | Further escalations required/underway   |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| RTT – 52<br>week<br>breaches | No – 9<br>breaches in<br>June<br>against<br>zero<br>tolerance<br>target | Adult Spinal continues to be an issue<br>at LTHT with 5 breaches declared for<br>Vale of York CCG patients in June.<br>In addition there were 3 breaches at<br>YTHFT. 2 were in Urology with dates<br>offered in June but both patients<br>declined and requested a date in<br>July. The third breach was in<br>Ophthalmology; the patient had a<br>date booked in June but was<br>cancelled due to a more urgent<br>patient. The patient was<br>subsequently unavailable until mid-<br>July. All 3 patients have now been<br>treated.<br>The final breach in June was in<br>Ophthalmology at Queen Victoria<br>Hospital NHS FT. This patient has a<br>TCI date of 29 <sup>th</sup> August 2019 and will<br>therefore also have breached 52<br>weeks in July. The primary reason<br>for the delay to the patient is<br>inpatient capacity and the complexity<br>of the operation. The secondary<br>reason is patient choice as the<br>patient was unavailable until August<br>due to holidays. Page 60 | An update was received from Leeds<br>CCG on 24 <sup>th</sup> July regarding the<br>ongoing issues in adult spinal.<br>Progress has stalled slightly due to a<br>key surgeon having an accident,<br>impacting on the ability to run<br>additional clinic lists and keep all the<br>theatre sessions covered. Locums<br>have been appointed but are not due<br>to start until September. LTHT is<br>doing all it can over the summer<br>months to maintain progress and<br>clearance, and has identified further<br>improvements such as enabling a<br>wider range of cases to be operated<br>on in another theatre at the LGI.<br>Enhanced clinics are planned for<br>September as soon as the additional<br>surgical capacity comes on line. | The CCG is also<br>reviewing all long waits<br>over 40 weeks with all<br>providers and working<br>with NHSE/I to undertake<br>a financial impact<br>assessment of the<br>application of national<br>guidance on application of<br>penalties by<br>commissioners and<br>providers. This will be<br>presented in September.<br>To note: LTH will be part<br>of a pilot to understand<br>the practicalities of<br>implementing 26 week<br>choice as part of their WY<br>ICS. |

| Performance<br>Area                          | Are targets being met | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance   | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement | Further escalations required/underway |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Children's<br>Wheelchair<br>Waiting<br>Times | Yes                   | Yes - as at 13.08.19 we only have 2<br>under 19s waiting longer than 18<br>weeks for a wheelchair, both have<br>been waiting for specialist seating<br>and handover of equipment is<br>booked for w/c 06.09.19 | N/A   | N/A                                   |

| Performance<br>Area | Are targets being met               | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance   | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement   | Further escalations required/underway   |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Cancer 2WW          | No – 81.7%<br>against<br>93% target | <ul> <li>2WW Fast Track Standard has not<br/>been achieved for 10 out of the last<br/>12 months, primarily due to skin and<br/>colorectal breaches resulting from<br/>capacity constraints.</li> <li>YTHFT are reporting that Q1 2019-<br/>20 has seen the highest number of<br/>Fast Tracks recorded with 4,739<br/>referrals (365 per week), up 8%<br/>compared to the same period in<br/>2018-19. The highest increase has<br/>been seen in Head &amp; Neck (37%),<br/>Colorectal (29%) and Skin (23%).</li> <li>Despite the increase in Fast Track<br/>referrals, the number of patients<br/>diagnosed with cancer has not<br/>increased.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Implementing straight to test<br/>pathway for colorectal patients from<br/>January 2020 avoiding the need for<br/>a first outpatient appt.</li> <li>Increasing endoscopy capacity will<br/>reduce the waits for Fast Track<br/>patients and also help to improve<br/>performance against 62 Day<br/>standard.</li> <li>Skin – lack of clinic space at York<br/>and Malton is an issue when trying<br/>to increase outpatient capacity.</li> <li>Currently 13% vacancy rate in Skin<br/>Consultant workforce – hoping to<br/>move a locum to substantive post<br/>and run WLI to close capacity gap.</li> <li>Lung – recruitment to fill vacant<br/>medical posts at both Scarborough<br/>&amp; York should reduce the number of<br/>breaches and improve performance<br/>from July 2019.</li> <li>Reviewing Breast referral<br/>guidelines and holding training<br/>events for GPs from July 2019.</li> <li>Increasing diagnostic capacity from<br/>12 to 22 at the fortnightly one stop<br/>breast clinics</li> </ul> | Actions are detailed in the<br>YTHFT Recovery Plans –<br>Supporting Performance<br>Delivery.<br>The CCG with system<br>partners is currently<br>considering the recovery<br>trajectories for all<br>performance targets it's<br>current activity,<br>performance and financial<br>planning for the long term<br>plan to be submitted to<br>the HCV Care Partnership<br>(ICS) in September.<br>The local cancer board<br>will meet for the first time<br>with new joint delivery<br>focus and governance<br>arrangements.<br>The Cancer Alliance<br>leadership team is<br>currently changing and<br>there will be an<br>opportunity to review<br>current priorities and<br>programmes during<br>September. |

| Planned Ca          | ire                                 |   |   |  |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Performance<br>Area | Are targets being met               | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance  | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement   | Further escalations required/underway  |
| Cancer 62<br>day    | No – 84.2%<br>against<br>85% target | June performance improved from<br>77.9% to 84.2%, the highest month<br>of performance since March 2019<br>when the 85% standard was last<br>achieved by the CCG.<br>Delays in diagnostic tests and<br>reporting results is cited as being a<br>key contributory factor in enabling<br>performance recovery.<br>Locally YTHFT has outperformed the<br>national position for each of the last<br>6 months and in June 2019 achieved<br>84.98% against 85% target.<br>Unvalidated data however suggests<br>that performance has dropped again<br>in July 2019. | <ul> <li>Implementing straight to test<br/>pathway for colorectal patients from<br/>January 2020 avoiding the need for<br/>a first outpatient appt.</li> <li>Increasing endoscopy capacity will<br/>reduce the waits for Fast Track<br/>patients and also help to improve<br/>performance against 62 Day target</li> <li>Streamlining Colorectal and Upper<br/>GI MDTs across York and<br/>Scarborough sites.</li> <li>YTHFT are developing SLAs with<br/>Hull &amp; Leeds to set out clear<br/>expectations and guidance for the<br/>management of IPT agreements.</li> <li>Discussions with Hull &amp; Leeds re<br/>increasing robotic prostatectomy<br/>and thoracic surgery capacity.</li> <li>Review of theatre capacity for GA<br/>biopsy for Head &amp; Neck patients.</li> <li>Business case in progress for new<br/>equipment to take biopsies of the<br/>larynx and hypopharynx in clinic.</li> <li>Recruitment to fill medical<br/>workforce vacancies in Pathology,<br/>Radiology and vacant Consultant<br/>posts within specialities e.g.<br/>Dermatology, Haematology, Lung.<br/>of 22 articipate in STP wide Oncology<br/>delivery model.</li> </ul> | Actions are detailed in the<br>YHFT Recovery Plans –<br>Supporting Performance<br>Delivery.<br>The local Cancer Board<br>will meet for the first time<br>with new joint delivery<br>focus and governance<br>arrangements.<br>The Cancer Alliance<br>leadership team is<br>currently changing and<br>there will be an<br>opportunity to review<br>current priorities and<br>programmes during<br>September. |

### Diagnostics





| Diagno                   | stics by Test - \     | /ale of York CCG - Jι | ine 2019                          |                  |                    |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Diagnostic Test          | Total Waiting<br>List | Total >6 weeks        | % within 6 weeks<br>(Target ≥99%) | Chan<br>previou: | ge from<br>s month |
| BARIUM_ENEMA             | 21                    | 0                     | 100.0%                            | 0.0%             | _                  |
| AUDIOLOGY_ASSESSMENTS    | 201                   | 0                     | 100.0%                            | 0.4%             |                    |
| DEXA_SCAN                | 127                   | 2                     | 98.4%                             | -0.3%            | $\bigtriangledown$ |
| PERIPHERAL_NEUROPHYS     | 53                    | 1                     | 98.1%                             | -1.9%            | $\bigtriangledown$ |
| СТ                       | 446                   | 10                    | 97.8%                             | 0.3%             | ▲                  |
| CYSTOSCOPY               | 64                    | 2                     | 96.9%                             | 0.3%             | ▲                  |
| SLEEP_STUDIES            | 29                    | 1                     | 96.6%                             | 9.1%             | <b>A</b>           |
| MRI                      | 652                   | 32                    | 95.1%                             | 0.2%             | ▲                  |
| NON_OBSTETRIC_ULTRASOUND | 1,141                 | 114                   | 90.0%                             | -1.1%            | $\bigtriangledown$ |
| URODYNAMICS              | 23                    | 4                     | 82.6%                             | 1.1%             | ▲                  |
| FLEXI_SIGMOIDOSCOPY      | 108                   | 22                    | 79.6%                             | 2.6%             | ▲                  |
| ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY         | 271                   | 68                    | 74.9%                             | 7.8%             | ▲                  |
| GASTROSCOPY              | 438                   | 118                   | 73.1%                             | 10.0%            | <b></b>            |
| COLONOSCOPY              | 271                   | 76                    | 72.0%                             | 5.0%             | <b>A</b>           |
| ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY        | -                     | 0                     | N/A                               | -                | -                  |
| Grand Total              | 3,845                 | 450                   | 88.3%                             | 2.0%             | <b>A</b>           |



|              | Diagnostics - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG and YTHFT |              |              |              |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Target ≥99%  |   | Apr-19       | May-19       | Jun-19       | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |  |
|              | 2019/20 Plan  | 92.0%        | 92.0%        | 92.0%        | 93.0%  | 93.0%  | 93.0%  | 94.0%  | 94.0%  | 94.0%  | 95.0%  | 95.0%  | 96.0%  |  |
| Vale of York | 2019/20 Actual  | 87.3%        | 86.3%        | 88.3%        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |  |
| CCG          | Variance  | -4.7%        | -5.7%        | -3.7%        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |  |
|              | variance  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
| Target ≥99%  |   | Apr-19       | May-19       | Jun-19       | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |  |
|              | 2019/20 Plan  | 87.5%        | 90.0%        | 91.0%        | 91.5%  | 93.0%  | 94.0%  | 95.0%  | 96.0%  | 97.0%  | 97.0%  | 98.0%  | 99.0%  |  |
| YTHET        | 2019/20 Actual  | 87.5%        | 86.4%        | 88.9%        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |  |
|              | eroe≄eof 22   | 1 0.0%       | -3.6%        | -2.1%        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |  |
| гау          | 01 ZZ   | ۱ <u> </u>   | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |

### Referral to Treatment (RTT)

|                          | R             | TT Incomplete Pathways | by Specialty - Va | ale of York CCG - Ju | ne 2019 |                    |             |                 |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Specialty                | Total Waiting | Total pathways         | Total pathways    | % within 18 weeks    | Cha     | nge from           | Median Wait | 92nd percentile |
| Specialty                | List          | >18 weeks              | >52 weeks         | (Target ≥92%)        | previou | us month           | (weeks)     | (weeks)         |
| Cardiothoracic Surgery   | 2             | 0                      | 0                 | 100.0%               | 0.0%    |                    | -           | -               |
| Geriatric Medicine       | 97            | 1                      | 0                 | 99.0%                | 1.1%    |                    | 2.9         | 9.4             |
| General Medicine         | 184           | 12                     | 0                 | 93.5%                | -3.8%   | $\bigtriangledown$ | 4.4         | 16.6            |
| Neurology                | 613           | 43                     | 0                 | 93.0%                | 0.3%    |                    | 6.6         | 17.0            |
| Other                    | 1,615         | 137                    | 0                 | 91.5%                | 2.1%    |                    | 5.7         | 18.3            |
| Gynaecology              | 1,015         | 125                    | 0                 | 87.7%                | -1.1%   | $\bigtriangledown$ | 7.2         | 20.8            |
| Dermatology              | 1,291         | 200                    | 0                 | 84.5%                | -0.4%   | $\bigtriangledown$ | 7.6         | 23.0            |
| Trauma & Orthopaedics    | 1,851         | 298                    | 5                 | 83.9%                | 1.3%    |                    | 8.3         | 24.0            |
| Plastic Surgery          | 167           | 29                     | 0                 | 82.6%                | -3.2%   | ▼                  | 5.0         | 31.9            |
| Cardiology               | 975           | 189                    | 0                 | 80.6%                | -3.8%   | $\bigtriangledown$ | 8.9         | 22.9            |
| Ear, Nose & Throat (ENT) | 1,602         | 337                    | 0                 | 79.0%                | -3.4%   | $\checkmark$       | 8.3         | 25.2            |
| General Surgery          | 2,441         | 521                    | 0                 | 78.7%                | -1.1%   | $\checkmark$       | 7.1         | 29.5            |
| Neurosurgery             | 14            | 3                      | 0                 | 78.6%                | -11.4%  | $\checkmark$       | -           | -               |
| Rheumatology             | 510           | 121                    | 0                 | 76.3%                | -6.7%   | $\checkmark$       | 9.6         | 23.4            |
| Urology                  | 1,052         | 252                    | 2                 | 76.0%                | -2.1%   | ~                  | 8.0         | 32.2            |
| Gastroenterology         | 1,067         | 265                    | 0                 | 75.2%                | -0.9%   | $\bigtriangledown$ | 9.5         | 28.6            |
| Thoracic Medicine        | 658           | 166                    | 0                 | 74.8%                | -0.3%   | $\bigtriangledown$ | 10.5        | 27.7            |
| Ophthalmology            | 2,695         | 790                    | 2                 | 70.7%                | -3.6%   | $\bigtriangledown$ | 9.6         | 30.2            |
| Grand Total              | 17,849        | 3,489                  | 9                 | 80.5%                | -1.4%   | $\checkmark$       | 8.0         | 25.9            |



### Referral to Treatment (RTT)



|        | RTT               | 52 week breaches - Vale of York CCG   |
|--------|-------------------|---|
| Period | Total<br>breaches | Specialty and Provider  |
| Apr-19 | 7                 | 1 x T&O at Nuffield York (see narrative slide), 1 x Plastic<br>surgery at St George's University FT, 5 x T&O at LTHT      |
| May-19 | 4                 | 4 x T&O at LTHT   |
| Jun-19 | 9                 | 5 x T&O at LTHT, 2 x Urology at YTHFT, 1 x Ophthalmology at<br>YTHFT, 1 x Ophthalmology at Queen Victoria Hospital NHS FT |
| Jul-19 |                   |   |
| Aug-19 |                   |   |
| Sep-19 |                   |   |
| Oct-19 |                   |   |
| Nov-19 |                   |   |
| Dec-19 |                   |   |
| Jan-20 |                   |   |
| Feb-20 |                   |   |
| Mar-20 |                   |   |
| YTD    | 20                |   |



|              | RT             | T Total W | laiting Li | st - 2019 | /20 Plan | vs Actua | l - Vale o | f York C | CG and ` | YTHFT  |        |        |        |
|--------------|----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Target <16,5 |                |           |            |           |          |          |            |          | Mar-20   |        |        |        |        |
|              | 2019/20 Plan   | 17,464    | 17,745     | 18,313    | 18,899   | 19,505   | 20,129     | 19,622   | 19,116   | 18,609 | 18,103 | 17,596 | 17,090 |
| Vale of York | 2019/20 Actual | 17,344    | 18,021     | 17,849    | -        | -        | -          | -        | -        | -      | -      | -      | -      |
| CCG          | Variance       | - 120     | 276        | -464      | -        | -        | -          | -        | -        | -      | -      | -      | -      |
|              | Vananoe        |           |            |           |          |          |            |          |          |        |        |        |        |
| Target <26,3 | 03             | Apr-19    | May-19     | Jun-19    | Jul-19   | Aug-19   | Sep-19     | Oct-19   | Nov-19   | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |
|              | 2019/20 Plan   | 28,344    | 28,800     | 29,722    | 30,673   | 31,655   | 32,668     | 31,846   | 31,024   | 30,202 | 29,380 | 28,558 | 27,736 |
| YTHET        | 2019/20 Actual | 28,344    | 28,809     | 28,727    | -        | -        | -          | -        | -        | -      | -      | -      | -      |
|              | Variance       | 0         | 9          | -995      | -        | -        | -          | -        | -        | -      | -      | -      | -      |

|              | RTT Performance against 92% standard - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG and YTHFT |        |        |              |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
|--------------|--|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Target ≥92%  | l.   | Apr-19 | May-19 | Jun-19       | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |  |
|              | 2019/20 Plan   | 81.3%  | 81.3%  | 81.3%        | 81.3%  | 81.3%  | 81.3%  | 81.3%  | 81.3%  | 81.3%  | 81.3%  | 81.3%  | 81.3%  |  |
| Vale of York | 2019/20 Actual   | 81.6%  | 81.9%  | 80.5%        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |  |
| CCG          | Variance   | 0.3%   | 0.5%   | -0.9%        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |  |
|              | variance   |        |        | $\checkmark$ |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
| Target ≥92%  |  | Apr-19 | May-19 | Jun-19       | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |  |
|              | 2019/20 Plan   | 80.0%  | 80.0%  | 80.0%        | 80.0%  | 80.0%  | 80.0%  | 80.0%  | 80.0%  | 80.0%  | 80.0%  | 80.0%  | 80.0%  |  |
| Page         | 061 9020 <u>22</u> 111   | 80.0%  | 80.4%  | 78.3%        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |  |
| THO          | Variance   | 0.0%   | 0.4%   | -1.7%        | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |  |
|              | variance   | -      |        | $\checkmark$ |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |

### Referral to Treatment (RTT)

| RTT Completed Admitted P | athways by Specialty                 | - Vale of York CCG - | June 2019 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Specialty                | Total Completed<br>Admitted Pathways |                      |           |
| Cardiothoracic Surgery   | -                                    | -                    | -         |
| Geriatric Medicine       | -                                    | -                    | -         |
| General Medicine         | 1                                    | 0                    | 100.0%    |
| Neurology                | 2                                    | 0                    | 100.0%    |
| Rheumatology             | 6                                    | 0                    | 100.0%    |
| Thoracic Medicine        | 4                                    | 0                    | 100.0%    |
| Plastic Surgery          | 73                                   | 1                    | 98.6%     |
| Dermatology              | 6                                    | 1                    | 83.3%     |
| Other                    | 109                                  | 20                   | 81.7%     |
| Gastroenterology         | 5                                    | 1                    | 80.0%     |
| Cardiology               | 61                                   | 13                   | 78.7%     |
| Gynaecology              | 83                                   | 27                   | 67.5%     |
| Urology                  | 113                                  | 37                   | 67.3%     |
| Neurosurgery             | 6                                    | 2                    | 66.7%     |
| General Surgery          | 209                                  | 78                   | 62.7%     |
| Trauma & Orthopaedics    | 283                                  | 109                  | 61.5%     |
| Ear, Nose & Throat (ENT) | 67                                   | 35                   | 47.8%     |
| Ophthalmology            | 276                                  | 149                  | 46.0%     |
| Grand Total              | 1,304                                | 473                  | 63.7%     |

| Specialty                | Total Completed   |           | % within 18 |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|
| opeolary                 | Admitted Pathways | >18 weeks | weeks       |
| Geriatric Medicine       | 87                | 0         | 100.0%      |
| Neurosurgery             | 9                 | 0         | 100.0%      |
| Cardiothoracic Surgery   | 2                 | 0         | 100.0%      |
| General Medicine         | 83                | 2         | 97.6%       |
| Urology                  | 295               | 9         | 96.9%       |
| Ophthalmology            | 780               | 28        | 96.4%       |
| Gynaecology              | 294               | 14        | 95.2%       |
| General Surgery          | 624               | 46        | 92.6%       |
| Plastic Surgery          | 43                | 4         | 90.7%       |
| Trauma & Orthopaedics    | 252               | 24        | 90.5%       |
| Other                    | 495               | 48        | 90.3%       |
| Neurology                | 164               | 17        | 89.6%       |
| Ear, Nose & Throat (ENT) | 425               | 58        | 86.4%       |
| Dermatology              | 412               | 64        | 84.5%       |
| Gastroenterology         | 280               | 67        | 76.1%       |
| Cardiology               | 218               | 54        | 75.2%       |
| Rheumatology             | 114               | 46        | 59.6%       |
| Thoracic Medicine        | 158               | 66        | 58.2%       |
| Grand Total              | 4,735             | 547       | 88.4%       |





### Cancer Two Week Waits and 62 day GP Referral



| Cancer                       | Two Week Wai  | ts - Vale of York CCG | - June 2019                       |                   |                    |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Tumour type                  | Total Treated | Total >2 weeks        | % within 2 weeks<br>(Target ≥93%) | Chang<br>previous | je from<br>month   |
| Acute Leukaemia              | -             | -                     | N/A                               | -                 | -                  |
| Brain/Central Nervous System | -             | -                     |                                   | -                 | -                  |
| Testicular                   | 1             | 0                     | 100.0%                            | -                 | -                  |
| Other                        | 4             | 0                     | 100.0%                            | 0.0%              | _                  |
| Haematological malignancies  | 5             | 0                     | 100.0%                            | 0.0%              | _                  |
| Lung                         | 16            | 0                     | 100.0%                            | 6.3%              |                    |
| Upper Gastrointestinal       | 78            | 2                     | 97.4%                             | 2.7%              |                    |
| Gynaecological               | 59            | 2                     | 96.6%                             | -1.8%             | ▼                  |
| Breast                       | 170           | 6                     | 96.5%                             | 2.7%              | ▲                  |
| Head and Neck                | 131           | 5                     | 96.2%                             | 2.6%              | ▲                  |
| Urological (exc Testicular)  | 114           | 8                     | 93.0%                             | -3.8%             | ▼                  |
| Lower Gastrointestinal       | 191           | 21                    | 89.0%                             | 0.3%              | ▲                  |
| Children's                   | 6             | 2                     | 66.7%                             | -13.3%            | $\bigtriangledown$ |
| Skin                         | 239           | 140                   | 41.4%                             | -2.1%             | $\bigtriangledown$ |
| Grand Total                  | 1,014         | 186                   | 81.7%                             | -3.2%             | $\checkmark$       |



|                   |             | Car             | ncer 2W        | W - 2019 | /20 Plan        | vs Actu | al - Vale | of York | CCG ai          | nd YTH | Ŧ      |        |                 |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Target            | 293%        | Apr-19          | May-19         | Jun-19   | Jul-19          | Aug-19  | Sep-19    | Oct-19  | Nov-19          | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20          |
|                   | Plan        | 93.1%           | 93.1%          | 93.0%    | 93.1%           | 93.1%   | 93.0%     | 93.1%   | 93.0%           | 93.0%  | 93.0%  | 93.0%  | 93.0%           |
| Vale of<br>York   | Actual      | 88.9%           | 84.9%          | 81.7%    | -               | -       | -         | -       | -               | -      | -      | -      | -               |
| CCG               | Variance    | -4.2%           | -8.2%          | -11.4%   | -               | -       | -         | -       | -               | -      | -      | -      | -               |
| 000               | variance    | ~               | ~              | ~        |                 |         |           |         |                 |        |        |        |                 |
|                   |             |                 |                |          |                 |         |           |         |                 |        |        |        |                 |
| Target            | 93%         | Apr-19          | May-19         | Jun-19   | Jul-19          | Aug-19  | Sep-19    | Oct-19  | Nov-19          | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20          |
| Target            | 93%<br>Plan | Apr-19<br>93.1% |                |          | Jul-19<br>93.1% | ~       | _         |         | Nov-19<br>93.1% |        |        |        | Mar-20<br>93.1% |
|                   |             |                 | 93.1%          | 93.1%    | 93.1%           | ~       | _         |         |                 |        |        | 93.1%  | 93.1%           |
| Target 2<br>YTHFT | Plan        | 93.1%           | 93.1%<br>84.6% | 93.1%    | 93.1%<br>-      | ~       | _         |         |                 |        |        | 93.1%  |                 |

| Cancer                       | 62 day GP refer | ral - Vale of York CC | G - June 2019                     |                               |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Tumour type                  | Total Treated   | Total >62 days        | % within 62 days<br>(Target ≥85%) | Change from<br>previous month |
| Brain/Central Nervous System | -               | -                     | N/A                               |                               |
| Acute Leukaemia              | -               | -                     | N/A                               |                               |
| Other                        | -               | -                     | N/A                               |                               |
| Children's                   | -               | -                     | N/A                               |                               |
| Testicular                   | -               | -                     | N/A                               |                               |
| Breast                       | 14              | 0                     | 100.0%                            |                               |
| Skin                         | 26              | 0                     | 100.0%                            |                               |
| Gynaecological               | 6               | 1                     | 83.3%                             |                               |
| Lung                         | 11              | 2                     | 81.8%                             |                               |
| Urological (exc Testicular)  | 22              | 5                     | 77.3%                             |                               |
| Haematological malignancies  | 4               | 1                     | 75.0%                             |                               |
| Upper Gastrointestinal       | 7               | 2                     | 71.4%                             |                               |
| Lower Gastrointestinal       | 6               | 2                     | 66.7%                             |                               |
| Head and Neck                | 3               | 2                     | 33.3%                             |                               |
| Grand Total                  | 101             | 16                    | 84.2%                             | 6.3% 🔺                        |

|                 | Cancer 62 day GP Referral - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG and YTHFT |        |              |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|-----------------|---|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Target 2        | ≥85%  | Apr-19 | May-19       | Jun-19 | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |
|                 | Plan  | 80.0%  | 80.2%        | 81.0%  | 81.2%  | 81.3%  | 81.8%  | 82.8%  | 83.5%  | 83.9%  | 84.0%  | 84.8%  | 85.0%  |
| Vale of<br>York | Actual  | 80.2%  | 77.9%        | 84.2%  | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
| CCG             | Variance  | 0.2%   | -2.3%        | 3.2%   | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
| 000             | variance  |        | $\checkmark$ |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Target 2        | Target ≥85%   |        | May-19       | Jun-19 | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |
|                 | Plan  | 80.1%  | 80.5%        | 80.9%  | 81.1%  | 81.7%  | 82.0%  | 82.4%  | 83.1%  | 83.6%  | 83.8%  | 84.5%  | 85.0%  |
| of 22           | Actual  | 80.6%  | 79.5%        | 85.0%  | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
| WINER           | Variance  | 0.5%   | -0.9%        | 4.1%   | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
|                 | variatice   |        | $\checkmark$ |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |

# Performance and Programme Overview Unplanned and Out of Hospital Care

### **Areas Covered:**

- Emergency Department York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
- Yorkshire Ambulance Service (YAS)
- NHS 111 Yorkshire and Humber
- GP Out of Hours Northern Doctors
- Primary Care Access
- Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOCs)

### Content:

- Summary dashboard
- Narrative
- Supporting data



## Vale of York CCG Performance Summary Dashboard – Unplanned and Out of Hospital Care

| 18/19           | Guidance 2019/20 | nium 2018/19 |                |  |                                       |          |          |          |          |          |            |               |          |          |          |          |          |            | ous 3 Qu   | arters     | Current<br>QTD | Previous<br>Financial<br>Year |          |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| CCG IAF 2018/19 | Planning G       | Quality Prei | Category       | Indicator  | 2019/20 Target                        | Jul-18   | Aug-18   | Sep-18   | Oct-18   | Nov-18   | Dec-18     | Jan-19        | Feb-19   | Mar-19   | Apr-19   | May-19   | Jun-19   | 2018/19 Q2 | 2018/19 Q3 | 2018/19 Q4 | 2019/20 Q1     | 2018/19                       | 2019/20  |
| Unpl            | lanned           | and          | Out of Hos     | pital Care   |                                       |          |          |          |          |          |            |               | ,        |          |          |          |          |            |            |            |                |                               |          |
| 127c            | E.B.5            |              | A&E*           | A&E: % within 4 hours (YTHFT)  | ≥95%                                  | 88.0%    | 92.5%    | 90.3%    | 90.9%    | 89.6%    | 87.6%      | 81.5%         | 81.5%    | 84.0%    | 80.5%    | 81.9%    | 83.2%    | 90.3%      | 89.4%      | 82.4%      | 81.9%          | 87.7%                         | 81.7%    |
|                 |                  |              | A&E*           | A&E: 12 hour breaches (YTHFT)  | 0                                     | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0          | 17            | 8        | 28       | 24       | 26       | 2        | 0          | 0          | 53         | 52             | 66                            | 52       |
|                 |                  |              | YAS            | ARP: Category 1 (Life<br>threatening) Mean                           | 00:07:00                              | 00:07:19 | 00:07:03 | 00:07:18 | 00:07:10 | 00:07:02 | 00:07:03   | 00:06:59      | 00:07:03 | 00:06:44 | 00:06:58 | 00:06:49 | 00:06:49 | -          | -          | -          | -              | 00:07:21                      | 00:06:52 |
|                 |                  |              | YAS            | ARP: Category 2 (Emergency)<br>Mean                                  | 00:18:00                              | 00:20:29 | 00:19:26 | 00:20:19 | 00:19:58 | 00:20:29 | 00:21:03   | 00:19:49      | 00:20:02 | 00:17:40 | 00:19:40 | 00:18:38 | 00:18:46 | -          | -          | -          | -              | 00:20:26                      | 00:19:02 |
|                 |                  |              | YAS            | ARP: Category 1 (Life<br>threatening) 90th percentile                | 00:15:00                              | 00:12:31 | 00:12:05 | 00:12:28 | 00:12:23 | 00:12:13 | 00:12:15   | 00:12:08      | 00:12:05 | 00:11:28 | 00:12:06 | 00:11:56 | 00:11:56 | -          | -          | -          | -              | 00:12:37                      | 00:11:59 |
|                 |                  |              | YAS            | ARP: Category 2 (Emergency)<br>90th percentile                       | 00:40:00                              | 00:42:40 | 00:39:47 | 00:42:10 | 00:41:37 | 00:42:36 | 00:44:17   | 00:41:16      | 00:41:50 | 00:35:35 | 00:40:29 | 00:38:09 | 00:38:14 | -          | -          | -          | -              | 00:42:34                      | 00:38:59 |
|                 |                  |              | YAS            | ARP: Category 3 (Urgent) 90th  | 02:00:00                              | 02:07:31 | 01:59:28 | 01:57:25 | 01:57:34 | 01:58:25 | 02:15:22   | 01:58:10      | 01:53:11 | 01:29:42 | 01:49:54 | 01:42:58 | 01:49:27 | -          | -          | -          | -              | 01:58:44                      | 01:47:10 |
|                 |                  | 1            | YAS            | ARP: Category 4 (Less urgent)<br>90th percentile                     | 03:00:00                              | 03:12:55 | 02:45:47 | 03:51:53 | 02:47:56 | 03:44:04 | 03:38:33   | 03:52:38      | 03:25:18 | 03:00:09 | 03:36:53 | 03:51:12 | 04:33:48 | -          | -          | -          | -              | 03:51:57                      | 03:51:15 |
|                 |                  |              | NHS 111*       | NHS 111: Calls abandoned after<br>30 seconds                         | ≤5%                                   | 0.8%     | 0.4%     | 0.5%     | 1.1%     | 1.2%     | 0.7%       | 1.6%          | 1.7%     | 1.0%     | 1.2%     | 1.2%     | 1.3%     | 0.5%       | 1.0%       | 1.4%       | 1.2%           | 1.1%                          | 1.5%     |
|                 |                  |              | NHS 111*       | NHS 111: Calls answered within<br>60 seconds                         | ≥90%                                  | 89.8%    | 95.4%    | 92.9%    | 85.0%    | 82.9%    | 90.2%      | 81.6%         | 79.0%    | 86.1%    | 91.8%    | 90.9%    | 88.7%    | 92.7%      | 86.3%      | 82.3%      | 90.5%          | 88.1%                         | 89.0%    |
|                 |                  |              | GP OOH         | GP OOH: Face to face within 2<br>hours                               | ≥95%                                  | 96.6%    | 97.7%    | 96.9%    | 97.5%    | 97.3%    | 94.9%      | 88.5%         | 95.9%    | 94.9%    | 89.8%    | 91.8%    | 96.2%    | 97.1%      | 96.4%      | 92.8%      | 92.5%          | 95.9%                         | 92.5%    |
|                 |                  |              | GP OOH         | GP OOH: Face to face within 6<br>hours                               | ≥95%                                  | 99.4%    | 99.0%    | 98.8%    | 97.8%    | 99.6%    | 95.8%      | 97.4%         | 96.9%    | 98.4%    | 97.2%    | 96.7%    | 98.0%    | 99.1%      | 97.5%      | 97.6%      | 97.3%          | 98.3%                         | 97.3%    |
|                 |                  | +            | GP OOH         | GP OOH: Speak to clinician   | ≥95%                                  | 95.1%    | 96.5%    | 96.4%    | 97.4%    | 95.3%    | 93.2%      | 95.3%         | 91.3%    | 92.5%    | 88.6%    | 90.2%    | 91.6%    | 96.0%      | 95.0%      | 93.2%      | 90.1%          | 95.0%                         | 90.1%    |
|                 |                  |              | GP OOH         | within 2 hours<br>GP OOH: Speak to clinician                         | ≥95%                                  | 99.2%    | 99.0%    | 99.1%    | 99.5%    | 98.9%    | 95.6%      | 97.5%         | 95.0%    | 96.1%    | 93.1%    | 95.6%    | 95.9%    | 99.1%      | 97.7%      | 96.2%      | 94.8%          | 97.7%                         | 94.8%    |
|                 |                  |              | GP OOH         | within 2 to 6 hours<br>GP OOH: Speak to clinician<br>within 6+ hours | ≥95%                                  | 100.0%   | 100.0%   | 99.9%    | 100.0%   | 99.9%    | 98.7%      | 99.2%         | 99.6%    | 99.6%    | 98.9%    | 99.0%    | 99.0%    | 99.9%      | 99.4%      | 99.4%      | 98.9%          | 99.6%                         | 98.9%    |
|                 |                  |              | GP OOH         | GP OOH: Total calls  | -                                     | 2,775    | 2,676    | 2,831    | 2,888    | 2,960    | 4,099      | 3,469         | 3,001    | 3,040    | 3,331    | 3,302    | 2,983    | 8,282      | 9,947      | 9,510      | 9,616          | 36,591                        | 9,616    |
|                 |                  | +            | GP OOH         | GP OOH: % of dispositions <2   |                                       | 61.2%    | 60.5%    | 61.6%    | 61.7%    | 62.3%    | 62.6%      | 63.4%         | 62.7%    | 62.6%    | 61.5%    | 62.1%    | 61.5%    | 61.1%      | 62.2%      | 62.9%      | 61.7%          | 60.5%                         | 61.7%    |
|                 | E.D.16           |              | -              | hours<br>Proportion of the population with                           | ≥75% by March                         |          | L        |          | L        | L        | Data t     | i<br>o follow | J        | i        | II       |          |          |            |            | J.         |                |                               |          |
|                 | E.D.17           |              |                | access to online consultations<br>Extended Access appointment        | 2020<br>≥75% by March Data to follow  |          |          |          |          |          |            |               |          |          |          |          |          |            |            |            |                |                               |          |
|                 | E.D.18           | +            | -              | utilisation<br>Proportion 111 can directly book                      | 2020:<br>100% by March Data to follow |          |          |          |          |          |            |               |          |          |          |          |          |            |            |            |                |                               |          |
|                 |                  |              | Access<br>DTOC | appts into extended access<br>DTOC: YTHFT - Acute bed days           | 2020                                  | 1,071    | 1,336    | 1,180    | 1,251    | 1,059    | 1,212      | 1,093         | 1,067    | 1,178    | 1,456    | 1,529    | 1,486    | 3,587      | 3,522      | 3,338      | 4,471          | 13,693                        | 4,471    |
|                 |                  |              |                | DTOC: YTHFT - Non-acute bed  |                                       | 307      | ,<br>301 | ,<br>381 | ,<br>357 | ,<br>358 | ,<br>337   | 385           | ,<br>295 | 377      | ,<br>277 |          | 352      | ,<br>989   | 1,052      | ,<br>1,057 | ,<br>932       | 4,182                         | ,<br>932 |
|                 |                  |              | ртос           | days<br>DTOC: YTHFT - Total bed days                                 |                                       | 1,378    | 1,637    | 1,561    | 1,608    | 1,417    | 1,549      | 1,478         | 1,362    | 1,555    | 1,733    | 1,832    | 1,838    | 4,576      | 4,574      | 4,395      | 5,403          | 17,875                        | 5,403    |
|                 |                  |              | ртос           | DTOC: TEWV - Total bed days (All                                     | -                                     | 832      | 974      |          | Page     |          | 221<br>550 | 557           | 506      | 657      | 673      | 547      | 630      | 2,684      | 2,080      | 1,720      | 1,850          | 9,591                         | 1,850    |
| L               | 1                | 1            |                | non-acute)   |                                       |          |          | -        |          |          |            |               |          |          | 1        |          |          |            | 1.1        | 1          | 1              | 1                             |          |

\*Note that A&E and NHS 111 data is available one month ahead of other data sources which will affect QTD and YTD calculations

| Unplanned and Out of Hospital Care |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance<br>Area                | Are targets being met   | If yes are you assured this is<br>sustainable, and if no what are the<br>causes of adverse performance   | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement  | Further escalations required/underway  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED 4 hour<br>target                | No – 81.1%<br>in July<br>against<br>95% target                      | The 'call to action' steps have been<br>worked through over 8 weeks between<br>June and August 2019, but there has<br>not been a significant improvement<br>during this period. There are still very<br>large numbers of non-admitted<br>breaches and investigation work is<br>ongoing to understand if this is due to<br>pressures in Primary Care. | Weekly partner teleconferences<br>continue to take place and actions<br>against the plan continue to be<br>implemented. The previous<br>trajectory for improvement has not<br>been met and so there have been<br>high level discussions on 08/08 to<br>redraw this trajectory. | Work is focused on ED<br>and hospital flow at<br>Scarborough, Primary<br>Care provision in York<br>and a review of DTOC<br>capacity and use in<br>York. Escalation<br>ongoing. |  |  |  |  |  |
| YAS                                | Yes, ARP<br>cat 1<br>targets are<br>being met                       | These have been met for several<br>months in succession now and we are<br>assured they will continue. Cat 2 mean<br>measurements are slightly outside the<br>target but the 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile are within.  | N/A  | N/A  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NHS 111                            | No – the<br>June<br>figures are<br>1.3%<br>outside that<br>required | This is very close to the target and it has been met in the previous two months. To be monitored.  | N/A  | N/A  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GP Out of<br>Hours                 | Yes   | The two-hour speak to clinician outcome is an ongoing issue, otherwise performance is very good.   | N/A  | N/A  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Unplanned and Out of Hospital Care |  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance<br>Area                | Are targets being met                    | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance   | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement   | Further escalations required/underway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Care<br>Access             | N/A –<br>targets<br>apply to<br>year end | <ul> <li>Proportion of the population with access to online consultations</li> <li>Priory Medical Group, Haxby Group, Jorvik Gillygate, Front Street and Tadcaster Medical Practices all have Online Consultations software installed and technically enabled. This represents 5 out of 26 Practices, with a combined list size of 129,050 out of a total Vale of York registered population of 361,626 (35.7%)</li> <li>Extended Access Appointment Utilisation</li> <li>Providers of Extended Access (evenings/weekends) appointments are required to report available appointments, number of appointments booked, DNA's, and utilisation on a daily basis.</li> <li>Utilisation is calculated as: (number of appointments booked - DNA's) / available appointments. For the month of March 2019, the average Extended Access appointment utilisation was 70%.</li> </ul> | The STP continues to fund a Project<br>Manager to assist Practices in<br>deploying the Online Consults<br>software (Engage Consult) and has<br>funded licenses to enable Practices<br>to trial the system for 12 months |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                    |  | Page 72 of 2   | 21  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
## Unplanned and Out of Hospital Care

| Performance<br>Area                   | Are targets being met                    | If yes are you assured this is<br>sustainable, and if no what are the<br>causes of adverse performance   | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement | Further escalations required/underway |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Primary Care<br>Access<br>(continued) | N/A –<br>targets<br>apply to<br>year end | Proportion of the population that<br>111 can directly book<br>appointments into the contracted<br>extended access services<br>For the month of March 2019 this<br>figure is 0%. Data collection has moved from<br>monthly to quarterly and therefore<br>the next available update will be<br>following publication of Quarter 1<br>2019/20 data. | The technical solution is still being worked on regionally.                                   |                                       |
|                                       |  | Page 73  | of 221  |                                       |

|                                 |                             | Hospital Care   |  |   |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|
| Performance<br>Area             | Are<br>targets<br>being met | If yes are you assured this is<br>sustainable, and if no what are the<br>causes of adverse performance  | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement  | Further escalations required/underway   |
| Delayed<br>Transfers of<br>Care | NHS: No<br>ASC: Yes         | Overall performance for the system<br>shows continued pressure on DTOC,<br>particularly for the acute hospital<br>beds. Mental health performance has<br>been improving steadily and is below<br>the target trajectory. | Joint approach across CYC, YTHFT &<br>CHC to facilitate a professionals deep<br>dive into systems and process and risk<br>assessments of self-funder DTOCs.<br>Improvement team working with<br>community and hospital to improve fast<br>track referral appropriateness and to<br>improve assessment of need to reduce<br>where possible over prescription of<br>domiciliary care.<br>Work being undertaken to develop more<br>streamlined approach to discharge to<br>assess and brokerage with NYCC<br>System action plan will be developed.<br>Following the completion of the Venn<br>Capacity and Demand Exercise, we<br>have received detailed feedback on<br>opportunities to improve system flow<br>and therefore achieve better outcomes<br>for individuals. The Model will support<br>our decision making in relation to<br>commissioning additional or different<br>capacity to address delayed transfers.<br>In particular, a commitment to a Home<br>First / Why not home, why not today?<br>Approach. A series of 30, 60 and 90 day<br>challenges has been instigated through<br>a multi agency workshop to avoid<br>opportant to care. | Escalation through<br>regulators and CQC to<br>support risk<br>management<br>A shift towards<br>additional capacity for<br>care at home wherever<br>possible alongside<br>improvements to care<br>pathways and patient<br>flow for people in<br>hospital. |

### **Emergency Department - YTHFT**

\*Note - ED data is available one month ahead of other national data



|        | 12 hour breaches at YTHFT |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |     |  |
|--------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|--|
| Apr-19 | May-19                    | Jun-19 | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 | ΥТD |  |
| 24     | 26                        | 2      | 0      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | 52  |  |

|        | ED 4 hour target - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - YTHFT |        |                          |            |            |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|---|--------|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Target | ≥95%  | Apr-19 | May-19                   | Jun-19     | Jul-19     | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |
|        | 2019/20 Plan                                      | 85.0%  | 86.0%                    | 87.0%      | 88.0%      | 89.0%  | 90.0%  | 90.0%  | 90.0%  | 90.0%  | 85.0%  | 82.5%  | 90.0%  |
| VTHET  | 2019/20 Actual                                    | 80.5%  | 81.9%                    | 83.2%      | 81.1%      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
| TIMET  | Variance Pag                                      | e 💤 🕅  | 2 <b>21<sup>1%</sup></b> | -3.8%<br>▼ | -6.9%<br>▼ | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |

### Yorkshire Ambulance Service (YAS)



Note - all ARP data covers YAS as a whole organisation. Local breakdown to CCG/Regional level is not available at the time of 221

### NHS 111 and GP Out of Hours



100%

90%

80%

70%

60%

50%

40%

30%

20%

10% 0%

100%

90%

80%

70%

60%

50%

40%

30%

20%

10% 0%

Note - all NHS 111 data is at Yorkshire and Humber level and is available one month ahead of other national data

### Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOCs)



| YTHFT DTOCs - June 2019   |                |            |
|---|----------------|------------|
| Reason Code   | Total bed days | Proportion |
| C) Waiting Further NHS Non-Acute Care                           | 543            | 29.5%      |
| E) Awaiting Care Package in Own Home                            | 446            | 24.3%      |
| DI) Awaiting Residential Home Placement or Availability         | 363            | 19.7%      |
| A) Completion of Assessment                                     | 241            | 13.1%      |
| DII) Awaiting Nursing Home Placement or Availability            | 127            | 6.9%       |
| G) Patient or Family Choice                                     | 86             | 4.7%       |
| B) Public Funding   | 22             | 1.2%       |
| I) Housing - Patients Not Covered by NHS and Community Care Act | 5              | 0.3%       |
| F) Awaiting Community Equipment and Adaptions                   | 5              | 0.3%       |
| O) Other  | 0              | 0.0%       |
| H) Disputes   | 0              | 0.0%       |
| Grand Total   | 1,838          | 100.0%     |



Note - all TEWV delays are Non-Acute



## Performance and Programme Overview Mental Health

### Areas Covered:

- Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT)
- Early Intervention in Psychosis (EIP)
- Dementia Diagnosis
- Children and Young People's (CYP) Mental Health Services Access Rate
- Children and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) Referral to Treatment (RTT)
- Children and Young People's (CYP) Eating Disorders
- Autism Assessments
- Annual Health Checks for people with Severe Mental Illness (SMI)

### Content:

- Summary dashboard
- Narrative
- Supporting data



### Vale of York CCG Performance Summary Dashboard – Mental Health

| CCG IAF 2018/19 | Planning Guidance 2019/20 | Quality Premium 2018/19 | Category              | Indicator  | 2019/20 Target                      | Jul-18 | Aug-18 | Sep-18 | Oct-18 | Nov-18           | Dec-18    | Jan-19      | Feb-19  | Mar-19 | Apr-19 | May-19 | Jun-19             | Previc<br>20 61/8102 | 2018/19 Q3 C 8 and | 8      |        | Previous<br>Financial<br>Year<br>6148100 |        |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--|--------|
| Mer             | ntal Hea                  | alth                    |                       | ,  |                                     |        |        |        |        |                  |           |             |         |        |        |        |                    |                      |                    |        |        |  |        |
| 123b            | E.A.3                     |                         | IAPT*                 | IAPT Access (rolling 3 months)                                       | ≥5.5% in Q4<br>(≥22% full year)     | 3.4%   | 3.7%   | 3.6%   | 3.7%   | 2.5%             | 2.8%      | 2.8%        | 3.8%    | 3.6%   | 3.5%   | -      | -                  | 3.6%                 | 2.8%               | 3.6%   | 1.2%   | 14.6% -                                  |        |
| 123a            | E.A.S.2                   |                         | IAPT                  | IAPT Recovery (rolling 3 months)                                     | ≥50%                                | 48.9%  | 46.9%  | 46.7%  | 47.5%  | 46.3%            | 41.9%     | 39.1%       | 44.8%   | 47.4%  | 50.0%  | -      | -                  | 46.7%                | 41.9%              | 47.4%  | 48.5%  | 47.2%                                    | 48.5%  |
|                 | E.H.1_A1                  | 1                       | IAPT                  | IAPT: 6 weeks First Treatment  | ≥75%                                | 94.9%  | 93.2%  | 93.1%  | 94.1%  | 100.0%           | 95.5%     | 95.1%       | 93.3%   | 94.3%  | 97.2%  | -      | -                  | 93.8%                | 94.8%              | 94.3%  | 97.2%  | 92.2%                                    | 97.2%  |
|                 | E.H.2_A2                  | 2                       | IAPT                  | IAPT: 18 weeks First Treatment                                       | ≥95%                                | 97.4%  | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.0%  | 100.0%           | 100.0%    | 100.0%      | 100.0%  | 100.0% | 100.0% | -      | -                  | 99.1%                | 98.7%              | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.1%                                    | 100.0% |
| 123c            | E.H.4                     |                         | EIP                   | EIP: Within 2 weeks (rolling 3<br>months)                            | ≥56%                                | 29.6%  | 38.9%  | 46.7%  | 66.7%  | 71.4%            | 65.2%     | 52.4%       | 54.2%   | 44.4%  | 39.4%  | 41.2%  | 51.3%              | 46.7%                | 65.2%              | 44.4%  | 51.3%  | 45.7%                                    | 51.3%  |
| 126a            | E.A.S.1                   |                         | Dementia**            | Dementia: Diagnosis Rate   | ≥66.7%                              | 60.7%  | 61.1%  | 60.9%  | 60.0%  | 60.1%            | 59.6%     | 59.1%       | 58.7%   | 58.6%  | 58.0%  | 57.6%  | 57.3%              | 60.9%                | 59.9%              | 58.8%  | 57.7%  | 60.0%                                    | 57.7%  |
|                 | E.H.9                     |                         | CYPIMH                | Children and Young People's MH<br>Services Access Rate               | 34%                                 | 40.6%  | 41.2%  | 40.9%  | 41.9%  | 42.4%            | 43.2%     | 43.8%       | 43.4%   | 42.5%  | -      | -      | -                  | -                    | -                  | -      | -      |  |        |
|                 |                           |                         | RII                   | % of patients starting treatment<br>within 6 weeks of referral - CYP |                                     | -      | -      | -      | 66.3%  | 57.7%            | 47.4%     | 47.6%       | 53.2%   | 56.5%  | 33.3%  | 43.6%  | <mark>58.3%</mark> | -                    | -                  | -      | -      |  |        |
|                 | E.H.10                    |                         | CYPINE                | CYP Eating Disorders: Routine<br>cases % within 4 weeks              | In year ≥60%, ≥95%<br>by March 2021 |        |        |        |        | Quarterly        | indicator | (rolling 12 | months) |        |        |        |                    | 50.0%                | 56.8%              | 66.7%  | 79.2%  | 66.7% -                                  |        |
|                 | E.H.11                    |                         |                       | CYP Eating Disorders: Urgent<br>cases % within 1 week                | In year ≥75%, ≥95%<br>by March 2021 |        |        |        |        | Quarterly        | indicator | (rolling 12 | months) |        |        |        |                    | 40.0%                | 62.5%              | 71.4%  | 82.6%  | 71.4%                                    |        |
|                 |                           |                         | i                     | Total number of CYP waiting for<br>a full specialist assessment      |                                     | 213    | 218    | 208    | 207    | 220              | 208       | 210         | 212     | 208    | 205    | 201    | 199                | -                    | -                  | -      | -      |  |        |
|                 |                           |                         |                       | Of above, waiting up to 13 weeks                                     |                                     | -      | -      | -      | -      | <mark>5</mark> 6 | 51        | 67          | 68      | 76     | 68     | 57     | <mark>6</mark> 1   | -                    | -                  | -      | -      |  |        |
|                 |                           |                         | Autism<br>Assessments | Of above, waiting 14 to 33 weeks                                     |                                     | -      | -      | -      | -      | 84               | 77        | 75          | 75      | 57     | 71     | 84     | 74                 | -                    | -                  | -      | -      |  |        |
|                 |                           |                         |                       | Of above, waiting 34 to 52 weeks                                     |                                     | -      | -      | -      | -      | 48               | 49        | 41          | 46      | 55     | 52     | 46     | 56                 | -                    | -                  | -      | -      |  |        |
|                 |                           |                         |                       | Of above, waiting 52+ weeks  |                                     | -      | -      | -      | -      | 32               | 31        | 27          | 23      | 20     | 14     | 14     | 8                  | -                    | -                  | -      | -      |  |        |
|                 | E.H.13                    |                         | SMI AHCs              | Annual health check for people<br>with Severe Mental Illness (SMI)   | ≥60%                                |        |        |        |        |                  | Data to   | follow      |         |        |        |        |                    | -                    | -                  | -      | -      |  |        |

\*IAPT access is calculated differently to other mental health standards in that achievement is based only on Quarter 4 performance, multiplied by 4 to give the CCG's annual rate. There is a notional target of 4.75% in Quarters 1 to 3, however this is for monitoring purposes only and does not influence year-end achievement of this standard. The key target is achievement of 5.5% in Quarter 4, which is multiplied by 4 to give a 2019/20 annual target of 22%. The denominator for this indicator always remains the same at the annual level of need in the population. Monthly data against this target reflects a rolling 3 month position, i.e. April numerator will cover Feb+Mar+Apr. Quarterly data reflects only completed months within that quarter, i.e. in April, Q1 numerator would cover April only, in May it would cover Apr+May and so on. Annual data will be updated only at end Q4 when annual position is available for calculation.

\*\*Dementia Diagnosis data can be at times be available one month ahead of other data sources which could affect QTD and YTD calculations

\*\*\*TEWV definitions of treatment include self-help and wellbeing advice

| Mental Hea            | lth                   |   |   |   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| Performance<br>Area   | Are targets being met | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance  | What mitigating actions are underway<br>and is there a trajectory for<br>recovery/improvement   | Further escalation<br>required/<br>underway |
| IAPT                  | No                    | Access<br>Performance increased slightly in<br>June however was insufficient to<br>meet the Q1 target and a<br>performance notice has been issued.<br>Recovery<br>The June recovery trajectory was<br>met. The service with the CCG<br>continue to monitor the capacity of<br>the service to respond to increased<br>referrals while maintaining recovery<br>rates. | <ul> <li>The trajectory for Q2 access rate is 15.4% and actions to achieve this include:</li> <li>Continued case finding with the top four GP practices with high anti-depressant prescribing rates and low IAPT prevalence.</li> <li>IAPT therapist co-located in 2 GP practices on sessional basis</li> <li>Continued marketing and communication including to dispel misconceptions about current position in terms of waits</li> </ul>  |   |
| EIP                   | No                    | The EIP trajectory is currently stable.   | Decreases in June's performance relate to some patients cancelling appointments a number of times.  |   |
| Dementia<br>Diagnosis | No                    | Page 81 o   | The York central locality Integrated Care<br>Team has received training on the use of<br>the Diadem tool to undertake case finding<br>in the largest care homes in York, initially<br>covered by 3 practices.<br>Funding has been allocated for a full-time<br>dementia coordinator role in South<br>Hambleton & Ryedale PCN for 12 months<br>to support increasing diagnosis of<br>dementia and support for patients and their<br>families. The impact of this should be<br>evident in September's data and onwards. |   |

### Mental Health

| Performance<br>Area                        | Are targets being met                                 | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance   | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement   | Further escalations required/underway   |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| CYP Access<br>Rate                         | Yes   | Performance exceeds target by<br>approx. 30%. New staff funded by<br>additional CCG investment coming<br>into post August/September 2019.  | N/A   |   |
| CAMHS<br>Referral to<br>Treatment<br>(RTT) | N/A – New<br>metric so<br>no target<br>until Q3       | Although the position is improving,<br>referrals are increasing so we are not<br>currently assured that the position is<br>necessarily sustainable, further<br>assurance has been requested.   | There is further recruitment planned<br>for CAMHS and they are trialling<br>evening and weekend working.  | We do not currently<br>receive the level of data<br>required to fully<br>understand possible<br>pressures in the CAMHS<br>pathway. There is work<br>ongoing with the<br>Information team at<br>TEWV to improve this by<br>Q3. |
| CYP Eating<br>Disorders                    | Yes   | All breaches of target since August 2018 due to patient choice.  | TEWV seeking NMC monies to<br>redesign service across Trust<br>footprint  |   |
| Autism<br>Assessments                      | No against<br>NICE<br>guidance.<br>No local<br>target | Cause is sustained increase in<br>referrals since 2016/17, in common<br>with the national trend. Current<br>average waiting time is approx. 50<br>weeks.<br>The waiting list is moving in the right<br>direction, targeted work on the<br>longest waiters and successful<br>recruitment would suggest thispail 82<br>continue. | TEWV has new staff coming into<br>post August/September 2019<br>following the CCG's additional<br>investment. We are currently<br>awaiting trajectory to clear backlog<br>and achieve NICE guidance<br>compliance.<br>Recording issue on PARIS has been<br>identified that means a further 10<br>of assessments have been closed, this<br>will be updated next month. | None at present   |

| Mental Hea                     | lth                   |   |  |                                       |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Performance<br>Area            | Are targets being met | If yes are you assured this is sustainable, and if no what are the causes of adverse performance  | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement  | Further escalations required/underway |
| Annual SMI<br>Health<br>Checks | No                    | The CCG missed the deadline for the NHSE Quarter 1 submission due to issues in collecting the required data from GP practices. This means that there is no data published nationally for Vale of York CCG. Mitigations will be put in place to ensure the Quarter 2 submission deadline is met including working more closely with eMBED colleagues around when the EMIS query specification is sent out to practices, and providing more support in running and returning the data in time for the deadline.<br>3 practices have yet to provide their data, but for the remaining practices performance in Q1 against the 6 elements of the health check (which are comparable with the Q4 collection) has increased to 26.2%, up from 17.6% in Q4 of 2018/19. However this falls short of the 60% target.<br>The uplift in performance is largely due to improvement in the identification and reporting of blood pressure checks for EMIS practices, and an overall improvement from ge 83 practices using SystmOne. | Negotiations are on-going with The<br>South Hambleton and Ryedale PCN<br>to pilot a local enhanced service in<br>their practices.<br>A meeting is taking place with Selby<br>Town PCN on 11 September to<br>discuss possible implementation of<br>the LES. |                                       |

### Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT)

Note - There is a greater time lag in publication for the IAPT data set which will consequently be one or sometimes two months behind other data sets



|                    | IAPT Access - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG |      |      |      |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|---|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Target ≥4.75% Q1-3 | 8, ≥5.5% Q4   | Q1   | Q2   | Q3   | Q4   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | 2019/20 Plan  | 3.9% | 4.0% | 4.1% | 4.2% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vale of York CCG   | 2019/20 Actual  | -    | -    | -    | -    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vale of fork CCG   | Variance  | -    | -    | -    | -    |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| IAPT Recovery (rolling 3 months)                 |
|--|
| → IAPT Recovery (rolling 3 months) Target (≥50%) |
| 60.0%  |
| 50.0%  |
| 40.0%  |
| 30.0%  |
| 20.0%  |
| 10.0%  |
| 0.0%   |

|                  | IAPT Recovery - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Target ≥50%      |   | Q1    | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                  | 2019/20 Plan  | 50.1% | 50.0% | 50.0% | 50.0% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vale of York CCG | 2019/20 Actual  | -     | -     | -     | -     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vale of fork CCG | Variance  | -     | -     | -     | -     |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|                  | IAPT 6 weeks - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG |       |       |       |                     |    |                       | IAPT 18 weeks - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|----|-----------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Target ≥75%      |  | Q1    | Q2    | Q3    | Q4                  | 1  | Target ≥95%           |   | Q1    | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    |  |  |  |
|                  | 2019/20 Plan   | 75.1% | 75.1% | 75.1% | 75.1%               |    | 84 pf/2020ff York CCG | 2019/20 Plan  | 95.2% | 95.2% | 95.2% | 95.2% |  |  |  |
| Vale of York CCG | 2019/20 Actual   | -     | -     | -     | - Dago <sup>-</sup> | βı |                       | 2019/20 Actual  | -     | -     | -     | -     |  |  |  |
|                  | Variance   | -     | -     | -     | rage <sub>-</sub>   | 64 |                       | Variance  | -     | -     | -     | -     |  |  |  |

### Early Intervention in Psychosis (EIP), Dementia Diagnosis and Eating Disorders



| EIP - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG |                |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Target 2019/20 ≥56%                             | 0              | Q1    | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    |  |  |  |
|   | 2019/20 Plan   | 54.5% | 54.5% | 59.1% | 59.1% |  |  |  |
| Vale of York CCG                                | 2019/20 Actual | 51.3% | -     | -     | -     |  |  |  |
| vale of fork CCG                                | V              | -3.2% | -     | -     | -     |  |  |  |
|   | Variance       | ▼     |       |       |       |  |  |  |



|       | Dementia Diagnosis Rate - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG |                    |                    |            |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|-------|---|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Targe | et≥66.7%  | Apr-19             | May-19             | Jun-19     | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |
| Vale  | 2019/20 Plan  | 60.8%              | 61.0%              | 61.1%      | 61.3%  | 61.5%  | 61.7%  | 61.8%  | 62.0%  | 62.1%  | 62.1%  | 62.1%  | 62.1%  |
|       | 2019/20<br>Actual   | <mark>58.0%</mark> | <mark>57.6%</mark> | 57.3%      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
|       | Variance  | -2.8%<br>▼         | -3.4%<br>▼         | -3.8%<br>▼ | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |





| C                  | YP ED Urgent Cas                     | es - 2019/20 Plar | ı vs Actual - Vale | of York CCG |       |       | CYP ED Routine Cases - 2019/20 Plan vs Actual - Vale of York CCG |                |       |    |    |    |  |  |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------|-------|--|----------------|-------|----|----|----|--|--|
| Target ≥95% by Mar | ch 2020                              | Q1                | Q2                 | Q3          | Q4    |       | Target ≥95% by Ma  | rch 2020       | Q1    | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |
|                    | 2019/20 Plan 76.2% 76.2% 76.2% 2019/ |                   | 2019/20 Plan       | 51.3%       | 56.4% | 59.0% | 59.0%  |                |       |    |    |    |  |  |
| Vala of Vark CCC   | 2019/20 Actual                       | 82.6%             | -                  | -           | Page  | 85    | OVa220F York CCG   | 2019/20 Actual | 79.2% | -  | -  | -  |  |  |
| Vale of York CCG   | Variance                             | 6.4%              | -                  | -           | - uge | 00    |  | Varianaa       | 27.9% | -  | -  | -  |  |  |
|                    |                                      |                   |                    |             |       |       | Variance   |                |       |    |    |    |  |  |

## Performance and Programme Overview Complex Care

### Areas Covered:

- Continuing Healthcare (CHC)
- Personal Health Budgets (PHBs)

### Content:

- Summary dashboard
- Narrative
- Supporting data



### Vale of York CCG Performance Summary Dashboard – Complex Care

| 2018/19     | idance 2019/20 | ium 2018/19  |          |  |                      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | Previo     | ous 3 Qua  | arters     | Current<br>QTD | Previous<br>Financial<br>Year |         |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------|--|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| CCG IAF 201 | Planning Gui   | Quality Prem | Category | Indicator  | 2019/20 Target       | Aug-18 | Sep-18 | Oct-18 | Nov-18 | Dec-18 | Jan-19 | Feb-19 | Mar-19 | Apr-19 | May-19 | Jun-19 | Jul-19 | 2018/19 Q3 | 2018/19 Q4 | 2019/20 Q1 | 2019/20 Q2     | 2018/19                       | 2019/20 |
| Com         | plex C         | are          |          |  |                      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |            |            |            |                |                               |         |
| 131a        |                | Y            | снс      | % DSTs undertaken in acute<br>setting                                | ≤15%                 | 1.9%   | 2.9%   | 2.1%   | 0.0%   | 0.0%   | 0.0%   | 0.0%   | 2.1%   | 3.8%   | 13.6%  | 0.0%   | 6.3%   | 0.8%       | 0.8%       | 5.6%       | 6.3%           | 2.0%                          | 5.8%    |
|             |                | Y            | снс      | % of Standard CHC referrals with<br>a decision on DST within 28 days | ≥80%                 | 77.4%  | 91.4%  | 91.5%  | 68.4%  | 70.2%  | 84.3%  | 96.9%  | 87.5%  | 82.1%  | 85.3%  | 89.7%  | 81.6%  | 77.3%      | 88.5%      | 85.7%      | 81.6%          | 74.8%                         | 84.5%   |
|             | E.N.1          |              | PHBs     | Total Personal Health Budgets in<br>place                            | 330 by March<br>2020 | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | 37     | 38     | 39     | 38         | 38         | 38         | -              | 38                            | 38      |

\*Note - CHC and PHB data is generated internally within the CCG and therefore is available one month ahead of other data. Data is published nationally on a quarterly basis only.

| Complex Care                                      |                             |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance<br>Area                               | Are<br>targets<br>being met | If yes are you assured this is<br>sustainable, and if no what are the<br>causes of adverse performance  | What mitigating actions are<br>underway and is there a trajectory<br>for recovery/improvement   | Further escalations required/underway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHC –<br>DST taking<br>place in Acute<br>Hospital | Yes                         | There were 2 DSTs performed in an Acute Setting in July.  | The Discharge to Assess pathway<br>works to reduce DST in an Acute<br>Setting although in some cases this<br>activity is necessary  | Not Required                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHC –<br>Decisions on<br>DSTs within 28<br>days   | Yes                         | The target has been met in July. Due<br>to further reconciliation work being<br>undertaken prior months performance<br>positions have moved. The CCG<br>position is now reporting achievement<br>of the target throughout 2019/20.<br>The expectation is that the target will<br>be met in August but with difficulty<br>due to the summer annual leave<br>period affecting staff availability both<br>internally and externally. | The implementation of iQA continues. A meeting with iQA was held where a number of key issues were discussed.<br>Interviews have recently been completed regarding the appointment of 1 x Band 3 post on a permanent basis and 1 x Band 3 post on 12 month fixed term arrangement to support the Administration Team. | Not Required                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHC –<br>Waiting Times                            | Yes                         | There were 5 long waiters in July.<br>3 of the delays stemming from patient<br>led cancellations and 2 related to<br>delay in the process   | A process is now in place to review any<br>long waiters on a regular basis however<br>as DST booking process has improved<br>it is anticipated that clients will be<br>routinely seen within the required 28<br>day timeframe   | Not Required                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal<br>Health Budgets                        | No                          | The current plan relies heavily on the<br>implementation of Wheelchair related<br>PHBs. As this has yet to occur the<br>plan will remain unachievable.<br>Page 88 of  | The implementation of Wheelchair<br>PHBs is on-going.<br>All new CHC clients are considered for<br>PHB eligibility and current CHC<br>packages that may be suitable for PHB<br>Thave been booked in for review.   | Not Required                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### Continuing Healthcare (CHC) and Personal Health Budgets (PHBs)

\*Note - CHC and PHB data is generated internally within the CCG and therefore is available one month ahead of other data. Data is published nationally on a quarterly basis only.

CHC Decision Support Tool (DST) in acute setting and CHC Completed referrals to decision



#### CHC incomplete referrals waiting times and Personal Health Budgets (PHBs)

| CHC referral to decision on DST - waits exceeding 28 days |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|--|--|
|   | Within 28       | 1 to 14 days      | 15 to 28 days | 29 to 84 days | 85 to 182 | ≥183 days | Total over 28          |  |  |
| Period  | days            | over              | over          | over          | days over | over      | days                   |  |  |
| Apr-19 D  | ata not availab | ole for this mont | h             |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| May-19  | 20              | 0                 | 0             | 3             | 1         | 0         | 4                      |  |  |
| Jun-19  | 15              | 0                 | 1             | 2             | 0         | 0         | 3                      |  |  |
| Jul-19  | 17              | 3                 | 0             | 2             | 0         | 0         | 5                      |  |  |
| Aug-19  |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| Sep-19  |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| Oct-19  |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| Nov-19  |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| Dec-19  |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| Jan-20  |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| Feb-20  |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| Mar-20  |                 |                   |               |               |           |           |                        |  |  |
| YTD   | 52              | 3                 | 1             | 7             | 1         | 0         | 9 of 221 <sup>12</sup> |  |  |



| Personal Health Budgets (PHBs) |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period                         | Wheelchair<br>PHBs | CHC PHBs         | Other PHBs | Total PHBs* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr-19                         | Data not availabl  | e for this month |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| May-19                         | 0                  | 37               | 0          | 37          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun-19                         | 0                  | 38               | 0          | 38          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul-19                         | 0                  | 39               | 0          | 39          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug-19                         |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep-19                         |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct-19                         |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov-19                         |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec-19                         |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan-20                         |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb-20                         |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-20                         |                    |                  |            |             |  |  |  |  |  |

\*2019/20 full year trajectory for Vale of York CCG is 330 by March 2020

## **CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework (IAF)**



Page 90 of 221

### CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework (IAF)

CCGs are assessed annually by NHS England against the Improvement and Assessment Framework (IAF). There are 4 possible achievement ratings to be gained – Inadequate, Requires Improvement, Good or Outstanding. The CCG IAF comprises indicators selected by NHS England to track and assess variation across performance, delivery, outcomes, finance and leadership.

#### 2018/19 Framework and Annual Rating

The Quarter 4 2018/19 IAF dashboard was released to CCGs on 11<sup>th</sup> July 2019, and confirms that the full year rating for Vale of York CCG for 2018/19 remains static at **Requires Improvement**. Methodology for assessment remains similar to the previous year with the 58 IAF measures divided into 3 domains – Finance (indicator 141b) which accounts for 25% of the total scoring, Quality of Leadership (indicator 165a) which accounts for another 25%, and Other which encompasses all remaining indicators and accounts for 50% of scoring.

Of the two key indicators which between them are worth 50% of the overall scoring, the CCG were rated Red against Finance, and Amber against Quality of Leadership. This is the same rating as achieved in 2017/18 for both indicators.

Detailed scoring data was received from NHS England on 12<sup>th</sup> August and shows a total score of 0.570 in 2018/19 out of a maximum possible score of 2. This is compared to 0.576 in 2017/18 and 0.488 in 2016/17. In order to achieve a rating of 'Good' the CCG would need to have achieved a score of 1, and a score of 1.45 to be rated 'Outstanding'.

The table on the following slide shows a summary of the CCG's performance position against all indicators. A number of indicators have assigned standards, trajectories, targets or ambitions. These are indicated in the Target column on the following slide with colour coding of Green for achievement and Red for non-achievement.

It should be noted that the Red/Amber/Green colour coding against England Ranking in the IAF dashboard is based where available on national ranking position against all other available CCGs. This may mean that despite achievement of a target or standard, an indicator may still be rated amber in this column. The reason behind the use of quartiles is due to the assessment methodology of NHS England for the IAF Framework, which takes into account distance from national average. An amber rating does not necessarily indicate non-achievement of target but simply that there is possibility for improvement compared to national position.

#### 2019/20 Framework

As yet details of the 2019/20 framework have not been released. The CCG has seen an early draft of indicators and there are some additions and amendments to the current framework anticipated. Advance monitoring is being set up where possible for the predicted indicators however we will need to wait until release of full technical guidance before definitions and therefore baselines can be confirmed.

### CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework (IAF)

|                                   | р (6                    |      |   | 1.11 min and        | Target          |                          |       | c         |         |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------|---|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| Category                          | Refreshed<br>(Q4 18/19) | Ref  | Indicator   | Higher/<br>Lower is | (Green=m<br>et, | Time period              | CCG   | Directior | England |
|                                   | (Q4                     |      |   | better              | Red=not<br>met) |                          | value | Dir       | Ranking |
| Better Health                     |                         |      |   |                     |                 |                          |       |           |         |
| Child obesity                     | Y                       | 102a | % 10-11 year olds classified overweight /obese  | Lower is better     | -               | 2015-16 to<br>2017-18    | 29.7% | Up        | 27/195  |
| Diabetes                          |                         | 103a | Diabetes patients who achieved NICE targets   | Higher is better    | -               | 2017-18                  | 35.3% | Down      | 167/195 |
| Diabetes                          |                         | 103b | Diabetes - Attendance of structured education course  | Higher is<br>better | -               | 2017-18 (2016<br>cohort) | 4.2%  | Up        | 140/195 |
| Falls                             |                         | 104a | Injuries from falls in people 65yrs +   | Lower is<br>better  | -               | 18-19 Q3                 | 2186  | Up        | 125/195 |
| Personalisation<br>and choice     | Y                       | 105b | Personal health budgets   | Higher is<br>better | -               | 18-19 Q4                 | 11    | Static    | 168/195 |
| Health<br>inequalities            |                         | 106a | Inequality Chronic - Ambulatory Care Sensitive (ACS) &<br>Urgent Care Sensitive (UCS) Conditions                                      | Lower is<br>better  | -               | 18-19 Q2                 | 2196  | Up        | 101/192 |
| Antimicrobial<br>resistance       | Y                       | 107a | AMR: appropriate prescribing  | Lower is<br>better  | 0.965           | 2019 02                  | 0.868 | Down      | 46/195  |
| Antimicrobial<br>resistance       | Y                       | 107b | AMR: Broad spectrum prescribing   | Lower is<br>better  | 10%             | 2019 02                  | 4.4%  | Up        | 2/195   |
| Carers                            |                         | 108a | Quality of life of carers   | Higher is<br>better | 1               | 2018                     | 0.60  | -         | 85/195  |
| Better Care                       |                         |      |   |                     |                 |                          |       |           |         |
| Provision of high<br>quality care |                         | 121a | High quality care - acute   | Higher is<br>better | -               | 18-19 Q3                 | 60    | Down      | 105/195 |
| Provision of high<br>quality care |                         | 121b | High quality care - primary care  | Higher is better    | -               | 18-19 Q3                 | 65    | Down      | 142/195 |
| Provision of high<br>quality care |                         | 121c | High quality care - adult social care   | Higher is<br>better | -               | 18-19 Q3                 | 63    | Up        | 63/195  |
| Cancer                            | Y                       | 122a | Cancers diagnosed at early stage  | Higher is<br>better | 53.5%           | 2017                     | 55.6% | Up        | 27/195  |
| Cancer                            | Y                       | 122b | Cancer 62 days of referral to treatment   | Higher is<br>better | 85%             | 18-19 Q4                 | 81.4% | Up        | 62/195  |
| Cancer                            |                         | 122c | One-year survival from all cancers  | Higher is<br>better | 75%             | 2016                     | 71.6% | Static    | 129/195 |
| Cancer                            |                         | 122d | Cancer patient experience   | Higher is<br>better | -               | 2017                     | 8.9   | Up        | 28/195  |
| Mental health                     |                         | 123a | IAPT recovery rate  | Higher is<br>better | 50%             | 18-19 Q3                 | 43.8% | Down      | 185/195 |
| Mental health                     |                         | 123b | IAPT Access   | Higher is<br>better | 4.75%           | 18-19 Q3                 | 2.8%  | Down      | 192/195 |
| Mental health                     | Y                       | 123c | EIP 2 week referral   | Higher is<br>better | 53%             | 2019 03                  | 45.7% | Up        | 189/195 |
| Mental health                     |                         | 123d | MH - CYP mental health services (not available)   | -                   |                 |                          |       | -         |         |
| Mental health                     |                         | 123e | MH - Crisis team provision  | Higher is<br>better | -               | 2017-18                  | 0.0%  | -         | 114/180 |
| Mental health                     | Y                       | 123f | MH - Out of Area Placements (OAPs)  | Lower is<br>better  | -               | 2019 02                  | 77    | Up        | 114/195 |
| Mental health                     | Y                       | 123g | MH - Proportion of people on GP severe mental illness<br>register receiving physical health checks in primary care<br>(not available) | Higher is<br>better | 60%             | 18-19 Q4                 | 17.6% | Up        | 152/195 |
| Mental health                     |                         | 123h | MH - Cardio-metabolic assessments in mental health<br>environments (not available)  | -                   |                 |                          |       | -         |         |
| Mental health                     | Y                       | 123i | MH - Delivery of the mental health investment standard (MHIS)   | -                   | -               | 18-19 Q4                 | Green | Static    | -       |
| Mental health                     | Y                       | 123j | MH - Quality of mental health data submitted to NHS<br>England (DQMI)   | Higher is<br>better | -               | 2019 01                  | 93.20 | -         | 28/195  |
| Learning disability               | Y                       | 124a | LD - Reliance on specialist inpatient care  | Lower is<br>better  | -               | 18-19 Q4                 | 52    | Down      | 101/195 |
| Learning disability               |                         | 124b | LD - Annual Health Check  | Higher is<br>better | -               | 2017-18                  | 54.8% | Up        | 73/195  |
| Learning disability               |                         | 124c | Completeness of the GP learning disability register   | Higher is<br>better | -               | 2017-18                  | 0.3%  | Up        | 484/195 |

| Category                            | Refreshed<br>(Q4 18/19) | Ref  | Indicator  | Higher/<br>Lower is<br>better | Target<br>(Green=m<br>et,<br>Red=not<br>met) | Time period | CCG<br>value | Direction | England<br>Ranking |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Better Care (con                    | tinued                  | )    |  |                               |  |             |              |           |                    |
| Maternity                           |                         | 125a | Neonatal mortality and stillbirths                                       | Lower is<br>better            | -  | 2016        | 4.4          | Up        | 89/19              |
| Maternity                           | Y                       | 125b | Experience of maternity services   | Higher is<br>better           | -  | 2018        | 82.7         | Down      | 94/19              |
| Maternity                           | Y                       | 125c | Choices in maternity services  | Higher is<br>better           | -  | 2018        | 53.6         | Down      | 182/19             |
| Maternity                           |                         | 125d | Maternal smoking at delivery   | Lower is<br>better            | 6%   | 18-19 Q3    | 12.4%        | Down      | 113/19             |
| Dementia                            | Y                       | 126a | Dementia diagnosis rate  | Higher is<br>better           | 66.7%  | 2019 03     | 58.6%        | Down      | 187/19             |
| Dementia                            |                         | 126b | Dementia post diagnostic support   | Higher is<br>better           | -  | 2017-18     | 78.6%        | Up        | 87/19              |
| Urgent and<br>emergency care        |                         | 127b | Emergency admissions for UCS conditions                                  | Lower is<br>better            | -  | 18-19 Q2    | 2488         | Up        | 112/19             |
| Urgent and<br>emergency care        |                         | 127c | A&E admission, transfer, discharge within 4 hours                        | Higher is<br>better           | 95%  | 2019 03     | 84.1%        | Up        | 112/19             |
| Urgent and<br>emergency care        | Y                       | 127e | Delayed transfers of care per 100,000 population                         | Lower is<br>better            | -  | 2019 03     | 14           | Down      | 162/19             |
| Urgent and<br>emergency care        |                         | 127f | Hospital bed use following emergency admission                           | Lower is<br>better            | -  | 18-19 Q2    | 538          | Down      | 148/19             |
| End of life care                    | Y                       | 105c | % of deaths with 3+ emergency admissions in last three<br>months of life |                               | -  | 2017        | 6.3%         | Down      | 45/19              |
| Primary care                        |                         | 128b | Patient experience of GP services  | Higher is<br>better           | -  | 2018        | 87.3%        | Up        | 35/19              |
| Primary care                        | Y                       | 128c | Primary care access  | Higher is better              | 100%   | 2019 03     | 100%         | Static    | 1/19               |
| Primary care                        |                         | 128d | Primary care workforce   | Higher is better              | -  | 2018 09     | 1.2          | Up        | 21/19              |
| Primary care                        | Y                       | 128e | Primary Care transformation investment                                   | -                             | -  | 18-19 Q4    | Red          | Static    |                    |
| Elective access                     | Y                       | 129a | 18 week RTT  | Higher is<br>better           | 92%  | 2019 03     | 83.3%        | Down      | 155/19             |
| 7 day services                      | Y                       | 130a | 7 Day Services - Achievement of Standards                                | Higher is better              | -  | 2017-18     | 2            | -         | 56/19              |
| NHS Continuing<br>Healthcare        | Y                       | 131a | % NHS CHC assesments taking place in acute hospital<br>setting           | Lower is<br>better            | 15%  | 18-19 Q4    | 0.8%         | Static    | 60/19              |
| Patient safety                      | Y                       | 132a | Sepsis awareness   | -                             | -  | 2018        | Green        | Up        |                    |
| Diagnostics                         | Y                       | 133a | Patients waiting 6 weeks or more for a diagnostic test                   | Lower is<br>better            | 1%   | 2019 03     | 8.2%         | Down      | 186/19             |
| Sustainability                      |                         | 1    |  | beller                        |  |             |              |           | 1                  |
| Financial                           | Y                       | 141b | In-year financial performance  | -                             | -  | 18-19 Q4    | Red          | Static    |                    |
| sustainability<br>Paper-free at the | Y                       | 144a | Utilisation of the NHS e-referral service                                | Higher is                     | -  | 2019 03     | 100.0%       | Up        | 1/19               |
| point of care<br>Demand             |                         | 145a | Expenditure in areas with identified scope for                           | better                        | _  | 18-19 Q3    | Amber        | Static    |                    |
| management                          |                         |      | improvement  |                               |  |             |              |           |                    |
| Leadership<br>Probity and           |                         |      |  |                               | 1  |             |              |           |                    |
| corporate<br>governance             | Y                       | 162a | Probity and corporate governance   | -                             | -  | 18-19 Q4    | Green        | Static    |                    |
| Workforce<br>engagement             | Y                       | 163a | Staff engagement index   | Higher is<br>better           | -  | 2018        | 3.8          | Up        | 102/18             |
| Workforce<br>engagement             | Y                       | 163b | Progress against WRES  | Higher is better              | -  | 2018        | 0.09         | Down      | 154/18             |
| CCGs' local<br>relationships        | Y                       | 164a | Working relationship effectiveness                                       | Higher is<br>better           | -  | 2018-19     | 61.3         | Up        | 168/19             |
| Quality of                          | Y                       | 165a | Quality of CCG leadership  | -                             | -  | 18-19 Q4    | Amber        | Static    |                    |
| Patient and<br>community            | Y                       | 166a | CCG compliance with standards of public and patient                      |                               | 1  | 2018        | Green        | Static    |                    |

England Ranking key: Green = top quartile Amber = interquartile range Red = bottom quartile

## **CCG Quality Premium**



Page 93 of 221

### CCG Quality Premium

| Potentia   | l Funding for Quality Premium*   | £1,785,190              |                            |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | Indicator  | % of Quality<br>Premium | Potential<br>Value for CCG |
| Emergency<br>Demand<br>Managemen<br>t Indicators | A1 - Type 1 A&E attendances<br>A2 - Non elective admissions with zero length of stay   | 50.0%                   | £673,909                   |
| Emer<br>Den<br>Manag<br>t Indi                   | B1 - Non elective admissions with length of stay of 1 day<br>or more   | 50.0%                   | £673,909                   |
|  | Total  | 100.0%                  | £1,347,818                 |
|  | 1 - % new cases of cancer diagnosed at stage 1 and 2 as a proportion of all new cases of cancer diagnosed  | 17.0%                   | £74,353                    |
|  | 2 - Overall experience of making a GP appointment  | 17.0%                   | £74,353                    |
|  | 3a - % of NHS CHC referrals that have been completed within 28 days.   | 8.5%                    | £37,177                    |
|  | 3b - % of full NHS CHC assessments that were<br>completed in an acute hospital   | 8.5%                    | £37,177                    |
|  | 4a - % of people accessing IAPT services identified as<br>Black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME)  | 17.0%                   | £74,353                    |
| tors   | 4b - % of people accessing IAPT services aged 65+<br>5ai - Reduction in all E coli BSI reported  | 5.1%                    | £22,306                    |
| Quality Indicators                               | Sai - Collection and reporting of a core primary care<br>data set for all E coli cases   | 2.6%                    | £11,153                    |
| Qualit   | 5b - A 30% reduction (or greater) in the number of<br>Trimethoprim items prescribed to patients aged 70<br>years or greater on baseline data                   | 3.4%                    | £14,871                    |
|  | Sci - Items per Specific Therapeutic group Age-Sex<br>Related Prescribing Unit (STAR-PU) must be equal to or<br>below England 2013/14 mean                     | 1.7%                    | £7,435                     |
|  | Scii - Additional reduction in Items per Specific<br>Therapeutic group Age-Sex Related Prescribing Unit<br>(STAR-PU) equal to or below 0.965 items per STAR-PU | 4.3%                    | £18,588                    |
|  | 6 - Local Rightcare Measure - Reduction in the number<br>of MSK POLCVs   | 15.0%                   | £65,606                    |
|  | Total  | 100.0%                  | £437,371.55                |

\*Based on VOYCCG population of 357,038 as at April 2018.

#### Potential Reduction Risks to Quality Premium:

 NHS Quality Gateway and NHS Finance Gateway: These apply to both the Emergency Demand Management and Quality Indicators. Therefore if either of these Gateways are failed, this carries a 100% reduction risk to all payment, i.e. £1,785,190 impact per Gateway.

 NHS Constitution Gateway: This applies ONLY to the Quality Indicators. Each one carries a 50% reduction risk to payment of the Quality Indicators, i.e. £218,686 impact per indicator or £437,372 total.

 NHS Constitution Gateway Indicators:

 The number of patients on an incomplete pathway not to be higher in March 2019 than in March 2018

 Page 94

 Maximum two month (62-day) wait from urgent GP referral to first definitive treatment for cancer

#### 2018/19 Quality Premium

The table to the left summarises the potential funding available to Vale of York CCG from the 2018/19 Quality Premium.

The structure of the Quality Premium has changed compared to previous years, placing more emphasis on Emergency Demand Management so as to incentivise moderation of demand for emergency care in addition to maintaining and/or improving progress against key quality indicators.

Approximately 75.5% of potential funding is allocated to the Emergency Demand Management Indicators, and 24.5% to the Quality Indicators.

As in previous years the Quality Premium includes three gateways. The Finance and Quality gateways apply to all sections of the Quality Premium. However in 2018/19, the Constitutional gateway only applies to the Quality indicators, and has no influence on the Emergency Demand Management Indicators. Therefore even if both indicators within the Constitutional gateway are failed which is anticipated to be the case based on validated year end data (RTT pathway volumes and Cancer 62 days waits), the CCG is still able to achieve the Emergency Demand Management Indicators and therefore access the majority of the Quality Premium funding.

However, the CCG are anticipating a failure of the Financial Gateway due to the likelihood of ending the year with an adverse variance to approved planned financial position. If the Financial Gateway is not achieved then this will make the CCG ineligible for 100% of Quality Premium funding against all indicators, regardless of level of achievement.

Page 94 of 22019/20 Quality Premium guidance has not yet been released.

## **Clinical Standards Review 2019**



Page 95 of 221

### **Clinical Standards Review 2019**

- In March 2019 an interim report was published by Professor Stephen Powis, NHS National Medical Director, setting out recommendations for determining whether patients would be well served by updating and supplementing some of the older targets currently in use across the NHS. Professor Powis has proposed a number of revised standards which will be rigorously field tested during 2019/20 to gather further evidence on clinical, operational, workforce and financial implications. These standards apply to four service areas:
  - Mental Health
  - Cancer
  - Urgent and Emergency Care
  - Elective Care
- 2019/20 will therefore be a transition year between the old targets and updated standards.
- Field testing of the new suite of access standards will take place at a selection of sites across England, before wider implementation. The approach and timeframe for this testing varies across the four service areas according to the nature of care and the changes that are being proposed. Prior to testing, detailed guidance will be provided to test sites to ensure clarity and consistency in what they are testing and measuring, and to support robust evaluation.

#### Urgent and emergency care

- The following hospital trusts have worked with the NHS nationally to agree how they will safely test the urgent and emergency care proposals, and began the first phase of the trial from May 22<sup>nd</sup> 2019: Cambridge University Hospitals, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, Frimley Heath, Imperial College Healthcare, Kettering General Hospital, Luton and Dunstable University Hospital, Mid Yorkshire Hospitals, North Tees and Hartlepool, Nottingham University Hospitals, Plymouth Hospitals, Poole Hospital, Portsmouth Hospitals, Rotherham, West Suffolk.
- The first six-week phase of testing explored whether an average (mean) time in A&E could be implemented safely, and provide clinicians
  with a useful measure of activity and patient experience. Findings from this phase were that the measure was introduced successfully
  across all sites, with no reported safety concerns linked to the testing. The Clinical Advisory Group for this workstream, and the trusts
  involved, therefore support that a second phase of testing should go ahead, beginning Wednesday 31 July.
- This phase will include:
  - measuring time to initial assessment;
  - collecting data to examine the feasibility of measuring how fast critically ill or injured patients arriving at A&E receive a package of tests and care developed with clinical experts, and;
  - test sites to continue monitoring average (mean) tota time in tota time in tota time in the site of t

### **Clinical Standards Review 2019**

- The list of critical conditions included in testing in this phase is: stroke, major trauma, heart attacks (MI STEMI), acute physiological derangement (including sepsis), and severe asthma.
- Later in the process, neighbouring mental health trusts will be testing standards for urgent community mental health services that can prevent avoidable A&E attendances by providing mental health crisis care in more suitable environments where possible.
- When people do need to attend A&E, the trusts above will be measuring how long people who arrive at A&E experiencing a mental health crisis wait for a psychiatric assessment and, where required, a transfer to appropriate mental health care.

### Routine (elective) care

- The following hospital trusts have worked with the NHS nationally to agree how they will safely test the elective care proposals, and will begin the first phase of the trial from early August: Barts Health, Calderdale and Huddersfield, East Lancashire Hospitals, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, Harrogate and District, Milton Keynes University Hospital, Northampton General Hospital, Surrey and Sussex Healthcare, Taunton and Somerset, The Walton Centre, University Hospitals Bristol, University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire.
- These trusts will be testing the use of an average (mean) wait measure for people on the waiting list as a potential alternative to a
  threshold target, currently set at 18-weeks, to see whether keeping the focus on patients at all stages of their pathway can help to
  reduce long waits.
- They will also be helping to understand the impact of removing a third of outpatient appointments on both the current 18-week threshold or a potential mean, in order to set a more appropriate standard in the future.

#### Mental health and cancer

• Work is underway to design a field-testing approach for these proposals and confirm which organisations will be involved. Details will be published when they are confirmed.

### Summary

- Where appropriate standards will roll out from Autumn 2019, with final recommendations to be published in spring 2020.
- In the meantime, we will continue to monitor all existing standards which remain in force until the completion of this review. At this stage
  the definitions of the new proposed standards are not detailed enough to attempt to produce local baselines, but the CCG and York
  Trust will begin to shadow monitor these new standards as soon as we are able.
- Slides outlining the current and proposed standards across the four service areas were submitted to Finance and Performance Page 97 of 221

## Acronyms



Page 98 of 221

### Acronyms

| 2WW   | Two week wait (urgent cancer referral)      | DQIP                 | Data Quality Improvement Plan               |
|-------|---|----------------------|---|
| A&E   | Accident and Emergency                      | DTOC                 | Delayed Transfer of Care                    |
| AEDB  | Accident and Emergency Delivery Board       | ECS                  | Emergency Care Standard (4 hour target)     |
| AHC   | Annual Health Check                         | ED                   | Emergency Department                        |
| AIC   | Aligned Incentive Contract                  | EDFD                 | Emergency Department Front Door             |
| CAMHS | Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services | EMI                  | Elderly Mentally Infirm                     |
| CHC   | Continuing Healthcare                       | ENT                  | Ear Nose and Throat                         |
| CIP   | Cost Improvement Plan                       | F&P/F&PC             | Finance and Performance Committee           |
| СМВ   | Contract Management Board                   | FIT                  | Faecal Immunochemical Test                  |
| COPD  | Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease       | FNC                  | Funded Nursing Care                         |
| CQC   | Care Quality Commission                     | GA                   | General Anaesthetic                         |
| CQUIN | Commissioning for Quality and Innovation    | GPSI                 | GP with Special Interest                    |
| CSF   | Commissioner Sustainability Fund            | HCV                  | Humber Coast and Vale                       |
| СТ    | Computerised Tomography Scan                | IAF                  | Improvement and Assessment Framework        |
| CYC   | City of York Council                        | IAPT                 | Improving Access to Psychological Therapies |
| СҮР   | Children and Young People                   | ICS                  | Integrated Care System                      |
| DEXA  | Dual Energy X-ray absorptiometry scan       | IST                  | Intensive Support Team                      |
| DNA   | Did not attend Page 99                      | 9 <del>61</del> -221 | Learning Disabilities                       |

### Acronyms (cont.)

| MDT   | Multi Disciplinary Team                               | QP   | Quality Premium                                |  |
|-------|---|--|--|--|
| MHIS  | Mental Health Investment Standard                     | RRV  | Rapid Response Vehicle                         |  |
| MIU   | Minor Injuries Unit                                   | RSS  | Referral Support Service                       |  |
| ММТ   | Medicines Management Team                             | RTT  | Referral to Treatment                          |  |
| MRI   | Magnetic Resonance Imaging                            | SOP  | Standard Operating Procedure                   |  |
| MSK   | Musculoskeletal                                       | S&R/SRCCG                                      | Scarborough and Ryedale CCG                    |  |
| NHS   | National Health Service                               | STF  | Sustainability and Transformation Fund         |  |
| NHSE  | NHS England   | STP  | Sustainability and Transformation Plan         |  |
| NHSI  | NHS Improvement                                       | SUS  | Secondary Uses Service                         |  |
| NYCC  | North Yorkshire County Council                        | TEWV   | Tees Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust |  |
| ООН   | Out of Hours  | T&O  | Trauma and Orthopaedics                        |  |
| РСН   | Primary Care Home                                     | TIA  | Transient Ischaemic Attack                     |  |
| POLCV | Procedures of Limited Clinical Value                  | ToR  | Terms of Reference                             |  |
| РМО   | Programme Management Office                           | VOY  | Vale of York                                   |  |
| POD   | Point of Delivery                                     | WLI  | Waiting List Initiative                        |  |
| PSF   | Provider Sustainability Funding                       | YAS  | Yorkshire Ambulance Service                    |  |
| PTL   | Patient Tracking List                                 | Patient Tracking List Y&H Yorkshire and Humber |  |  |
| QIPP  | Quality Innovation Productivity and Prevention Page 1 | ᠈᠐᠔ᠮᡃᢧᡛ᠋᠋᠋ᠮ                                    | York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust    |  |

| ltem | Number: | 12 |
|------|---------|----|
|------|---------|----|

Name of Presenter: Michelle Carrington

Meeting of the Governing Body

Date of meeting: 5 September 2019



**Clinical Commissioning Group** 

### Report Title – Safeguarding Children Annual Report 2018/19

Purpose of Report (Select from list) To Receive

#### **Reason for Report**

This report will provide assurance to the Governing Body that the CCG is meeting its statutory responsibilities in terms of safeguarding children. The report also provides an update against the 2018-19 Designated Professionals for Safeguarding Children Strategic Plan and the key development priorities for 2019-20.

### **Strategic Priority Links**

| <ul> <li>Strengthening Primary Care</li> <li>Reducing Demand on System</li> <li>Fully Integrated OOH Care</li> <li>Sustainable acute hospital/ single acute contract</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Transformed MH/LD/ Complex Care</li> <li>System transformations</li> <li>Financial Sustainability</li> </ul> |
|---|---|
| Local Authority Area  |   |
| ⊠CCG Footprint □City of York Council  | East Riding of Yorkshire Council North Yorkshire County Council   |

| Impacts/ Key Risks   | Risk Rating |
|--|-------------|
| <ul> <li>Financial</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Primary Care</li> <li>Equalities</li> </ul> |             |
| Emerging Risks   |             |
| N/A  |             |

| Impact Assessments  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Please confirm below that the impact assessments have been approved and outline any |  |  |
| isks/issues identified. N/A   |  |  |
| Quality Impact Assessment   | Equality Impact Assessment                           |  |
| □ Data Protection Impact Assessment   | <ul> <li>Sustainability Impact Assessment</li> </ul> |  |
|   | , ,  |  |
| Risks/Issues identified from impact assessme  | nte  |  |
| Risks/issues identified from impact assessine                                       |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Recommendations   |  |  |
| Recommendations   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Decision Requested (for Decision Log)   |  |  |
| The Governing Body received the Annual Report                                       |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Responsible Executive Director and Title  | Report Author and Title                              |  |
|   |  |  |
| Michelle Carrington   | Designated Professionals                             |  |
| Executive Director of Quality and Nursing / Chief                                   |  |  |
| Nurse   |  |  |



Hambleton, Richmondshire and Whitby Harrogate and Rural District Scarborough and Ryedale Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Groups



# Safeguarding and Looked After Children

## Annual Report 2018-19

Page 103 of 221

## **Contents page**

### Page number

| 1.  | Introduction   | 3  |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.  | Safeguarding and Looked After Children – the national and                                    | 5  |
| 3.  | local landscape<br>How the team supports the delivery of statutory safeguarding<br>functions | 9  |
| 4.  | How the team supports safeguarding children across the health economy                        | 10 |
| 5.  | How the team developed and embedded safeguarding children pathways and systems               | 11 |
| 6.  | Influencing the development of partnership arrangements                                      | 12 |
| 7.  | Inspections, reviews and significant incident processes                                      | 13 |
| 8.  | Summary and moving forward   | 15 |
| 9.  | References   | 16 |
| 10. | Abbreviations  | 17 |

### 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of the Report

Welcome to the North Yorkshire and York CCGs Safeguarding and Looked After Children Annual Report for 2018-19 – our seventh report to CCGs and partners.

The safeguarding children agenda has always been dynamic and fast-moving, and this has been particularly apposite over the past year.

We are experiencing a time of unprecedented growth in our knowledge and understanding of the safeguarding issues for our children and young people. Of particular note are the threats from 'contextualised safeguarding', where the risk to children and young people comes from factors and individuals outside the family unit.

We face substantial challenges from issues such as exploitation – both criminal and sexual, radicalisation, county lines and the associated risk posed by increasing technologies such as social media.

Such a changing landscape requires us to think differently about how we both protect and enhance resilience in our children and young people. We also need to acknowledge that working within this context is professionally and emotionally demanding on practitioners, and in order to continue the important work, practitioners themselves also need to build resilience.

At the same time, the implementation of the new legislative framework has mandated that new partnerships are developed and approved as we jointly balance deregulation against the need for robust safeguarding practice across a wide spectrum of agencies.

In all aspects of working with safeguarding and children in care, we actively engage with children and young people to ensure that we hear what have to tell us and ensure that this influences our service development and practice.



This report provides assurance to the CCGs, their governing bodies, partner agencies and members of the public that the CCGs have fulfilled their statutory responsibilities to safeguard the welfare of children, including those that are looked after. The report focuses on:

- The delivery of statutory safeguarding functions;
- Safeguarding and Looked After Children the national and local landscape;
- Supporting safeguarding children practice across the health economy;
- Developing and embedding pathways and systems;
- Influencing the development of partnership arrangements;
- Learning from inspections and reviews;
- Summary and forward planning.

This report will conclude by looking forward to the year ahead. It will set out key priorities which demonstrate our commitment to strengthening safeguarding children arrangements across the health economy and safeguarding children partnerships in North Yorkshire and York.

# 66

Nothing is more important than children's welfare. Children who need help and protection deserve high quality and effective support as soon as a need is identified.



Department for Education 2018

### 2. Safeguarding and Looked After Children – the national and local landscape

### 2.1 Number of children looked after

The number of Looked After Children in England (LAC) continues to increase; it has increased steadily over the last 10 years. At 31 March 2018 there were 75,420 LAC, a 4% increase on 2017.

|                    | Local authority LAC<br>accommodated<br>in area<br>(as of 31.03.19) | Local authority LAC<br>accommodated<br>out of area<br>(as of 31.03.19) | Total number of<br>LAC by local<br>authority<br>(as of 31.03.19) | LAC from other<br>local authorities<br>accommodated in<br>North Yorkshire and<br>City of York<br>(as of 31.03.19) | Total number of<br>children in local<br>authority<br>(as of 31.03.19) |
|--------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| North<br>Yorkshire | 352  | 64   | 434 0.7 %  | 260 <b>13.6</b> %   | 117,596 <b>0.1</b><br>%   |
| City of<br>York    | 141  | 77   | 218  | 29*   | 37,000<br>(rounded 0.8<br>figure) %                                   |

Arrows show percentage change from 2018

\*Lack of arrow indicates unavailable data for previous years

### 2.2 Meeting the health needs of Looked After Children

All Looked After Children should have an Initial Health Assessment (IHA) by a paediatrician within 20 working days of becoming looked after (Department of Health, 2015). Looked After Children up to 5 years of age have a Review Health Assessment (RHA) every 6 months and children aged 5 years and over have an annual Review Health Assessment.

The total number of children and young people placed in North Yorkshire and York from other local authority areas at 01.04.19 was 260. As host commissioners, the North Yorkshire and York CCGs have a duty to 'cooperate with requests from local authorities to undertake health assessments and help them ensure support and services to looked-after children are provided without undue delay.' (DH, 2015).

One particular challenge has been the increasing number of independent providers operating/opening residential units within North Yorkshire. Many of the establishments offer provision for young people with very complex needs. For these young people, there can be significant challenges in terms of effective safeguarding, and ensuring continuity of and access to appropriate health care.

### 2.3 Unaccompanied asylum seeking children and young people

| North Yorkshire | City of York |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 22              | 6            |  |  |

The health needs of Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children (UASCs) are even more complex than those of other LAC (Kent Public Health Observatory, 2016). This is multifactorial but in particular relates to the experiences that have led them to leave their home country, travelling long distances through multiple countries over long periods of time in cramped conditions with poor nutrition. These children receive an enhanced IHA focusing on these additional physical and emotional health needs. Although previous exact figures for 2017-18 are not available, there has been a reduction in IHA requests for UASCs in 2018-19 in line with national government policies relating to how many asylum seekers enter the UK.
#### 2.4 Children in receipt of safeguarding services







The role of CCGs is fundamentally about working with others to ensure that critical services are in place to respond to children who are at risk or who have been harmed, and delivering improved outcomes and life chances for the most vulnerable.



NHS England, 2015

### 3. How the team supports the delivery of statutory safeguarding functions

| What we need   | What we have  |
|--|---|
| Employing or securing the expertise of<br>Designated Doctors and Nurses for<br>Safeguarding Children and for Looked<br>After Children, and a Designated<br>Paediatrician for Unexpected Deaths in<br>Childhood.  | Under a continuing collaborative arrangement across the four North Yorkshire and York<br>CCGs, there is a team of Designated Professionals as mandated in national guidance.<br>The CCGs also have dedicated resource for primary care in a Nurse Consultant for<br>Safeguarding Adults and Children and four Named GPs.<br>Additional investment during 2018 was agreed by the CCGs to support a further nursing<br>development post within the team.  |
| CCGs as commissioners of local health<br>services need to assure themselves that<br>the organisations from which they<br>commission have effective safeguarding<br>arrangements in place.  | All provider contracts are explicit in terms of safeguarding children requirements.<br>Designated Nurses have ongoing involvement in the development of local quality<br>requirements.<br>Designated Nurses provide scrutiny of provider safeguarding children performance<br>information and, where necessary, offer professional challenge and support.<br>Designated Nurses sit on the majority of NHS provider governance committees to offer<br>external expert scrutiny, advice and challenge.                        |
| Effective inter-agency working with local<br>authorities, the police and third sector<br>organisations which includes appropriate<br>arrangements to cooperate with local<br>authorities in the operation of LSCBs and<br>Health and Wellbeing Boards. | <ul> <li>CCGs are represented at LSCBs by the Executive Director for Quality and Nursing (NHS Vale of York CCG) / Executive Nurse (NHS Scarborough and Ryedale CCG) and by the Designated Professionals.</li> <li>The Designated Nurses are vice-chairs of the two LSCBs.</li> <li>Designated Professionals chair various Board sub-groups.</li> <li>Members of the Designated Professionals team are active members of all Board sub-groups.</li> <li>CCGs are represented on both Health and Wellbeing Boards.</li> </ul> |
| Ensuring effective arrangements for<br>information sharing.  | During 2018-19, all four CCGs have signed up to the multi-agency partnership information sharing protocol.  |
| Clear line of accountability for<br>safeguarding, properly reflected in the<br>CCG governance arrangements.  | All four North Yorkshire and York CCGs have clear safeguarding children governance structures.  |

### 4. How the team supports safeguarding children across the health economy



#### Training

Simulation training further developed and research published

Training provided to pre-registration nursing students

Safeguarding training delivered to GP Speciality Trainees

IHA training delivered to paediatricians from provider organisations

Inaugural conference of SCHPN attended by 70 safeguarding leads in health and partner agencies



#### **Primary care**

700 Primary care staff received safeguarding training

Continued demand for support and advice on increasingly complex safeguarding children cases

Practice assurance processes further developed

Quarterly safeguarding leads meetings in each CCG

Quarterly Named GP meetings

Supported development of the Named GP Northern conference



#### **Supervision**

Delivery of supervision skills training to NYY providers

Delivery of externally commissioned supervision skills training

Development and delivery of 'Training the Trainers' packages

Provision of individual supervision to safeguarding leads (64 sessions delivered in total)



SCHPN

Bi-monthly professional leadership meetings for safeguarding leads

Membership from 8 provider organisations

Educational component of meeting with subject experts

Member of Youth Voice presented work around access to mental health support

Development of new network logo by young people



#### Development and mentorship

Launch of development and mentorship programme for safeguarding children and LAC practitioners

Programme agreed across all NYY NHS provider organisations

First practitioners recruited onto programme

Programme shared with national steering group



Private providers

Mapping exercise completed of all private providers across the four CCGs

Safeguarding leads from private provider organisations identified

Bi-annual meetings established for safeguarding leads with an educational component at each session

# 5. How the team developed and embedded safeguarding children pathways and systems

NHS







Membership of strategic partnerships in NY&Y

Working with young people to develop and introduce information leaflets about health assessments

Re-launch of health passports for children in care

Presentation of NY work at national Designated Professionals conference

Ongoing work around timeliness and quality of health assessments

#### MAPPA

Further development and embedding of processes between MAPPA and 'Duty to Cooperate' organisations in health Guidance on management of

MAPPA information in primary care

New process agreed for notifications of MAPPA closures

#### CP-IS

Child Protection – Information Sharing

Do you have the complete picture

Child Protection Information Sharing Project now established across all NYY providers

Audit processes identified system issue – now resolved with local authority

Further audit commenced with report due summer 2019

### Domestic abuse

Members of Domestic Abuse Commissioning and Operational Groups

Domestic abuse police notifications in place to midwifery (NY&Y) and 0-19 service (NY)

Notification audit commenced

MARAC processes developed to link MARAC meetings with primary care

Joint working with police around proposed MARAC



#### Working with MOD partners

Close working with MOD Healthcare leads to develop safeguarding processes

Identification of safeguarding children champions in key establishments

Development and piloting of assurance processes

Development and delivery of targeted training for military healthcare professionals

Work with NHSE regional forum



#### **Primary care**

Midwifery information sharing processes further developed with move to electronic notification of booking of pregnancy

Supporting quality of safeguarding reports and referrals

Specialist training of administration staff and coding staff delivered to support effective management of safeguarding information in practices

Enhanced links between 0-19 service and GP practices

Page 113 offr 22 esses

### 6. Influencing the development of partnership arrangements

| North Yorkshire<br>Safeguarding<br>Children<br>Board<br>working together to safeguard children | <ul> <li>Following an early consultation exercise across all partner agencies in 2018, the three new safeguarding partners have drafted a proposal for the implementation of the new arrangements which is currently out for consultation. NYY CCGs were represented in these discussions by the Executive Nurse, SR CCG, supported by the Designated Professionals.</li> <li>It is anticipated that the final proposal will be submitted to the Secretary of State within the required timescales (end June 2019).</li> </ul> |
|--|--|
|  | City of York Safeguarding Children Board was successful in a bid for National Children's Bureau 'Early<br>Adopter Funding'. The focus of this project is engaging schools, colleges, early years services and<br>children and young people in the new partnership arrangements.  |
| City of York<br>Safeguarding Children Partnership  | Throughout 2018 the CCG Executive Director of Nursing and Quality, supported by the Designated Nurse, worked with colleagues from the Local Authority and North Yorkshire Police to agree proposals for the new partnership arrangements. These were endorsed by the Chief Officers Reference Group in February 2019 and following consultation with relevant agencies, the new arrangements were published on the 1st of April 2019.  |
| Child Death Overview<br>Process (CDOP)<br>Annual Report<br>2017-18                             | The Children and Social Work Act (2017) transferred the responsibility for the Child Death Overview process to CCGs and local authorities. Following discussions across the CCGs and local authorities in North Yorkshire and City of York, it was agreed that the Child Death arrangements will continue to be managed jointly across the two areas and will link into a wider geographical footprint in order to identify themes, trends and associated learning arising from child deaths.                                  |
|  | As we move into this important new phase of partnership working, the Designated Professionals will continue to provide expert advice and support to the CCGs, health providers and the multi-agency partnerships to ensure safeguarding arrangements for children across North Yorkshire and York continue to be strengthened.   |

### 7. Inspections, reviews and significant incident processes

| City of York Joint Targeted<br>Area Inspection – Child<br>Sexual Abuse in the Family<br>Environment (September<br>2018)         | JTAIs are carried out under section 20 of the Children Act 2004. The CCG received notification of the first JTAI for City of York on Monday 10th of September 2018. The theme for this Inspection was Child Sexual Abuse in the Family Environment (CSAFE). Vale of York CCG led the inspection on behalf of the health providers involved.<br>The resultant report identified a number of areas of strength, including how the Designated Professionals Team demonstrate strong and effective leadership in developing safeguarding practice across the health economy and a recognition of the significant progress in developing safeguarding arrangements across Primary Care.<br>A multi-agency action plan has been developed in order to address the areas for development, in particular management of harmful sexual behaviours in children and access to therapeutic support for children who have experienced abuse. |
|---|---|
| CQC Children Looked After<br>and Safeguarding Reviews<br>City of York (December 2016)<br>and North Yorkshire<br>(February 2017) | The detailed action plans arising from these two reviews are nearing completion and continue to be monitored by the Designated Nurses. Updates on progress are reported to the CQC, safeguarding partners and CCG quality structures.<br>Outstanding actions all require significant system change (e.g. to electronic patient record systems) or capital projects (e.g. re-design of emergency departments).   |
| 'Jane' Significant Incident<br>Investigation (CYSCB)  | This investigation into events surrounding the death of a young child from York in January 2017 has been led by NHSE. There has been some delay in the progress of this investigation and the CCG continues to work with other stakeholders with the aim of concluding the investigation, establishing learning and implementing recommendations.   |

| Child P Learning Lessons<br>Review (CYSCB) | This review was commissioned by CYSCB in July 2018. It involves a case of significant sexual assault by an older child on a much younger child. This review is now concluded with the final report and action plan due for submission to the new partnership in April 2019. Key areas of learning are in relation to the management of harmful sexual behaviours in children.  |
|--|--|
| 'Claire' Serious Case Review<br>(NYSCB)    | This review has looked at circumstances around the death of a teenager in a mental health setting in March 2017. The final report has been received but publication is now delayed until after the coroner's inquest. Key areas of learning are in relation to information sharing within and across agencies and the importance of considering all available information when undertaking assessments.  |
| Learning Lessons Reviews<br>(NYSCB)        | Two further Learning Lessons Reviews are currently in progress in North Yorkshire. The first involved injuries to a small infant and the second relates to two teenagers who have been convicted of conspiracy to murder. Both of these reviews are due for completion in Summer 2019. The findings will be shared with the CCGs via the quality structures.   |
| Significant Incident (SI)<br>Processes     | Over the past year, the Designated Nurses have worked with the CCG Significant Incident Teams and Quality Leads to strengthen and embed safeguarding children oversight of provider SI reports. This has supported the Designated Nurses to offer expert scrutiny and, where appropriate, challenge throughout the SI processes. Ultimately, the aim is to ensure that any safeguarding children issues arising from SIs are accurately identified and appropriate actions implemented to strengthen practice. |

### 8. Summary and moving forward

This report demonstrates that there are robust arrangements in place to support the CCGs to deliver on their statutory responsibilities with regard to safeguarding children and children in care.

The Designated Professionals team have delivered on a range of initiatives to improve safeguarding children practice across the health economy and partnership working.

Key strategic priorities for the year ahead are summarised in the table opposite and these will be developed into an associated action plan by the Safeguarding Team.

| Contextual safeguarding                             | New processes for managing cases where children and young people<br>have been subject to criminal or sexual exploitation, trafficking or<br>modern slavery need to be agreed and introduced.                 |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Partnership arrangements                            | New partnership arrangements will need ongoing review to ensure they<br>are robust and that all relevant partners across the health economy are<br>actively engaged in their development and implementation. |  |  |  |  |
| Audit programme                                     | A structured audit programme will be developed to gain assurance that practice innovations are embedded and effective.   |  |  |  |  |
| City of York Front Door                             | Work with partner agencies to strengthen health input into the new<br>'Front Door' arrangements.   |  |  |  |  |
| ICON project  | This innovative project is aimed at helping parents manage normal infant crying and reduce the incidence of non-accidental head trauma. It is hoped to pilot this with providers in 2019/20.                 |  |  |  |  |
| Practitioner development<br>and succession planning | The team will continue to work to develop safeguarding practitioners<br>and to implement the succession plan for the Designated Professionals<br>Team.   |  |  |  |  |
| Primary care assurance                              | Developing work to improve assurance processes in primary care.  |  |  |  |  |
| Updating policies                                   | All CCG and primary care safeguarding policies will need to be updated to reflect the new partnership arrangements.  |  |  |  |  |
| Integrated Care Systems                             | The Designated Professionals will work with colleagues across the three ICS footprints to ensure that safeguarding remains a key priority in the development of the new arrangements.                        |  |  |  |  |

### 9. References

**Department for Education** 'Working Together to Safeguard Children' (2018) accessible at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/working-together-to-safeguard-children—2</u>

**Department for Health** 'Promoting the health and wellbeing of Looked After Children – statutory guidance for local authorities, clinical commissioning groups and NHS England' (2015) accessible at: <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/413368/Promoting\_the\_health\_h</u>

**HM Government** 'Children Act (2004)

**HM Government** 'Children and Social Work Act' (2017)

Kent Public Health Observatory 'Health Needs Assessment – Unaccompanied children seeking asylum' (2016 accessible at: <u>https://www.kpho.org.uk/\_\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0011/58088/Unaccompanied-children-HNA.pdf</u>

**NHS England** 'Safeguarding Vulnerable People in the NHS – Accountability and Assurance Framework' (2015) accessible at: <u>https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/safeguarding-accountability-assurance-framework.pdf</u>

### **10.** Abbreviations

| CCG   | Clinical Commissioning Group                 |
|-------|--|
| CDOP  | Child Death Overview Panel                   |
| CLAS  | Children Looked After and Safeguarding       |
| CP-IS | Child Protection Information Sharing Project |
| CSE   | Child Sexual Exploitation                    |
| CQC   | Care Quality Commission                      |
| CYSCB | City of York Safeguarding Children Board     |
| IHA   | Initial Health Assessment                    |
| LAC   | Looked After Children                        |
| LSCB  | Local Safeguarding Children Board            |
| MAPPA | Multi-Agency Public Protection Arrangements  |
| MARAC | Multi-Agency Risk Assessment Conference      |
| NYCC  | North Yorkshire County Council               |
| NYY   | North Yorkshire and York                     |
| NYSCB | North Yorkshire Safeguarding Children Board  |
| RHA   | Review Health Assessment                     |
| SCR   | Serious Case Review                          |

### **Report authors**

Elaine Wyllie and Karen Hedgley - Designated Nurses for Safeguarding Children and Children in Care Jacqui Hourigan - Nurse Consultant for Safeguarding (Adults and Children) in Primary Care Dr Natalie Lyth and Dr Sarah Snowden - Designated Doctors for Safeguarding Children and Children in Care Dr Sally Smith - Designated Doctor for Child Deaths

If you would like any additional information or detail in relation to this report email the team at scrccg.safeguarding-adminteam@nhs.net

Alternative formats of documents and information

Information contained in this report can also be requested in other languages. If you need this or if would like additional copies of this report email scrccg.safeguarding-adminteam@nhs.net

Item Number: 13

Name of Presenter: Denise Nightingale

Meeting of the Governing Body

Date of meeting: 5 September 2019



Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group

# Report Title – Update on work relating to physical health checks for people with severe mental illness (SMI)

Purpose of Report (Select from list) To Receive

#### Reason for Report

This paper is to inform the Governing Body of the requirements on Clinical Commissioning Groups to improve the physical health of patients with severe mental illness (PHSMI) to ensure that 60% of 'active' patients on the mental health Quality Outcome Framework (QOF) receive a comprehensive physical health check at least annually. This is included as an Improvement Assessment Framework (IAF) indicator in 2019/20.

The Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group (VOY CCG) Executive Committee has approved funding to commission a Local Enhanced Service (LES) in primary care to deliver these health checks. This report provides background, an update on current activity to deliver the health checks in primary care and proposals for implementing a LES.

#### **Strategic Priority Links**

Strengthening Primary Care
 ⊠ Reducing Demand on System
 □ Fully Integrated OOH Care
 □ Sustainable acute hospital/ single acute

☑ Transformed MH/LD/ Complex Care
 ☑ System transformations
 □ Financial Sustainability

⊠East Riding of Yorkshire Council

⊠North Yorkshire County Council

#### contract Local Authority Area

☑ CCG Footprint☑ City of York Council

| Impacts/ Key Risks | Risk Rating |
|--------------------|-------------|
| □Financial         |             |
| ⊠Legal             |             |
| ☑ Primary Care     |             |
| ⊠Equalities        |             |
|                    |             |

### **Emerging Risks** The risk of not implementing a local enhanced service in primary care is that no improvements will be made to the physical health of patients with severe mental illness and it is highly likely that the CCG would miss the target included within the Improvement Assessment Framework (IAF.) In addition this could further increase the differential between mortality and morbidity already recognised for those with a severe mental illness. Impact Assessments Please confirm below that the impact assessments have been approved and outline any risks/issues identified. Equality Impact Assessment Quality Impact Assessment Data Protection Impact Assessment □ Sustainability Impact Assessment **Risks/Issues identified from impact assessments:** There may be disparity of service provision across some practices for patients with severe mental illness in accessing services. CCGs have a legal duty to eliminate health inequalities **Recommendations** Members of the Governing Body are asked to note and consider the contents of this paper. **Decision Requested (for Decision Log)** (For example, Decision to implement new system/ Decision to choose one of options a/b/c for new system)

| Responsible Executive Director and Title  | Report Author and Title                |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   |  |  |  |
| Denise Nightingale, Executive Director of | Sheila Fletcher                        |  |  |
| Transformation, Complex Care and Mental   | Commissioning Specialist, Adult Mental |  |  |
| Health                                    | Health                                 |  |  |

#### Annex 1 Elements of the comprehensive assessment Annex 2. 2019-20 Q1 return

#### **GOVERNING BODY: 5 SEPTEMBER 2019**

## Update on work relating to physical health checks for people with severe mental illness (SMI)

#### 1. Background and context

In 2016, the Five Year Forward View for Mental Health set out NHS England's approach to reducing the stark levels of premature mortality for people living with severe mental illness (SMI) by increasing early detection and expanding access to evidence-based physical care assessment and intervention in primary and secondary care.

Evidence shows that people with SMI die up to fifteen- twenty years younger than the average population; one of the greatest health inequalities in England.

Two thirds of these deaths are from avoidable physical illnesses, including heart disease and cancer, many caused by smoking. This disparity in health outcomes is partly due to physical health needs being overlooked, both in terms of identification and treatment.

A new target set by NHS England aims to increase the uptake of physical health checks for patients with SMI to 60% within 2019/20.

SMI refers to all individuals who have received a diagnosis of schizophrenia, or bipolar affective disorder, or who have experienced an episode of non-organic psychosis.

#### 2. Transformation Funding

NHS England has set out the expectation that CCGs use transformation funds included in baselines to fund services to achieve the 60% target. NHS England guidance suggests service models, including the commissioning of a Local Enhanced Service (LES) as an addition to the core primary care contract.

In March 2019, the VOY CCG Executive Committee approved funding to implement a LES in primary care. It is proposed that this will result in people with SMI receiving a more comprehensive physical health check and the likelihood of identifying disease earlier, preventing ill-health and promoting wellbeing. It is proposed that this option also represents the best chance of achieving the 60% target set by NHS England.

#### 3. Reporting requirement

Twelve elements are included within the new physical health assessments. These are outlined at Annex 1.

In October 2018, the new reporting requirement for CCGs was introduced, with a target of 60% of patients on the Quality Outcome Framework Mental Health Register receiving all of the first six elements of the physical health checks in primary care settings only.

#### 4. Activity and Delivery in 2018/19

The table below outlines 2018-19 performance of people receiving all of the first six elements of the health check:

| Quarter | No on<br>SMI<br>registers | All 6 PH checks | %    |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------|------|
| 2       | 2629                      | 356             | 13.2 |
| 3       | 2528                      | 387             | 15.3 |
| 4       | 2631                      | 463             | 17.6 |

#### 5. Activity and delivery 2019/20

From April 2019, the reporting requirement includes a further six elements of the health check. CCGs are asked to report on the delivery of these relevant follow-up interventions where these are indicated by the health check. Data on interventions is to be captured to support local understanding of service delivery and benchmarking in 2019/20 and does not form part of the core standard measure.

The previous 2018/19 indicator asked CCGs to report quarterly on the delivery of physical health checks for people on the SMI register in primary care settings only. The updated 2019/20 indicator asks CCGs to report quarterly on the delivery of physical health checks for people on the SMI register in any setting, **primary or secondary care**, including the relevant follow-up interventions and access to national screening. Discussions are taking place with Tees Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust (TEWV) around sharing and exchanging of accurate and up-to-date information; for example shared test results.

#### 5.1 Data collection

The first return to the 2019/20 collection took place in July 2019 and all elements of the physical health checks and subsequent intervention data has been collected nationally. The CCG is able to obtain data for all SystmOne practices via the Primary Care Data Quality Service commissioned from eMBED. EMIS practices are provided with a report template to run manually on their systems which are then sent to the CCG for collation. Unfortunately a number of EMIS practices were unable to return their queries in time for the CCG's submission to be included in the published figures.

#### 5.2 Quarter 1 activity

The quarter 1 report is available at Annex 2. At the time of writing, data from three EMIS practices is not included. These are small practices and are not expected to significantly affect performance. The CCG is also awaiting SystmOne practice level data from eMBED. It is expected this will be tabled at the meeting.

In summary, performance has increased to 26.2% from 17.6% in Q4 of 2018/19. The number of patients identifying as having SMI has also increased by 72 to 2703 and is likely to slightly increase further once we have the remaining three practices' data.

The improved performance is largely due to genuine recording improvements for SystmOne practices. Also possibly due to a change to the coding of the EMIS report which has improved identification of recording of blood pressure and pulse checks.

#### 6. Local Enhanced Service

The VOY and North Yorkshire CCGs have worked collaboratively to gain a consistent approach to commissioning a Local Enhanced Service. It is agreed that the physical health checks represent additional work over and above those included in the Quality Outcome Framework (QOF) but that the work is unlikely to result in an increase in the number of appointments as these patients are already invited for an annual health check. The view is that an estimated twenty minutes additional work is required to undertake the additional health checks over and above what is included in a QOF appointment which is expected to be 30 minutes.

#### 6.1 Costings

The approach the CCGs have taken to costing this service is based on a mid-point band 6 nurse as follows:

- Mid-point band 6 hourly payment, with on-costs = £23
- Additional work required within nurse appointment = 20 minutes
- Additional administrative work following nurse appointment = 10 minutes
- Total additional time = 30 minutes
- $\pounds 23 / 0.5 = \pounds 11.50$

#### 7. Negotiation with the YOR Local Medical Council

The VOY and North Yorkshire CCGs have consulted with the YOR Local Medical Committee (YORLMC) on the approach; however the YORLMC has not given their support to the pricing structure which is outlined below.

It is proposed that the LES will result in patients with a severe mental illness receiving a more comprehensive physical health check with the likelihood of identifying disease earlier, preventing ill-health and increasing wellbeing. This could, by virtue of prevention, reduce the volume of work in Primary Care later in the patients' journey as disease emerges or advances.

To say nothing of the benefits to people with a severe mental illness, the Yorkshire & Humber Academic Health Science Network (AHSN) has funded a cost effective analysis through York University to provide evidence of the long term benefits of this work which concluded: If we were to carry out 47,000 physical health checks across Yorkshire & Humber for people with SMI then the savings over the next 10 years would be £11.3 million to the local health economy.

Scarborough and Ryedale CCG are piloting the LES based on the cost outlined in 6.1 above and are updating the VOY CCG on progress as it is implemented across their practices.

#### 8. Implementing the LES

To ensure buy-in and an understanding of the new service in the VOY CCG area some initial discussions have taken place with Primary Care Networks. South Hambleton & Ryedale Primary Care Network (PCN) has indicated their willingness, in principle, to implement the LES and is awaiting a breakdown of SystmOne practice data and a draft service specification. A meeting with Selby Town PCN to outline the details of the LES is scheduled for 3 September.

It is expected that similar discussions will take place in other VOY PCNs.

#### 9. The CCG will provide help with implementation through:

#### • A standardised template

Designed to make it easier to carry out high-quality checks for patients with severe mental illness, The Yorkshire & Humber Academic Health Science Network (AHSN) has led the scaling up and adoption of the Bradford Physical Health Review Template. A user-friendly template within SystmOne and EMIS web platforms, it systematically guides healthcare professionals to identify patients with conditions including high blood pressure, diabetes and cardiovascular problems.

#### • Free training and pathway guidance for practices

This will include supporting practices to load the template onto the system and educational and training events aimed at GPs, practice nurses and managers.

The AHSN has funded an E-Learning Module in order for clinicians to access this training on line, which is also Continual Professional Development (CPD) recognised. There are 1000 free places.

#### • Information sharing

TEWV will enable appropriate sharing and exchanging of accurate and up-to-date information; for example shared test results.

#### 10. Recommendations

The Governing Body, which committed to mental health being a high priority, is requested to note and comment on this report.



Annex 1

#### Physical Health Physical health Checks in Severe Mental Illness (PHSMI)

The comprehensive assessment should include:

- 1. A measurement of weight (BMI or BMI + Waist circumference)
- 2. A blood pressure and pulse check (diastolic and systolic blood pressure recording or diastolic and systolic blood pressure + pulse rate)
- 3. A blood lipid including cholesterol test (cholesterol measurement or QRISK measurement)
- 4. A blood glucose test (blood glucose or HbA1c measurement)
- 5. An assessment of alcohol consumption
- 6. An assessment of smoking status
- 7. An assessment of nutritional status, diet and level of physical activity
- 8. An assessment of use of illicit substance/non prescribed drugs
- 9. Medicines reconciliation and review
- 10. Indicated follow-up interventions
- 11. Access to relevant national screenings
- 12 General physical health enquiry into sexual health and oral health

CCGs are asked to report on the delivery of the relevant follow-up interventions where these are indicated by the health check. Data on interventions 7-12 is to be captured to support local understanding of service delivery and benchmarking in 2019/20 and does not form part of the core standard measure.

#### Physical health checks for people with severe mental illness

|   | 2019/20 technical guidance ref. | Number of patients | Percentage of patients receiving check | Time period            |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------|
| The number of people on the General Practice SMI registers (on the last day of the reporting period) excluding patients recorded as 'in remission' (Denominator): | 1.2.1                           | 2,703              |  | at period end          |
| Of the above, patients who have had (Numerators):   |                                 |                    |  |                        |
| 1. measurement of weight (BMI or BMI + Waist circumference)   | 1.4.1                           | 1,467              | 54.3%                                  |                        |
| 2. blood pressure and pulse check (diastolic and systolic blood pressure recording or diastolic and systolic blood pressure + pulse rate)                         | 1.4.2                           | 2,028              | 75.0%                                  |                        |
| 3. blood lipid including cholesterol test (cholesterol measurement or QRISK measurement)  | 1.4.3                           | 1,182              | 43.7%                                  | in 12 months to period |
| 4. blood glucose test (blood glucose or HbA1c measurement)  | 1.4.4                           | 1,430              | 52.9%                                  | end                    |
| 5. assessment of alcohol consumption  | 1.4.5                           | 1,847              | 68.3%                                  | -                      |
| 6. assessment of smoking status   | 1.4.6                           | 1,888              | 69.8%                                  |                        |
| <b>All</b> six physical health checks - note this cannot be greater than the minimum figure reported in 1 to 6 above.   | 1.2.1                           | 708                | 26.2%                                  |                        |

Note that an individual who has received all six physical health checks should **also** be reported against **each** physical health check, 1 to 6.

| The number of people on the General Practice SMI registers (on the last day of the reporting period) excluding patients recorded as 'in remission' (Denominator): |       | 2,703 |       | at period end                 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|
| Of the denominator above, patients who have had (Numerators):   |       |       |       |                               |
| 7. assessment of nutritional status/diet and level of physical activity   | 1.6.1 | 223   | 8.3%  | in 12 months to period<br>end |
| 8. assessment of use of illicit substance/non-prescribed drugs  | 1.6.2 | 81    | 3.0%  |                               |
| 9. medicines reconciliation or review   | 1.6.3 | 1,747 | 64.6% |                               |

#### Follow-up interventions for people with a severe mental illness

| The number of people on the General Practice SMI registers (on the last day of the reporting period), excluding patients recorded as 'in remission'           |                                    | 2,703  |   |   |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| The number needing and receiving interventions (in line with individual numerator and denominator definitions in technical guidance) for:                     | 2019/20 technical<br>guidance ref. | Number of patients<br>needing intervention i.e.<br>number on GP SMI<br>register meeting the<br>threshold for intervention<br>in line with technical<br>guidance excluding<br>those 'in remission'<br>(denominator) | receiving intervention i.e.<br>of the denominator, the<br>number receiving<br>relevant intervention in<br>line with technical | Percentage of patients receiving intervention |
| 1. Weight management  | 1.8.1                              | 1,043  | 162   | 15.5%   |
| 2a. Blood pressure (lifestyle intervention)   | 1.8.2                              | 610  | 77  | 12.6%   |
| 2b. Blood pressure (pharmacological intervention)   | 1.8.3                              | 610  | 220   | 36.1%   |
| 3a. Blood glucose (high-risk / prediabetic intervention)  | 1.8.4                              | 200  | 46  | 23.0%   |
| 3b. Blood glucose (diabetic intervention)   | 1.8.5                              | 212  | 180   | 84.9%   |
| 4. Alcohol consumption  | 1.8.6                              | 217  | 37  | 17.1%   |
| 5. Smoking  | 1.8.7                              | 741  | 658   | 88.8%   |
| 6. Substance misuse   | 1.8.8                              | 37   | 0   | 0.0%  |
| 7. Other follow-up interventions related to blood lipid measurements and an assessment of nutritional status, diet and level of physical activity - Lifestyle | 1.8.9                              | 2,703  | 214   | 7.9%  |
| 8. Other follow-up interventions related to blood lipid (including cholesterol) - Statins   | 1.8.10                             | 2,703  | 501   | 18.5%   |

#### Cancer screening for people with a severe mental illness

| The number of people on the General Practice SMI registers (on the last day of the reporting period), excluding patients recorded as 'in remission' |                                    | 2,703  |  |                        |   |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|---|
| Of the above, number eligible for, and receiving cancer screening tests   | 2019/20 technical<br>guidance ref. | Number of patients<br>eligible for cancer<br>screening meeting in line<br>with individual<br>denominator definitions<br>in technical guidance<br>(denominator) | receiving cancer<br>screening, of the<br>denominator |                        | Percentage of patients<br>receiving cancer<br>screening |
| 1. Cervical cancer screening  | 3.4.1                              | 1,079  | 601  | in preceding 60 months | 55.7%   |
| 2. Breast cancer screening  | 3.4.2                              | 538  | 324  | in preceding 36 months | 60.2%   |
| 3. Bowel cancer screening   | 3.4.3                              | 610  | 526  | in preceding 24 months | 86.2%   |

Item Number: 14

Name of Presenter: Phil Goatley

Meeting of the Governing Body

Date of meeting: 5 September 2019



**Clinical Commissioning Group** 

□Transformed MH/LD/ Complex Care

□ System transformations

□ Financial Sustainability

#### Report Title – Audit Committee Annual Report 2018/19

Purpose of Report (Select from list) To Ratify

#### Reason for Report

The purpose of the attached Annual Report is to review how the Audit Committee (the Committee) has met its Terms of Reference and fulfilled the role set out in relation to the financial year ended 31 March 2019.

The draft report was approved at the Audit Committee on 11 July for presentation to the Governing Body for ratification.

#### **Strategic Priority Links**

Strengthening Primary Care

Reducing Demand on System

Fully Integrated OOH Care

□Sustainable acute hospital/ single acute

contract Local Authority Area

| -                     |                                  |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| ⊠CCG Footprint        | East Riding of Yorkshire Council |
| □City of York Council | □North Yorkshire County Council  |

| Impacts/ Key Risks | Risk Rating |
|--------------------|-------------|
| □Financial         |             |
| □Legal             |             |
| □Primary Care      |             |
| □Equalities        |             |
|                    |             |
| Emerging Risks     |             |
| N/A                |             |

| Impact Assessments   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Please confirm below that the impact assessments have been approved and outline any risks/issues identified. N/A |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Quality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Data Protection Impact Assessment</li> </ul>                         | <ul> <li>Equality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Sustainability Impact Assessment</li> </ul>   |  |
| Risks/Issues identified from impact assessments:   |  |  |
| Recommendations  |  |  |
| Decision Requested (for Decision Log)  |  |  |
| Ratification of the Annual Report  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| <b>Responsible Executive Director and Title</b><br>Simon Bell<br>Chief Financial Officer                         | Report Author and Title<br>Michael Ash-McMahon, Deputy Chief<br>Finance Officer<br>Caroline Goldsmith, Deputy Head of<br>Finance |  |

#### Audit Committee Annual Report 2018/19

#### 1. Purpose of the Report

To review how the Audit Committee (the Committee) has met its Terms of Reference and fulfilled the role set out in relation to the financial year ended 31 March 2019.

#### 2. Background

- 2.1 The Committee is established in accordance with NHS Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group's (the CCG) constitution.
- 2.2 The Audit Committee membership is constituted from lay / independent members.
- 2.3 The Audit Committee has delegated responsibility from the Governing Body for the oversight of:
  - Integrated Governance, Risk Management and Internal Control;
  - Internal Audit;
  - External Audit;
  - Other Assurance Functions Reviewing the findings of other significant assurance functions;
  - Counter Fraud;
  - Management Reviewing reports and positive assurances from directors and managers on the overall arrangements for governance, risk management internal control and quality;
  - Financial Reporting;
  - Auditor Panel Provisions.
- 2.4 It is the responsibility of the CCG's Executive Team to establish and maintain proper processes for governance. The role of the Audit Committee is to provide the Governing Body with independent assurance on systems and processes through challenge and scrutiny of internal audit, external audit and other bodies.

#### 3. Governance, Risk Management and Internal Control

#### Membership

- 3.1 Mrs Sheenagh Powell, Lay Member and Chair of the Audit Committee, was in place from April to 31 May 2018.
- 3.2 Mr Phil Goatley, Lay Member and Chair of the Audit Committee, was in place from 3 July 2018.
- 3.3 Mr David Booker, Lay Member and Chair of the Finance and Performance Committee, was in place throughout the financial year.
- 3.4 Dr Arasu Kuppuswamy, Secondary Care Doctor Governing Body Member, was in place throughout the financial year.

#### Governance

- 3.5 As at 1 April 2018, the CCG was subject to legal directions from NHS England issued under Section 14Z21 of the National Health Service Act 2006 with a number of provisions including the appointment of an Accountable Officer, changes to governance arrangements and the stabilisation of the financial position.
- 3.6 While the CCG has met the requirements of the directions with regard to the appointment of an Accountable Officer and the governance arrangements, the deficit total required under the directions forms part of a longer-term financial recovery plan.
- 3.7 The CCG has a series of financial controls to ensure appropriate governance arrangements are in place and these were subject to review via Internal Audit with significant assurance opinions provided for Conflicts of Interest, Governance Arrangements and Risk Management, Contract Management, Financial Recovery and QIPP and Data Security and Protection Toolkit. Budgetary Control and Reporting and Key Financial Controls were also reviewed by Internal Audit and received high assurance.
- 3.8 The finalised reports and agreed action plans from the internal audits are submitted to the Audit Committee. All audit reports contain action plans of work required as a result of the review findings. All actions are assigned to a senior manager with responsibility to complete within the designated timescales.
- 3.9 The revised statutory guidance on managing conflicts of interest for CCGs requires CCGs to undertake an annual internal audit of conflicts of interest management. To support CCGs to undertake this task, NHS England has published a template audit framework. The CCG has carried out its annual internal audit review of conflicts of interest and received the view that significant assurance can be given to the CCG's arrangements.
- 3.10 The CCG had policies in place regarding conflicts of interest and business conduct, and published the declarations of interest for Governing Body members.
- 3.11 The Audit Committee continued to review its terms of reference and work plan taking account of the Healthcare Financial Management Association NHS Audit Committee Handbook.

#### **Risk Management**

- 3.12 A robust Risk Management Framework was in place throughout the year. Risks are grouped into four areas which enable staff to understand and monitor those areas which the organisation highlights as significant areas of risk for the organisation:
  - Finance
  - Quality and safety

- Compliance
- Service delivery
- 3.13 The CCG risk management process requires that an Executive Director is assigned to each risk contained on the register. Risks are escalated according to the score they receive and the escalation process is to committee and ultimately to Governing Body. The reporting lines and accountability are clearly set out in both the Risk Management Strategy and the Terms of Reference for each of the Committees.
- 3.14 A risk update report is presented to each meeting of the Governing Body to provide assurance that risks are strategically managed, monitored and mitigated. The Governing Body is well sighted on the risks facing the organisation, including the financial risks identified and which materialised during the year, through the Corporate Risk Register and via the Finance and Performance Committee. In 2018/19 the CCG put in place a Board Assurance Framework and a redesign of the Risk Register reporting.
- 3.15 Staff training was provided in relation to Risk following the production of the Risk Appetite Statement and Strategy.
- 3.16 The CCG has improved its risk profile and management of risk over the last twelve months, including a programme of actively archiving risks which have not been proactively managed for some time and have remained consistent in terms of the rating which they have been given.
- 3.17 The CCG has strengthened working links between the Quality and Patient Experience and Finance and Performance Committees and the Audit Committee throughout the year and this has been evidenced by the issues escalated which have resulted in the Audit Committee commissioning Internal Audit work.

#### 4. Internal Audit

- 4.1 During the year the internal audit service was provided by Audit Yorkshire who are hosted by York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust.
- 4.2 The work of Internal Audit has continued to focus on the progress being made in designing, implementing and embedding core processes to underpin the delivery of the CCG's strategic objectives. As such the Audit Plan was structured around the following key responsibilities:
  - Governance and Risk Management
  - Quality and Safety
  - Commissioning
  - Stakeholders and Partnerships
  - Financial Governance
  - Information Governance

- 4.3 A total of 130 days were allocated for this work, of which 10 were carried forward from 2017/18. A total of 92 days were delivered in relation to completion of the internal audit plan. A total of 33 days will be carried forward to 2019/20 in relation to Primary Care Co-commissioning, CHC and S117, hosted services and contingency.
- 4.4 In May 2019 the Committee received the Head of Internal Audit opinion relating to the financial year 2018/19. This opinion confirmed that significant assurance could be given that there is a 'generally sound system of internal control, designed to meet the organisation's objectives, and that controls are generally being applied consistently. However, some weaknesses in the design and / or inconsistent application of controls put the achievement of particular objectives at risk'. Where weaknesses have been identified, an action plan is in place to address the issue. The Head of Internal Audit Opinion was given in the context of the following:

The design and operation of the Assurance Framework and associated processes: A risk update report is presented to each meeting of the Governing Body to provide assurance that risks are strategically managed, monitored and mitigated. The Governing Body is well sighted on the risks facing the organisation, including the financial risks identified and which materialised during the year, through the Corporate Risk Register and via the Quality and Patient Experience and Finance and Performance Committees. In 2018/19 the CCG put in place a Board Assurance Framework and a redesign of the Risk Register reporting.

Internal Audit reviewed the CCG's governance arrangements during 2018/19 and gave it significant assurance. The audit found that the CCG implemented governance arrangements that support accountability, transparent decision making, management of potential conflicts of interest and management of risk. An effective framework had been developed for providing assurance to the Governing Body on the management of risk to its objectives.

| 4.5 | The outcome of the audit reports presented to the CCG from the 2018/19 audit plan |
|-----|---|
|     | are summarised below.   |

| Objective           | Audit  | Overall Opinion |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|
| Governance and Risk | Conflicts of Interest                          | Significant     |
| Management          | Governance Arrangements and Risk<br>Management | Significant     |
| Quality and Safety  | Quality Impact Assessment                      | Significant     |
|                     | Safeguarding                                   | Significant     |

| Commissioning          | Primary Care Co-commissioning                              | Deferred to 2019/20        |
|------------------------|--|----------------------------|
|                        | Continuing Healthcare and s117                             | Deferred to 2019/20        |
|                        | Community Paediatrics<br>Commissioning                     | Limited                    |
| Stakeholders and       | CQC System Review  | Audit cancelled            |
| Partnerships           | York / Scarborough System<br>Transformation                | Limited                    |
| Financial Governance   | Contract Management  | Significant (draft report) |
|                        | Financial Recovery and QIPP                                | Significant (draft report) |
|                        | Budgetary Control and Reporting and Key Financial Controls | High                       |
| Information Governance | Data Security and Protection Toolkit                       | Significant                |
| Pan Hosted Services    | Contractual Arrangements for Hosted Services               | Deferred to 2019/20        |

#### 5. External Audit

- 5.1 Mazars LLP are the External Auditors for all the North Yorkshire and York CCGs with Mr Mark Kirkham as Partner and Mrs Catherine Andrew as Senior Manager, managing the CCG contract and attending each Audit Committee meeting. They also hold regular liaison meetings with the Accountable Officer and Chief Finance Officer.
- 5.2 The fee to External Audit for work undertaken included auditing the CCG's financial statements, which incorporated a review of the Annual Governance Statement, Annual Report and auditing certain sections of the Remuneration report; and assessing arrangements for achieving value for money in the use of resources.
- 5.3 The audit fee was £51,540 for 2018/19. There were no additional pieces of work commissioned from the External Auditors during 2018/19 however NHS England has mandated an audit of the Mental Health investment Standard to be carried out by the auditors in 2019/20.
- 5.4 Mazars LLP carried out the audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law and delivered all expected outputs in line with the timetable established by the Department of Health and Social Care and NHS England.

5.5 Mazars LLP Independent Auditor's Report to the CCG made the following findings:

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of CCG's affairs as at 31 March 2019 and of its net expenditure for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the Department of Health and Social Care Group Accounting Manual 2018/19; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the requirements of the National Health Service Act 2006 and the Accounts Directions issued thereunder.
- 5.6 The auditors issued a qualified (adverse) opinion on regularity on the following basis:
  - The CCG reported a deficit of £18.6m in its financial statements for the year ending 31 March 2019, thereby breaching its duty under the National Health Service Act 2006, as amended by paragraphs 223I (2) and (3) of Section 27 of the Health and Social Care Act 2012, to break even on its commissioning budget.
  - The CCG has been unable to agree a financial plan for 2019/20 which aligns with those if its key partners. These issues are evidence of weaknesses in proper arrangements for planning finances effectively to support the sustainable delivery of strategic priorities and maintain statutory functions and working with third parties effectively to deliver strategic priorities.
- 5.7 With the exception of breaching the above duty, the opinion of the External Auditor was that in all material aspects the expenditure and income reflected in the financial statements were applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions conform to the authorities which govern them.
- 5.8 On the basis of their work, having regard to the guidance issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in November 2017, Mazars LLP were not satisfied that, in all significant respects, NHS Vale of York CCG put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2019.
- 5.9 Mazars reported that:
  - the parts of the Remuneration and Staff Report subject to audit have been properly prepared in accordance with the Accounts Direction made under the National Health Service Act 2006; and
  - the other information published together with the audited financial statements in the Annual Report for the year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

#### 6. Local Counter Fraud Service (LCFS)

- 6.1 The Committee approved the 2018/19 work plan which included 8 days allocated as follows:
  - Inform and Involve 5.5 days
  - Prevent and Deter 2.5 days
  - Hold to Account days to be approved if required
- 6.2 In January 2019 NHS Counter Fraud Authority (NHS CFA) issued the updated *Standards for commissioners – fraud, bribery and corruption to LCFSs and Chief Finance Officers.* The standards are intended to outline an organisation's corporate responsibilities regarding counter fraud and the key principles for action. These are:
  - Strategic Governance this sets out the requirements in relation to the strategic governance arrangements of the organisation to ensure that anti-crime measures are embedded at all levels across the organisation.
  - Inform and Involve this sets out the requirements in relation to raising awareness of crime risks against the NHS, and working with NHS staff and the public to publicise the risks and effects of crime against the NHS.
  - Prevent and Deter this sets out the requirements in relation to discouraging individuals who may be tempted to commit crime against the NHS and ensuring that opportunities for crime to occur are minimised.
  - Hold to Account this sets out the requirements in relation to detecting and investigating crime, prosecuting those who have committed crimes, and seeking redress.

The Counter Fraud plan for 2018/19 was structured and implemented in accordance with these principles, adapted to suit the requirements of the CCG.

- 6.3 The Local Counter Fraud Specialist, Mr Steven Moss, is a regular attendee at Committee meetings and provides regular updates on proactive and reactive counter fraud work in these areas.
- 6.4 In order for NHS CFA to derive a clear picture of the work conducted and assess compliance with the counter fraud standards, every NHS commissioner is required to submit a Self Review Tool (SRT). The SRT is intended to enable the organisation to produce a summary of the anti-fraud, bribery and corruption work it conducted over the previous financial year. Organisations are required to complete the SRT annually and return it with the annual report to NHS CFA.
- 6.5 The 2018/19 SRT was presented to the Audit Committee in May 2019 and identified that the CCG feels it has fully met 20 of the standards, partially met three of the standards and recorded a neutral response against three standards resulting in an overall assessment of green. This represents an improvement on the previous submission, where the CCG recorded full compliance against 18 standards and partial compliance against five.

- 6.6 The CCG recorded neutral in response to interviews under caution being conducted in line with the National Occupational Standards and the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1964 as none were conducted on behalf of the CCG in 2018/19. The CCG recorded neutral in response to completing witness statements that follow best practice and comply with national guidelines as this was not required in 2018/19. The CCG recorded not applicable in response to the standard for maintaining appropriate anti-fraud, bribery and corruption arrangements and ensuring any recommendations made by NHS Protect are fully implemented as this did not apply to the CCG in 2018/19.
- 6.7 In regard to the Inform and Involve workstream, three anti-crime newsletters were circulated to relevant CCG staff and a fraud awareness presentation was given at the September 2018 staff briefing which covered:
  - The definition of fraud
  - Information on the Bribery Act 2010
  - Examples of fraud against the NHS
  - How to prevent fraud
  - How and where to report suspicions of fraud

The Counter Fraud Team also met with the communications team to discuss how best to distribute counter fraud material within the CCG. As a result a specific counter fraud web-page was added to the CCG website.

- 6.8 In relation to the Prevent and Deter workstream, the CCG participated in the 2018/19 National Fraud Initiative, the output of which will be reviewed by the LCFS in June 2019. The Counter Fraud Team also circulated a number of intelligence bulletins issued by NHS CFA and produced a number of local fraud alerts. The CCG's Anti-Fraud, Bribery and Corruption Policy was reviewed in June 2018 to include additional information on the Fraud Act and Bribery Act and details the CCG's approach to recovering losses through fraud.
- 6.9 In relation to reactive counter fraud work there have been two investigations which the CCG has complied with:
  - Medication investigation
  - GP Surgery Referral
- 6.10 Information was shared as appropriate with regards to these and within the information governance and data protection regulations.

#### 7. Other Assurance Functions

- 7.1 Assurances were received from the CCG's commissioning support provider eMBED Health Consortium following the provision of the eMBED Assurance Report.
- 7.2 The report covered the following business assurance processes:

- Kier Safety, Health, Environmental and Assurance
- GDPR
- External Audit
- Internal Audit
- Contract Management
- Management Structure
- Services
- Business Continuity Plan
- 7.3 Preceding every Committee meeting, members of the Committee take the opportunity to have a discussion with the auditors without any officer of the CCG being present. The purpose of the discussion is to ensure that there are no matters of concern regarding the running of the organisation that should be raised with the Committee.
- 7.4 As at the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2019 the CCG recorded 22 administrative write-offs totalling £10,779 and a cash loss of £10,176. The administrative write-offs related to the CCG's share of overseas visitors' debts written off by York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and the cash loss related to an overpayment made on a Personal Health Budget.

#### 8. Meetings and areas of note considered by the Audit Committee in 2018/19

8.1 The following table details the each meeting of the Audit Committee for 2018/19 and details areas of note from the Committee discussion.

| Meeting Dates | Areas of Note from the Committee Discussion                                |
|---------------|--|
| 28 April 2018 | Approved the Detailed Scheme of Delegation.                                |
|               | Received the draft Annual Report and Accounts 2017/18                      |
|               | (including the Remuneration Report, Annual Governance                      |
|               | Statement and Head of Internal Audit Opinion).                             |
|               | <ul> <li>Committee had pre-meet with External Audit at which no</li> </ul> |
|               | adverse issues had been raised, with External Audit noting                 |
|               | that they had a good working relationship with CCG staff                   |
| 23 May 2018   | <ul> <li>Approved revised terms of reference subject to minor</li> </ul>   |
|               | amendments.  |
|               | Received the Annual Counter Fraud Report 2017/18.                          |
|               | <ul> <li>Approved the updated Scheme of Delegation.</li> </ul>             |
|               | Received the 2017/18 Audit Completion Report from External                 |
|               | Audit  |
|               | Received the Head of Internal Audit Opinion.                               |
|               | Approved the Annual Report and Accounts 2017/18.                           |
| 25 July 2018  | <ul> <li>Accepted the work plan for 2018/19 noting that it was</li> </ul>  |
|               | comprehensive and balanced across the year.                                |
|               | Requested more precision in reporting, particularly in relation            |
|               | to Internal Audit and External Audit actions and completion                |
|               | dates.   |
|               | Approved the Local Antifraud, Bribery and Corruption Policy                |

|              | <ul> <li>subject to a minor amendment.</li> <li>Approved the nomination of a third member of CCG staff to<br/>fulfil the role of local Sponsor for Registration Authority<br/>purposes.</li> </ul>  |
|--------------|---|
|              | • External Audit readily acknowledged that since publication of the 2017/18 Annual Audit Letter the CCG had made positive progress which External Audit would convey to any third parties if questioned.  |
| 27 September | Agreed the work plan for the Audit Committee.   |
| 2018         | <ul> <li>Noted that Audit Yorkshire confirmed additional resourcing is<br/>giving greater assurance and that the 2018/19 Internal Audit<br/>Plan will be delivered in full.</li> </ul>  |
|              | <ul> <li>Noted that Internal Audit had completed their work on Quality<br/>Impact Assessments with an overall judgement of Significant<br/>Assurance.</li> </ul>  |
|              | <ul> <li>Noted that the Chief Finance Officer is working with Internal<br/>Audit on achievable delivery of timescales for all outstanding<br/>audit recommendations.</li> </ul>   |
|              | • Noted that the Chief Finance Officer is working with Internal<br>Audit managers on a Working Together Protocol so CCG staff<br>have clear expectations of their interactions.   |
|              | • Approved the External Auditors Audit Strategy Memorandum for 2018/19.   |
| 29 November  | Received the approved constitution for the CCG.   |
| 2018         | • Focused on a number of areas - such as risk management,<br>responding to internal audit, dealing with freedom of<br>information requests, and maintaining information governance<br>and counter fraud measures and security - in moving from<br>narratives that explain activity to driving a culture of learning<br>lessons from experience and demonstrable accountability for<br>delivered outcomes. |
|              | <ul> <li>Noted that the development of a Business Assurance<br/>Framework is very positive and will provide a means to<br/>demonstrate how the CCG draws on various information<br/>sources to provide senior leaders with assurance that key<br/>outcomes both for patients and the organisation internally are<br/>being delivered.</li> </ul>  |
|              | <ul> <li>Noted progress being made to improve the accountability for<br/>and delivery of actions which meet the requirements of the<br/>agreed internal audit recommendations, so that these can be<br/>discharged.</li> </ul>  |

|                     | 1  |
|---------------------|--|
| 28 February<br>2019 | <ul> <li>Noted that the Committee pleased to see that the organisation understands it is the Committee Members who own the Internal Audit Plan. A clear rationale for change needs to be given in order for Committee Members to approve changes to this Plan.</li> <li>Requested to see an established three year longer term planning regime for internal audit work before the start of the 2019/20 financial year.</li> <li>Supported the CCG's Internal Auditors taking a more challenging approach to the delivery of agreed audit recommendations by nominated Action Managers, allowing escalation to the Chief Finance Officer where necessary.</li> <li>Noted the development of a high quality Counter Fraud Plan that is supported by an Anti-Crime Newsletter for staff written in a clear and approachable style.</li> <li>Received the referral to the Secretary of State under Section 30 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014from the CCG's external auditors.</li> <li>Noted the continuing development of a robust Board Assurance Framework (BAF) for implementation from the beginning of 2019/20.</li> </ul> |
| 23 April 2019       | <ul> <li>Received the draft 2018/19 Annual Report and Accounts noting that considerable care had been taken to deliver both as accurate and up to date picture as could reasonably be expected and to present the information so that it was as accessible as possible to lay readers.</li> <li>Reviewed the schedule of losses and special payments in 2018/19 and agreed that the writing off of a PHB overpayment by decision of the Executive Committee was reasonable.</li> <li>Noted the Executive Team's responses to the 17 questions posed by the CCG's external auditors, Mazars. Committee concluded that these responses evidence that the CCG had throughout 2018/19 adequate arrangements to prevent and detect fraud.</li> </ul>  |

#### 9. Future Plans

- 9.1 The Committee is constantly looking to develop the way that it works and improve the efficiency of the internal control systems across the organisation. In 2019/20 the Committee will continue to take particular cognisance of the financial, quality and performance challenges facing the CCG, the transformational changes required to deliver this and the system and individual organisational form and structure alterations to support these arrangements taking assurance from the Auditors and the Finance and Performance Committee.
- 9.2 The Committee will continue to closely monitor assurance arrangements for the transition of commissioning support services from eMBED to an alternative provider

by the end of March 2020 as well as those put in place following the transfer of responsibility for services previously provided by the PCU back to the CCG.

- 9.3 The Committee will continue to review their Terms of Reference and will constantly seek the assurances required of the organisation that the systems of internal control are documented, fit for purpose and complied with consistently.
- 9.4 The Committee will also continue to review the Scheme of Delegation to ensure it is up to date and covers all relevant areas including the Primary Care Co-Commissioning arrangements.
- 9.5 The Committee will report to the Governing Body on a regular basis.

#### 10. Recommendations

10.1 The Governing Body is asked to receive and note the Annual Report.
# Appendix 1

# Audit Committee Members Attendance April 2018 – March 2019

|                         | Committee Membership   | Attendance |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Sheenagh Powell – Chair | From April to May 2018 | 2/2        |
| Phil Goatley - Chair    | From July 2018         | 6/6        |
| David Booker            | From April 2018        | 8/8        |
| Dr Arasu Kuppuswamy     | From April 2018        | 5/6        |

This page is intentionally blank

Name of Presenter: Helena Nowell

Meeting of the Governing Body

Date of meeting: 5 September 2019



| Report Title – – Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response – NHS Vale of |
|--|
| York CCG Arrangements  |
|  |

Purpose of Report (Select from list) For Approval

# Reason for Report

Approval of nationally mandated Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response arrangements

# **Strategic Priority Links**

| <ul> <li>Strengthening Primary Care</li> <li>Reducing Demand on System</li> <li>Fully Integrated OOH Care</li> <li>Sustainable acute hospital/ single acute contract</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Transformed MH/LD/ Complex Care</li> <li>System transformations</li> <li>Financial Sustainability</li> </ul> |
|---|---|
| Local Authority Area  |   |
| ⊠CCG Footprint  | □East Riding of Yorkshire Council   |
| □City of York Council   | □North Yorkshire County Council   |
| Impacts/ Key Risks  | Risk Rating   |
| □Financial  |   |
| ⊠Legal  |   |
| Primary Care  |   |
| □ Equalities  |   |

# Emerging Risks

NHS organisations are mandated to plan for and be able to respond to a wide range of incidents and emergencies that could affect health or patient care. The Vale of York EPRR Policy; the Vale of York Business Continuity Policy and supporting procedural documentation are to ensure NHS Vale of York CCG acts in accordance with the Civil Contingency Act 2004, the Health & Social Care Act 2012 and relevant national policy and guidance as issued by the

Department of Health in our role as a Category 2 Responder.

The CCG has assessed itself as "Substantially" compliant overall, in relation to compliance with the NHS core standards for Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR) which is part of the annual EPRR assurance process for 2019/20..

# Impact Assessments

Please confirm below that the impact assessments have been approved and outline any risks/issues identified.

□ Quality Impact Assessment

Data Protection Impact Assessment

- Equality Impact Assessment
- □ Sustainability Impact Assessment

# **Risks/Issues identified from impact assessments:**

# Recommendations

To approve the EPRR Self-assessment and compliance rating.

# **Decision Requested (for Decision Log)**

(For example, Decision to implement new system/ Decision to choose one of options a/b/c for new system)

| Responsible Executive Director and Title | <b>Report Author and Title</b> |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Phil Mettam                              | Fliss Wood                     |
| Accountable Officer                      | EPRR and Performance Manager   |
|  |                                |

# Annexes (please list)

- EPRR Self-Assessment including Action Plan
- Statement of Compliance
- EPRR Policy (with tracked changes)

#### Please select type of organisation:

**Clinical Commissioning Group** 

| Core Standards          | Total<br>standards<br>applicable | Fully<br>compliant | Partially compliant | Non<br>compliant |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Governance              | 6                                | 6                  | 0                   | 0                |
| Duty to risk assess     | 2                                | 2                  | 0                   | 0                |
| Duty to maintain plans  | 9                                | 9                  | 0                   | 0                |
| Command and control     | 2                                | 2                  | 0                   | 0                |
| Training and exercising | 3                                | 3                  | 0                   | 0                |
| Response                | 5                                | 5                  | 0                   | 0                |
| Warning and informing   | 3                                | 3                  | 0                   | 0                |
| Cooperation             | 4                                | 3                  | 1                   | 0                |
| Business Continuity     | 9                                | 9                  | 0                   | 0                |
| CBRN                    | 0                                | 0                  | 0                   | 0                |
| Total                   | 43                               | 42                 | 1                   | 0                |

| Deep Dive                     | Total<br>standards<br>applicable | Fully<br>compliant | Partially compliant | Non<br>compliant |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Severe Weather response       | 14                               | 15                 | 0                   | 0                |
| Long Term adaptation planning | 5                                | 4                  | 1                   | 0                |
|                               |                                  |                    |                     |                  |
| Total                         | 19                               | 19                 | 1                   | 0                |

#### Publishing Approval Reference: 000719

| Overall assessment: | Substantially compliant   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructions:       | ning time the share show of the ten of this mean  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     | nisation from the drop-down at the top of this page<br>essment RAG in the 'EPRR Core Standards' tab |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     | essment RAG in the 'Deep dive' tab  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     | only: Complete the Self-Assessment in the 'Interoperable capabilities' tab                          |  |  |  |  |  |

Step 5: Click the 'Produce Action Plan' button below

|                            |                        |   |                                    |  |  | Self assessment RAG  |  |  |              |          |  |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--------------|----------|--|
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  |  | Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the core standard. The<br>organisation's EPRR work programme shows compliance will not be<br>reached within the next 12 months.   |  |  |              |          |  |
| Ref Domain                 | Standard               | Detail  | Clinical<br>Commissioning<br>Group | Evidence - examples listed below   | Organisational Evidence  | Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant with core standard.<br>However, the organisation's EPRR work programme demonstrates<br>sufficient evidence of progress and an action plan to achieve full<br>compliance within the next 12 months.                       | Action to be taken   | Lead                                       | Timescale    | Comments |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  |  | Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with core standard.  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | The organisation has appointed an Accountable Emergency Officer<br>(AEO) responsible for Emergency Preparedness Resilience and  |                                    | Name and role of appointed individual  |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 1 Governance               | Senior Leadership      | Response (EPRR). This individual should be a board level director, and<br>have the appropriate authority, resources and budget to direct the  | Y                                  |  | Phil Mettam is the Accountable Emergency Officer for VOYCCG and is a member of<br>the Governing Body.  | Fully compliant  |  | Phil Mettam                                |              |          |  |
| Governance                 | Senior Leadership      | EPRR portfolio.   | r                                  |  | David Booker is the lay member of the Governing Body who is responsible for<br>overseeing Emergency Planning.  | Puny compilant   |  | Philimettam                                |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | A non-executive board member, or suitable alternative, should be identified to subport them in this role.   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | The organisation has an overarching EPRR policy statement.<br>This should take into account the organisation's:   |                                    | Evidence of an up to date EPRR policy statement that includes:<br>• Resourcing commitment<br>• Access to funds   |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | Business objectives and processes     Key suppliers and contractual arrangements  |                                    | Commitment to Emergency Planning, Business Continuity, Training,<br>Exercising etc.  |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | Risk assessment(s)     Functions and / or organisation, structural and staff changes.   |                                    |  | EPRR Policy Statement - Saction 2 of EPRR Policy outlines how VOYCCG will meet<br>the duties as a Category 2 Responder in accordance with the Civil Contingerncy Act   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 2 Governance               | EPRR Policy Statement  | The policy should:  | Y                                  |  | 2004, the Health & Social Care Act 2012 and NHSE Core Standards for EPRR and<br>details the roles of key personnel . The document has a Version Control and<br>references the associated policies/documents.   | Fully compliant  | Updated EPRR Policy to be approved by Governing Body September 2019                    | Fliss Wood                                 | Sep-19       |          |  |
|                            |                        | Have a review schedule and version control     Use unambiguous terminology  |                                    |  | references the associated policies/documents.  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | Identify those responsible for ensuring policies and arrangements are<br>updated, distributed and regularly tested  |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | Include references to other sources of information and supporting<br>documentation     The Chief Executive Officer / Clinical Commissioning Group   |                                    | Public Board meeting minutes   |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | Accountable Officer ensures that the Accountable Emergency Officer<br>discharges their responsibilities to provide EPRR reports to the Board /  |                                    | Evidence of presenting the results of the annual EPRR assurance process to the Public Board  |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | Governing Body, no less frequently than annually.   |                                    |  | Phil Mettam, Accountable Officer, includes EPRR updates on a bi-monthly basis in   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 3 Governance               | EPRR board reports     | These reports should be taken to a public board, and as a minimum, include an overview on:  | Y                                  |  | his report to Governing Body. Last update June 2019.<br>Substantial Compliance Rating agreed by VOYCCG Governing Body in September<br>2018 and ratified by NHSE in October 2019.   | Fully compliant  | EPRR Self-Assesment to go to Governing Body - 5 Sept 2019                              | Phil Mettam                                |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | training and exercises undertaken by the organisation     summary of any business continuity, critical incidents and major  |                                    |  | EPRR Self-Assessment 2019 and assurance to be presented to VOYCCG Governing<br>Body September 2019.  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | incidents experienced by the organisation<br>• lessons identified from incidents and exercises<br>• the organisation's compliance position in relation to the latest NHS                              |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | Interorganisation's compliance position in relation to the latest NHS     England EPRR assurance process     The organisation has an annual EPRR work programme, informed by:                         |                                    | Process explicitly described within the EPRR policy statement  |  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 4 Governance               | EPRR work programme    | lessons identified from incidents and exercises     identified risks  | Y                                  | Annual work plan   | 6.3 of EPRR Policy documents CCG commitments including regular review and<br>testing of EPRR plan and the requirement to produce an annual work programme.<br>VOVCCG has an EPRR work plan and action plan on 2019 EPRR self-assessment                          | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood                                 |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | outcomes of any assurance and audit processes.  |                                    |  | identifies current areas for improvement.  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | The Board / Governing Body is satisfied that the organisation has<br>sufficient and appropriate resource, proportionate to its size, to ensure<br>it can fully discharge its EPRR duties.             |                                    | <ul> <li>EPRR Policy identifies resources required to fulfill EPRR function;<br/>policy has been signed off by the organisation's Board</li> <li>Assessment of role / resources</li> </ul> | EPRR Policy outlines how VOYCCG will meet the duties as a Category 2 Responder<br>in accordance with the Civil Contingemcy Act 2004, the Health & Social Care Act<br>2012 and NHSE Core Standards for EPRR and identifies the resources required to              |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | it can fully discharge its EFRIC duties.  |                                    | Role description of EPRR Staff     Organisation structure chart  | do this:-<br>VOYCCG operates a 24/7 on-Call Director rota<br>Emergency Accountable Officer - Phil Mettam   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    | Internal Governance process chart including EPRR group   | EPRR Manager - Fliss Wood - attended and passed HEP Award training in April<br>2015 and JESIP training facilitated by the Police in November 2016 and  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 5 Governance               | EPRR Resource          |   | Y                                  |  | demonstrates an understanding of EPRR principles.<br>Abby Coombes, Head of Legal & Governance, is responsible for overseeing Business<br>Continuity Management and Risk reporting to Governing Body and demonstrates an  | Fully compliant  |  | Phil Mettam                                |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  | understaning of BCM principles.<br>CCG currently has 3 trained loggist and Jo Baxter is attending PHE Loggist training   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  | in Leeds in October 2019.<br>Sharron Hegarty, Head of Communications & Media Relations, provides 'on-call'<br>Comms support for EPPR.  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  | EPRR and BCM are standing agenda items on the Emergency Preparedness,<br>Business Continuity and Information Governance Committee which meets on a bi-<br>monthly basis.   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 6 Governance               | Continuous improvement | The organisation has clearly defined processes for capturing learning<br>from incidents and exercises to inform the development of future EPRR  | Y                                  | Process explicitly described within the EPRR policy statement  | 6.3 of EPRR Policy documents CCG commitments including regular review and<br>testing of EPRR plan and the requirement to produce an annual work programme.   | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood                                 |              |          |  |
|                            | process                | arrangements.<br>The organisation has a process in place to regularly assess the risks to   |                                    | Evidence that EPRR risks are regularly considered and recorded   | EPRR Policy Section 10 Risks - details the approach to risk assessment and   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | the population it serves. This process should consider community and<br>national risk registers.  |                                    |  | Evidence that EPRR risks are represented and recorded on the<br>organisations corporate risk register  | identifies specific local risks for VOYCCG.<br>Yorkshire & Humber LHRP Risk Register March 2018 identifies and assesses  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 7 Duty to risk assess      | Risk assessment        |   | Y                                  | Y  |  | potential hazards and threats that would constitute an 'emergency' affecting the health<br>or the provision of health services in Yorkshire & the Humber under the CCA 2014 or<br>a 'major incident' for any NHS organisation. Risks are categorised in 5 sections:- |  |  | Abby Coombes |          |  |
|                            |                        | The organisation has a robust method of reporting, recording,   |                                    | EPRR risks are considered in the organisation's risk management  | Health, Business Continuity, Weather, Major Incidents related hazards and Threats.<br>LHRP review and maintain the regional risk register.   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 8 Duty to risk assess      | Risk Management        | monitoring and escalating EPRR risks.   | v                                  | policy<br>• Reference to EPRR risk management in the organisation's EPRR   | VOYCCG maintains a risk register which is reviewed by Leads on a monthly basis<br>and is a regular agenda item on the Finance & Performance Committee.   | Fully compliant  |  | Abby Coombes                               |              |          |  |
| buly to have a see as      | Kisk management        |   |                                    | policy document  | NHS Contracts require providers to evidence BCM policy and arrangements.<br>VOYCCG received de-brief reports and confirmation that action had been taken by<br>both EMBED and YHFT to address the lessons learnt from the Cyber Attack in May                    | r uny completin  |  | Abby Coombes                               |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | Plans have been developed in collaboration with partners and service<br>providers to ensure the whole patient pathway is considered.  |                                    | Partners consulted with as part of the planning process are<br>demonstrable in planning arrangements   | 2016.<br>York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework details the escalation<br>and de-escaltion plan for 2018/19 using the nationally agreed OPEL Escalation  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 9 Duty to maintain plans   | Collaborative planning | providers to ensure the whole patient patriway is considered.   | Y                                  |  | Levels. This document was developed and agreed with partners across North<br>Yorkshire & York including NHSE, YHFT, NYCC, CYC, YAS, TEWV, Yorkshire  | Fully compliant  | A&ED Board are currently reviewing the OPEL triggers and actions for<br>STP footprint. | Andrew Lee supported by Karen<br>Mazingham |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  | Doctors Urgent Care, SRCCG and VOVCCG.<br>VOYCCG has also worked with partners to produce he North Yorkshire & York Mass<br>Treatment and Vaccination Plan.  |  |  | -  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has<br>effective arrangements in place to respond to a critical incident (as  |                                    | Arrangements should be:<br>• current   | EPRR Policy outlines how VOYCCG will meet the duties as a Category 2 Responder<br>in accordance with the Civil Contingemcy Act 2004, the Health & Social Care Act<br>2012 and NHSE Core Standards for EPRR and identifies the resources required to              |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | defined within the EPRR Framework).   |                                    | in line with current national guidance     in line with risk assessment  | 2012 and NHSE Core Standards for EPRR and identifies the resources required to<br>do this:-<br>VOYCCG operates a 24/7 on-call Director rota  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    | tested regularly     signed off by the appropriate mechanism     shared appropriately with those required to use them  | Emergency Accountable Officer - Phil Mettam<br>EPRR Manager - Fliss Wood - attended and passed HEP Award training in April   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    | stated appropriately with those required to use them     outline any equipment requirements     outline any staff training required  | 2015 and JESIP training facilitated by the Police in November 2016 and<br>demonstrates an understanding of EPRR principles.<br>Abby Coombes, Head of Legal and Governance, is responsible for overseeing   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 11 Duty to maintain plans  | Critical incident      |   | Y                                  |  | Business Continuity Management and Risk reporting to Governing Body and<br>demonstrates an understaning of BCM principles.<br>Sharron Hegarty, Head of Communications & Media Relations, provides 'on-call'  | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood                                 |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  | Comms support for EPPR.<br>Surge & Escalation Plans were tested throughout Winter 2018 when the York &   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  | Scarborough system operated at OPEL 3 Level - Severe Pressure on a number of<br>days due to winter pressures.<br>CCG on-Call Director available 24/7 and CCG responsible for leading the A&E   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  | Delivery Board response. Daily System calls were held with partner organisations to<br>agree what actions needed to be taken to recover the position. CCG co-ordinated<br>communications between partner organisations, GPs and NHSE.                            |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has   |                                    | Arrangements should be:  | York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework details the escalation  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | effective arrangements in place to respond to a major incident (as<br>defined within the EPRR Framework).   |                                    | current     in line with current national guidance     in line with risk assessment  | and de-escaltion plan using the nationally agreed OPEL Escalation Levels. This<br>document was developed and agreed with partners across North Yorkshire & York<br>including NHSE, YHFT, NYCC, CVC, YAS, TEWV, Yorkshire Doctors Urgent Care,                    |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 12 Duty to maintain plans  | Major incident         |   | Y                                  | tested regularly     signed off by the appropriate mechanism   | SRCCG and VOYCCG and details the triggers/actions to be taken for OPEL 4 Level<br>Extreme Presure. The CCG would notify NHSE of the system-wide alert status and<br>involve them in decisions around support the local boundaries. CCG would                     | Fully compliant  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    | <ul> <li>shared appropriately with those required to use them</li> <li>outline any equipment requirements</li> </ul>   | lead the Health & Care Resilience Board response to pressure surges on 24/7 basis,<br>assess current risks, identify scope for mutual support and agree actions. CCG   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    | outline any staff training required  | would also work with partners on contingnecy and recovery plans and inform staff,<br>partner organisations, NHSE, GPs and the Public as necessary.   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has<br>effective arrangements in place to respond to the impacts of heatwave<br>on the exclusion the complete arrangement is patient. |                                    | Arrangements should be:<br>• current   | CCG complies with NHSE Heatwave Plan for England 2019. Recieve and action<br>Heatwave Alerts from the Met Office 1 June - Sept 2019 and communicates public<br>media messages – especially to 'hard to reach' vulnerable groups. Communicate                     |  |  |  |              |          |  |
| 13 Duty to maintain altern | Hestware               | on the population the organisation serves and its staff.  |                                    | in line with current national guidance     in line with risk assessment     tested regularly   | alerts to GPs/staff and make sure that they are aware of heatwave plans. Implement<br>business continuity.   | Eville compliant   |  | Fliss Wood                                 |              |          |  |
| 13 Duty to maintain plans  | neatwave               |   | ,                                  | tested regulary     signed off by the appropriate mechanism     shared appropriately with those required to use them   | Increase advice to health and social care workers working in community, care homes<br>and hospitals. Working with media to get advice to people quickly before and during<br>a heatwave to raise awareness of how excessive heat affects health and prevantative | Fully compliant  |  | F 1155 WUUU                                |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    | outline any equipment required     outline any staff training required   | action people can take to stay cool. Use email to contact GPs and partner<br>organisations and CCG website to inform the public both activated following Level 3<br>Heatwave alert in July 2019.   |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has<br>effective arrangements in place to respond to the impacts of snow and  |                                    | Arrangements should be:<br>• current   | York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework details the escalation  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        | cold weather (not internal business continuity) on the population the<br>organisation serves.   |                                    | in line with current national guidance     in line with risk assessment  | and de-escaltion plan for Winter 2018/19 using the nationally agreed OPEL<br>Escalation Levels. This document was developed and agreed with partners across<br>North Yorkshire & York including NHSE, NYCC, CYC, YAS, TeWV, Yorkshire                            |  |  | The March                                  |              |          |  |
| 14 Duty to maintain plans  | Cold weather           |   | Y                                  | tested regularly     signed off by the appropriate mechanism     secret appropriate with these required to use them  | Doctors Urgent Care, SRCCG and VOYCCG.<br>The CCG operate a 24/7 on-call Director rota and they would be the first point of  | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood                                 |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    | <ul> <li>shared appropriately with those required to use them</li> <li>outline any equipment requirements</li> <li>outline any staff training required</li> </ul>                          | contact should an emergency occur due to adverse weather and, if necessary, the<br>Director on-call would escalate the incident as per the EPRR Policy.  |  |  |  |              |          |  |
|                            |                        |   |                                    |  |  |  |  | 1  |              |          |  |

|                            |   |   |                                    |  |  | Self assessment RAG<br>Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the core standard. The<br>organisation's EPRR work programme shows compliance will not be<br>reached within the next 12 months.  |  |              |           |          |
|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--------------|-----------|----------|
| Ref Domain                 | Standard  | Detail  | Clinical<br>Commissioning<br>Group | Evidence - examples listed below   | Organisational Evidence  | Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant with core standard.<br>However, the organisation's EPRR work, programme demonstrates<br>sufficient evidence of progress and an action plan to achieve full<br>compliance within the next 12 months.<br>Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with core standard. | Action to be taken   | Lead         | Timescale | Comments |
| 15 Duty to maintain plans  | Pandemic influenza  | In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has<br>effective arrangements in place to respond to pandemic influenza.  | Ŷ                                  | Arrangements should be:<br>• current<br>• in line with current national guidance<br>• in line with risk assessment<br>• tested regularly<br>• signed off by the appropriate mechanism<br>• shared appropriately with hose required to use them<br>• outline any equipment requirements<br>• outline any staff training required  | NYCC Pandemic Flu Plan June 2018 approved by North Yorkshire & York Health<br>Protection Group<br>VOYCCG Pandemic Flu Plan   | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood   |           |          |
| 16 Duty to maintain plans  | Infectious disease  | In the with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has<br>effective arrangements in place to respond to an infectious disease<br>outbreak within the organisation or the community it serves, covering a<br>range of diseases including High Consequence Infectious Diseases<br>such as Viral Haemorrhagic Fever. These arrangements should be<br>made in conjunction with Infection Control teams; including supply of<br>adequate FFP3 and PPE trained individuals commensurate with the<br>organisational risk.  | Ŷ                                  | Arrangements should be:<br>• current<br>• in line with current national guidance<br>• in line with risk assessment<br>• tested regularly<br>• signed off by the appropriate mechanism<br>• shared appropriately with those required to use them<br>• outline any equipment requirements<br>• outline any saft fraining required  | VOYCCG has been working with partner organisation to produce the<br>Mass Treatment & Vaccination Plan for North Yorkshire & York.<br>Workshop was held in May 2019 to test the Plan which is now going for<br>final approval by the North Yorkshire Health Protection Group.<br>CCG also involved with Measles outbreak in York in July 2019.  | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood   |           |          |
| 18 Duty to maintain plans  | Mass Casualty   | In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has<br>effective arrangements in place to respond to mass casualities. For an<br>acute receiving hospital this should incorporate arrangements to free<br>up 10% of their bed base in 6 hours and 20% in 12 hours, along with<br>the requirement to double Level 3 ITU capacity for 96 hours (for those<br>with level 3 ITU bed).   | Ŷ                                  | Arrangements should be:<br>• current<br>• in line with current national guidance<br>• in line with risk assessment<br>• tested regularly<br>• signed off by the appropriate mechanism<br>• shared appropriately with those required to use them<br>• outline any equipment requirements<br>• outline any staff training required   | York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Bord Escalation Framework details the escalation<br>and de-scalation plan using the nationally agreed OPEL Escalation Levels. This<br>document was developed and agreed with pattness across North Vorkhine & York<br>Including HNSS_ VHFT, NVCC, CVC, VAS, TEWV, Vorkhine Doctos Upgreet Czen,<br>SRCCDS and VDVCCG and details the triggerillactions to be taken for OPEL 4 Level<br>- Estemen Pressur. The CCG would notify NHSS of the system-vide astus, and<br>lead the Health & Care Realisince Board response to pressure surges on 247 basis,<br>assess current risks, laterity society of multial support and agree actions. CCG<br>would also work with pattners on contingnesy and recovery plans and inform staft,<br>pattner organisations, NHSS, GP and the Publics as necessary.   | Fully compliant  |  | Fiiss Wood   |           |          |
| 20 Duty to maintain plans  | Shelter and evacuation                                    | In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has<br>effective arrangements in place to shelter and/or evacuate patients,<br>staff and visitors. This should include arrangements to shelter and/or<br>evacuate, whole buildings or sites, working in conjunction with other site<br>users where necessary.   | Ŷ                                  | Arrangements should be:<br>• current<br>• in line with current national guidance<br>• in line with risk assessment<br>• tested regularly<br>• signed off by the appropriate mechanism<br>• shared appropriately with those required to use them<br>• outline any equipment requirements<br>• outline any saft fraining required  | Documented in VOYCCG Business Continuity Plans which are on $\Upsilon$ Drive.  | Fully compliant  |  | Abby Coombes |           |          |
| 24 Command and control     | On-call mechanism   | A resilient and dedicated EPRR on-call mechanism is in place 24 / 7 to<br>receive notifications relating to business continuity incidents, critical<br>incidents and major incidents.<br>This should provide the facility to respond to or escalate notifications to<br>an executive level.   | Ŷ                                  | Process explicitly described within the EPRR policy statement     On call Standards and expectations are set out   | VOYCCG operates a 24/7 on-cal Director rota.<br>On-Call Director is contacted via Flatet mobile number and links to EPRR Escalation<br>Policy/Action Cards for Emergency Accountable Officer and VOYCCG on-Call Policy<br>both policies approved by Governing Body in September 2019.  | Fully compliant  | EPRR Policy to be approved by Governing Body September 2019  | Fliss Wood   | Sep-19    |          |
| 25 Command and control     | Trained on-call staff                                     | On-call staff are trained and competent to perform their role, and are in<br>a position of delegated authority on behall of the Chief Executive<br>Officer / Clinical Commissioning Group Accountable Officer.<br>The identified individual:<br>• Should be trained according to the NHS England EPRR<br>competencies (National Occupational Standards)<br>• Can determine whether a critical, major or business continuity incident<br>has occurred<br>• Has a specific process to adopt during the decision making<br>• Is aware who should be consulted and informed during decision<br>making<br>• Should ensure appropriate records are maintained throughout.   | ¥                                  | <ul> <li>Process explicitly described within the EPRR policy statement</li> </ul>  | VOYCCG On-Call Policy details role and responsibilities of the on-Call Director<br>EPRR Policy has action cards for key roles:- EAD, holdert Emegency Planning Co-<br>ordinator, Communications Lead and Loggist.<br>TNA undertaken for all new on-Call Directors prior to joining 247 rota.<br>EPRR Manager amaintains a log of all EPRR Training and uses EPRR, On-Call and<br>York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escalation Pramework to train on-Call<br>Directors.   | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood   |           |          |
| 26 Training and exercising | EPRR Training   | The organisation carries out training in line with a training needs<br>analysis to ensure staff are competent in their role; training records are<br>kept to demonstrate this.  | Ŷ                                  | Process explicitly described within the EPRR policy statement     Evidence of a training needs analysis     Training records for all staff on call and those performing a role within     the ICC     Training materials     Evidence of personal training and exercising portfolios for key staff   | EPRR Policy has action cards for key roles:- EAO, incident Emergency Planning Co-<br>ordinator, Communications Lead and Loggist.<br>VOVCCG on-Cal Policy details the nole and responsibility of the on-Call Director<br>TNA undertaken for all new on-Call Directors prior to joining 247 rota.<br>EPRR Mmager maintains a log of all EPRR Training and uses EPRR, On-Call and<br>York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escatation Framework to train on-Call<br>Directors.<br>On-call Directors record any incidents/events in their log books.   | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood   |           |          |
| 27 Training and exercising | EPRR exercising and testing programme                     | The organisation has an exercising and testing programme to safely<br>test major incident, critical incident and business continuity response<br>arrangements.<br>Organisations should meet the following exercising and testing<br>requirements:<br>• a six-morthy communications test<br>• a nixual table top exercise<br>• live exercise at least once every three years<br>• low exercise at least once every three years.<br>• the exercise as relast once every three years.<br>• there exercises relevant to local risks<br>• meet the needs of the organisation type and stakeholders<br>• ensure warning and informing arrangements are effective.<br>Lessons identified must be captured, recorded and acted upon as part<br>of continuous improvement. | Y                                  | Exercising Schedule     Evidence of post exercise reports and embedding learning   | EPRR Manager maintains a log of all EPRR training and exercises.<br>Following Cyber Attack in May 2016, VOYCCG produced a de-breif report which<br>identified issues that needed addressing. CCG subsequently received action plan<br>and assurance from both VHT and BMED detailing actions taken following the<br>Cyber attack. CCG contacted all GP surgeries and compiled list of emergency mobile<br>harms binabins.<br>Coll as attack in them VOYCCG participate in NHSE Table Top Exercise<br>Accentus on 13 June 2018 and FW attended the subsequent de-brief on 27 June<br>2018 at Cuarry House.<br>CVCG attended the TEVV White Rose Exercise to test Command & Control over<br>3 sites on 7 June 2018.<br>CCCG anticipated in YHFT LIVEX Event to test the ED response to a Mass Casually<br>VOYCCG attended the TEVV White Rose Exercise to test Command & Control over<br>3 sites on 7 June 2018.<br>CCCG participated in YHFT LIVEX Event to test the ED response to a Mass Casually<br>VTF subset on the Site of the Casualities was notified by NHSE and CCG sent out<br>origination and the Casualities was notified by NHSE and CCG sent out<br>origination and the Casualities was noted by the de-bind report.<br>Live Exercise - 22 May 2018 - VAS TI Failure was notified by NHSE and CCG sent out<br>origination and the common sent of and de-bind reports to a mode bind on the<br>promount aution most food and de-bind in the sent of the<br>promount protect on sites and control deven to a problem at the data contre<br>at fing which impacted on sites access YAH. Ware resolved same day but VOVCCG<br>had to implement BCM arrangements and use alternative mechanisms to access<br>NHS mail.<br>Heatware communication to CCG staff, GPs and the Public re Level 3 Heatware Alert<br>- July 2019. | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood   |           |          |
| 28 Training and exercising | Strategic and tactical responder training                 | Strategic and tactical responders must maintain a continuous personal<br>development portfolio demonstrating training in accordance with the<br>National Occupational Standards, and / or incident / exercise<br>participation  | ¥                                  | Training records     Evidence of personal training and exercising portfolios for key staff   | VOYCCG has 3 trained loggists and Jo Baxter booked on PHE Loggist training in<br>October 2019.<br>4 members of staff from VOYCCG participated in NHSE Table Top Exercise<br>Accentus on 13 June 2018 and FW attended the subsequent de-brief on 27 June<br>2018 at Quarry House.<br>VOYCCG attended the TEVV White Rose Exercise to test Command & Control over<br>3 sites on 7 June 2018.<br>CCCG participated in THFT LIVE Event to test the ED response to a Mass Casually<br>Event in July 2018. Feedback from the Casualities was provided for the de-brief<br>report.<br>Live COG mainted in THFT LIVE Event to test the ED response to a Mass Casually<br>Event in July 2018. Feedback from the Casualities was provided for the de-brief<br>report.<br>Live COG mainted and the TOVCCG GPH and partner organisations to<br>advise them of the profilem within was fixed and de-accelled later the atme day.<br>PHE Community Infectious Disease Outbreak - Exercise Genvinis - September 2018<br>CVC Counter Terrorism Event at Wes Offices - November 2018<br>PHE Structured De-Brief Course - May 2019<br>NYCCM Counter Teament Workshop to test the PIAn - May 2019<br>Telecoms Failure Workshop - Black Start - June 2019  | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood   |           |          |
| 30 Response                | Incident Co-ordination<br>Centre (ICC)                    | The organisation has a preidentified Incident Co-ordination Centre<br>(ICC) and alternative fall-back location(s).<br>Both locations should be annually tested and exercised to ensure they<br>are fit for purpose, and supported with documentation for its activation<br>and operation.   | Ŷ                                  | Documented processes for establishing an ICC     Maps and diagrams     A tasting schedule     A training schedule     Pre identified roles and responsibilities, with action cards     Pre identified roles and responsibilities, with action cards     Pomonstrain ICC location is resilient to loss of utilities, including     telecommunications, and external hazards     Denoise responsibility and the activities and the second | CCG would establish ICC in our POD at West Offices - this is has telecorif facility<br>and is used on daily basis by CCG staff. VOVCCG is co-located with CVC and if<br>there was a major incident it is highly likely that CCG staff would join the Category 1<br>Responders in York either at West Offices, Fulford Police Station or the Operations<br>Room, 2nd Floor at York height at West Offices, Fulford Police Station or the Operations<br>Room, 2nd Floor at York height<br>EPPR Policy contains action cards for AEO, EPRR Tactical Lead, Comms Lead and<br>Loggists.<br>EPRR Lead maintains training log and exercise schedule for VOYCCG staff.   | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood   |           |          |
| 31 Response                | Access to planning<br>arrangements                        | Version controlled, hard copies of all response arrangements are<br>available to relevant staff at all times. Staff should be aware of where<br>they are stored and should be easily accessible.  | Y                                  | Planning arrangements are easily accessible - both electronically and hard copies  | EPPR documents are located on the 'Y Drive under Emergency Planning.<br>All on-Call Directons are issued with a paper on-Call Plack which contains a copy of<br>the EPRR Policy and Action Cards for AEO, York & Scarborough A&E Delivey Board<br>Escalation Framework, On-Call Placity, Log Book, Emergency Contacts List and<br>Fiestel Instructions. EPRR Lead and Head of Legal & Governance.  | Fully compliant  |  | Fliss Wood   |           |          |
| 32 Response<br>33 Response | Management of business<br>continuity incidents<br>Loggist | In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has<br>effective arrangements in place to respond to a business continuity<br>incident (as defined within the EPRR Framework).<br>The organisation has 24 hour access to a trained logist(s) to ensure<br>decisions are recorded during business continuity incidents, critical<br>incidents and major incidents. Key response staff are aware of the<br>need for keeping their own personal records and logs to the required<br>standards.   | Y<br>Y                             | Business Continuity Response plans     Documented processes for accessing and utilising loggists     Training records  | BCP documents are filed on the "Y Drive and are accessible to all CCG staff.<br>Governmore Team Keep a paper copy of all BCP Plans.<br>EPRR Manager maintains training log and exercise schedule for all VOYCCG staff<br>including loggists.<br>EPRR Manager has mobile phone number for loggists so able to contact out of hours<br>if there was a mergemcy but they are NOT on-call.   | Fully compliant Fully compliant  | Jo Baxter attending Loggist traiing in Leeds in October 2019 | Abby Coombes |           |          |

|                          |  |  |                                    |  |   | Self assessment RAG  |   |                 |           |          |
|--------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|-----------------|-----------|----------|
|                          |  |  |                                    |  |   | Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the core standard. The<br>organisation's EPRR work programme shows compliance will not be<br>reached within the next 12 months.   |   |                 |           |          |
| Ref Domain               | Standard   | Detail   | Clinical<br>Commissioning<br>Group | Evidence - examples listed below   | Organisational Evidence   | Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant with core standard.<br>However, the organisation's EPRR work programme demonstrates<br>sufficient evidence of progress and an action plan to achieve full<br>compliance within the next 12 months. | Action to be taken  | Lead            | Timescale | Comments |
|                          |  |  |                                    |  |   | Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with core standard.  |   |                 |           |          |
| 34 Response              | Situation Reports  | The organisation has processes in place for receiving, completing,<br>authorising and submitting situation reports (SitReps) and briefings<br>during the response to business continuity incidents, critical incidents<br>and major incidents.   | Y                                  | Documented processes for completing, signing off and submitting<br>SitReps     Evidence of testing and exercising  | VOYCCG receives daily SitRep reports from York Teaching Hospitals NHS<br>Foundation Trust.  | Fully compliant  |   | Fliss Wood      |           |          |
| 37 Warning and informing | Communication with<br>partners and<br>stakeholders         | The organisation has processes for warning and informing the public  |                                    | personal social media accounts whilst the organisation is in incident<br>response<br>• Using lessons identified from previous major incidents to inform the<br>development of future incident response communications<br>• Having a systematic process for tracking information flows and<br>logging information requests and being able to deal with multiple<br>requests for information as part of normal business processes<br>• Being able to demonstrate that publication of plans and assessments<br>is part of a joined-up communications strategy and part of your<br>organisation's warning and informing work   | LIVE Communications exercise 22 May 2019 when CCG were advesd by NHS-d use<br>to 1T failure VRA were unable to respond to 969, 111 and PTS calls. VOICCG sent<br>immediate email to CP practices, SRCCG and partner organisations to advise<br>colleagues of the T failure and that calls were being diverse to EMAS. A further<br>email was sent later in the day to advise that IT systems had been recovered and VRS<br>envirose were again operating ab busines as normal.<br>Where appropriate and necessary information is shared on a Confidential and<br>Sensitive bases with ThusateMamed TeXRL and Son J, which Simolian Maying<br>provide the set of the state of the state of the set of the set of the<br>short on solid media use as an employeed the organization.<br>http://www.valeedyorkcg.nhs.uk/data/sploads/publications/policiesl-glig-03-internet-<br>email-and-acceptable-use-policy-43.pd. | Fully compliant  |   | Sharron Hegarty |           |          |
| 38 Warning and informing | Warning and informing                                      | The organisation has processes built withing and informing the public<br>(patients, visitors and wide population) and start during major<br>incidents, critical incidents or business continuity incidents.  | Y                                  | Be able to demonstrate consideration of target audience when<br>publishing materials (including staff, public and other agencies)<br>Communicating with the public to encourage and empower the<br>community to help themselves in an emergency in a way which<br>complements the response or responders   | York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework details the escalation<br>and de-escaliton processes with our partner organisations across York and North<br>Yorkshire using national OPEL level reporting.<br>Following Oyber Attack in May 2016, VOVCCG has both an email distribution list and<br>emergency phone contact list for all staff and GP practices so that we are able to<br>contact key personnel.<br>VOVCCG owness is used to inform the public of events such as Heatneves, Staying<br>Zale in Cold Weather, Fu vaccination campaigns.<br>VOVCCG Comms Team works with NHSE and partner organisations across York and<br>NOth Yorkshire bensive consistent messages are given to the public and NHS staff.<br>e.g. Heatneve communications in July 2019.  | Fully compliant  |   | Sharron Hegarty |           |          |
| 39 Warning and informing | ) Media strategy   | The organisation has a media strategy to enable rapid and structured<br>communication with the public (patients, visitors and wider population)<br>and staff. This includes identification of and access to a trained media<br>spokespeople able to represent the organisation to the media at all<br>times.   | ¥                                  | <ul> <li>Have emergency communications response arrangements in place</li> <li>Using lessons identified from previous major incidents to inform the<br/>development of future incident response communications</li> <li>Setting up protocols with the media for warning and informing</li> <li>Having an agreed media strategy which identifies and trans key staff<br/>in dealing with the media including nominating spokespeople and<br/>'talking heads'</li> </ul>   | The CCG on-Call Director is available 24/7 and would be the first contact point during<br>an incident/emergency. The Head of Communications & Media Relations leads and<br>nanages all contracts with local and attional media and, atthough the is not on-call,<br>can be combacted and heno the to assist in an emergency. Some members of the<br>professionally media trained. The CCA and has a duty to or-operate,<br>share information and caliborate with multi-agency partners, including MISE, PHE<br>and Local Authorities to facilitate a combined response to an incident. VOYCCG<br>Comma Team works with MHSE and partner organisations across York and Neth<br>Yorkshire to ensure consistent messages are given to the public and NHS staff.  | Fully compliant  |   | Sharron Hegarty |           |          |
| 40 Cooperation           | LRHP attendance  | The Accountable Emergency Officer, or an appropriate director,<br>attends (no less than 75% annually) Local Health Resilience<br>Partnership (LHRP) meetings.  | Y                                  | Minutes of meetings  | Fliss Wood, Designated Deputy, has attended over 75% of LHRP meetings.  | Partially compliant  | Phil Mettam to attend LHRP                                | Phil Mettam     |           |          |
| 41 Cooperation           | LRF / BRF attendance                                       | The organisation participates in, contributes to or is adequately<br>represented at Local Resilience Forum (LRF) or Borough Resilience<br>Forum (BRF), demonstrating engagement and co-operation with<br>partner responders.   | Y                                  | Minutes of meetings     Governance agreement if the organisation is represented  | NHSE represents the CCG at the LRF.   | Fully compliant  |   | NHSE            |           |          |
| 42 Cooperation           | Mutual aid arrangements                                    | The organisation has agreed mutual aid arrangements in place<br>outlining the process for requesting, coordinating and maintaining<br>mutual aid resources. These arrangements may include staff,<br>equipment, services and supplies.<br>These arrangements may be formal and should include the process for<br>requesting Military Aid to Civil Authorities (MACA) via NHS England.                  | ¥                                  | Detailed documentation on the process for requesting, receiving and<br>managing mutual aid requests     Signed mutual aid agreements where appropriate   | York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework details the escalation<br>and de-escalation processes with our partner organisations across York and North<br>Yorkshire using national OPEL level reporting.<br>CCG would escalate any request for motual aid to NHSE as per agreed process.   | Fully compliant  |   | NHSE            |           |          |
| 46 Cooperation           | Information sharing  | The organisation has an agreed protocol(s) for sharing appropriate<br>information with stakeholders, during major incidents, critical incidents<br>or business continuity incidents.   | Y                                  | Documented and signed information sharing protocol     Evidence relevant guidance has been considered, e.g. Freedom of Information Act 2000, General Data Protection Regulation and the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 'duty to communicate with the public'.  | Signed Data Sharing Framework Contract with NHSE/NHS Digitial renewed in March<br>2019 for a period of 3 years.<br>Signed up to North Yorkshire overarching ISP since November 2015   | Fully compliant  |   | Abby Coombes    |           |          |
| 47 Business Continuity   | BC policy statement  | The organisation has in place a policy which includes a statement of<br>intent to undertake business continuity. This includes the comitmement<br>to a Business Continuity Management System (BCMS) in alignment to  | Y                                  | Demonstrable a statement of intent outlining that they will undertake BC<br>- Policy Statement   | Business Continuity Policy live on CCG website, updated January 2018 - see s.13<br>for BCMS implementation for renewal January 2020.  | Fully compliant  |   | Abby Coombes    |           |          |
| 48 Business Continuity   | BCMS scope and<br>objectives                               | the ISO standard 22301.<br>The organisation has established the scope and objectives of the<br>BCMS in relation to the organisation, specifying the risk management<br>process and how this will be documented.  | Y                                  | BCMS should detail:<br>• Scope e.g. key products and services within the scope and exclusions<br>from the scope<br>• Objectives of the system<br>• The requirement to undertake BC e.g. Statutory, Regulatory and<br>contractual duties<br>• Specific reles within the BCMS including responsibilities,<br>competencies and authorities.<br>• The risk management processes for the organisation i.e. how risk will<br>be assessed and documented (e.g. Risk Register), the acceptable<br>level of risk and risk review and monitoring process<br>• Resource requirements<br>• Communications strategy with all staff to ensure they are aware of<br>their roles | See the Business Continuity Policy dated January 2018.  | Fully compliant  |   | Abby Coombes    |           |          |
| 49 Business Continuity   | Business Impact<br>Assessment                              | The organisation annually assesses and documents the impact of<br>disruption to its services through Business Impact Analysis(s).  |                                    | Stakehniders     Documented process on how BIA will be conducted, including:     the method to be used     the frequency of review     the the information will be used to inform planning     +how RA is used to support  | Business Continuity policy updated to include annual review of BIA.   | Fully compliant  |   | Abby Coombes    |           |          |
| 50 Business Continuity   | Data Protection and<br>Security Toolkit                    | Organisation's Information Technology department certify that they are<br>compliant with the Data Protection and Security Toolkit on an annual<br>basis  | Y                                  | Statement of compliance  | Confirmation from EMBED in March 2019 that 'Standards Met'.   | Fully compliant  |   | Helena Nowell   |           |          |
| 51 Business Continuity   | Business Continuity<br>Plans                               | basis.<br>The organisation has established business continuity plans for the<br>management of incidents. Detailing how it will respond, recover and<br>manage its services during disruptions to:<br>• people<br>• information and data<br>• premises<br>• suppliers and contractors<br>• IT and infrastructure<br>These plans will be reviewed regularly (at a minimum annually), or<br>the suppliers | ¥                                  | Documented evidence that as a minimum the BCP checklist is<br>covered by the various plans of the organisation   | Business Continuity Policy live on CCG website, updated January 2018 - see s.13<br>for BCMS implementation for renewal January 2020.  | Fully compliant  |   | Abby Coombes    |           |          |
| 52 Business Continuity   | BCMS monitoring and evaluation                             | following organisational chance or incidents and exercises.<br>The organisation's BCMS is monitored, measured and evaluated<br>against established Key Performance Indicators. Reports on these and<br>the outcome of any exercises, and status of any corrective action are<br>annually reported to the board.  | Y                                  | EPRR policy document or stand alone Business continuity policy     Board papers  | Accountable Officer to present EPPR Self-Assessment to VOYCCG Governing Body<br>and agree compliance rating Sept 2019   | Fully compliant  | EPRR Self-Assesment to go to Governing Body - 5 Sept 2019 | Phil Mettam     |           |          |
| 53 Business Continuity   | BC audit   | The organisation has a process for internal audit, and outcomes are<br>included in the report to the board.  | Y                                  | EPRR policy document or stand alone Business continuity policy     Board papers     Audit reports  | Internal Audit of EPRR & BCM in October 2017 gave Significant Assurance.  | Fully compliant  | Internal Audit due to review BC Plans Q3 2019             | Abby Coombes    |           |          |
| 54 Business Continuity   | BCMS continuous<br>improvement process                     | There is a process in place to assess the effectivness of the BCMS<br>and take corrective action to ensure continual improvement to the<br>BCMS.   | Y                                  | EPRR policy document or stand alone Business continuity policy     Board papers     Action plans   | Action planning is included as part of the action log for the Governance Committee  | Fully compliant  |   | Abby Coombes    |           |          |
| 55 Business Continuity   | Assurance of<br>commissioned providers<br>/ suppliers BCPs | The organisation has in place a system to assess the business<br>continuity plans of commissioned providers or suppliers; and are<br>assured that these providers business continuity arrangements work<br>with their own.   | Y                                  | EPRR policy document or stand alone Business continuity policy     Provider/supplier assurance framework     Provider/supplier business continuity arrangements  | CCG Contracting Team have copies of BCPs for Providers - evidenced at the Internal<br>Audit.  | Fully compliant  |   | Liza Smithson   |           |          |
|                          |  |  |                                    |  | 1   |  |   |                 | ļ         |          |

|                            |   |                     |  |                        |  |  | Self assessment RAG  |                    |      |           |          |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------|------|-----------|----------|
|                            |   |                     |  | Clinical               |  |  | Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the<br>core standard. The organisation's EPRR work<br>programme shows compliance will not be<br>reached within the next 12 months.  |                    |      |           |          |
| Ref                        | Domain                                  | Standard            | Detail   | Commissioning<br>Group | Evidence - examples listed below   | Organisational Evidence  | Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant<br>with core standard. However, the organisation's<br>EPRR work programme demonstrates sufficient<br>evidence of progress and an action plan to<br>achieve full compliance within the next 12<br>months. | Action to be taken | Lead | Timescale | Comments |
|                            |   |                     |  |                        |  |  | Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with<br>core standard.   |                    |      |           |          |
| Deep Dive -<br>Domain: Sev | Severe Weather<br>vere Weather Response |                     |  |                        |  |  |  |                    |      |           |          |
| 1                          | Severe Weather response                 | Overheating         | The organisation's heatwave plan allows for the<br>identification and monitoring of inpatient and staff areas<br>that overheat (For community and MH inpatient area may<br>include patients own home, or nursing/care home facility)   | Y                      | The monitoring processes is explicitly<br>identified in the organisational heatwave plan.<br>This includes staff areas as well as inpatient<br>areas. This process clearly identifies relevant<br>temperature triggers and subsequent actions.   | modern open-plan building with air   | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 2                          | Severe Weather<br>response              | Overheating         | The organisation has contingency arrangements in place<br>to reduce temperatures (for example MOUs or SLAs for<br>cooling units) and provide welfare support to inpatients<br>and staff in high risk areas (For community and MH<br>inpatient area may include patients own home, or<br>nursing/care home facility)  | Y                      |  | West Offices is a newly refurbished<br>modern open-plan building with air<br>movement devices to ensure air<br>circulation around the building.  | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 3                          | Severe Weather<br>response              | Staffing            | The organisation has plans to ensure staff can attend<br>work during a period of severe weather (snow, flooding or<br>heatwave), and has suitable arrangements should<br>transport fail and staff need to remain on sites. (Includes<br>provision of 4x4 where needed)   | Y                      | work<br>- Arrangements to maintain services, including<br>how staff may be brought to site during<br>disruption<br>- Arrangements for placing staff into<br>accommodation should they be unable to   | BCP Plans for each team detail<br>arrangements for staff if they cannot<br>attend work.  | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 4                          | Severe Weather<br>response              | Service provision   | Organisations providing services in the community have<br>arrangements to allow for caseloads to be clinically<br>prioritised and alterative support delivered during periods<br>of severe weather disruption. (This includes midwlfery in<br>the community, mental health services, district nursing<br>etc)  |                        | return home.<br>The organisations arrangements identify how<br>staff will prioritise patients during periods of<br>severe weather, and alternative delivery<br>methods to ensure continued patient care  |  | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 5                          | Severe Weather<br>response              | Discharge           | The organisation has polices or processes in place to<br>ensure that any vulnerable patients (including community,<br>mental health, and maternity services) are discharged to a<br>warm home or are referred to a local single point-of-<br>contact health and housing referral system if appropriate,<br>in line with the NICE Guidelines on Excess Winter<br>Deaths | Y                      | vulnerability to cold or heat with other   | Daily meeting between YHFT and<br>Local Authorities re DTOCs.<br>Mini MADE meetings held weekly on<br>Wednesdays.<br>24/7 on-call Director arrangements<br>across all partner orranisations.                 | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 6                          | Severe Weather<br>response              | Access              | Deams.<br>The organisation has arrangements in place to ensure<br>site access is maintained during periods of snow or cold<br>weather, including gritting and clearance plans activated<br>by predefined triggers  | Y                      | The organisation arrangements have a clear<br>trigger for the pre-emptive placement of grit on<br>key roadways and pavements within the<br>organisations boundaries. When snow / ice<br>occurs there are clear triggers and actions to<br>clear priority roadways and pavements.<br>Arrangements may include the use of a third<br>party gritting or snow clearance service. | CYC own West Offices and have<br>management responsibility for both re-<br>active and PPM.<br>CYC are a Highways Authority and<br>therefore have access at all times to<br>specialist equipment to deal with | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 7                          | Severe Weather<br>response              | Assessment          | The organisation has arrangements to assess the impact<br>of National Severe Weather Warnings (including Met<br>Office Cold and Heatwave Alents, Daily Air Quality Index<br>and Flood Forecasting Centre alerts) and takes<br>predefined action to mitigate the impact of these where<br>necessary   | Y                      | These arrangements should identify the role(s)   | on weather warnings. Close working<br>relationship with the Local Authority<br>who received Daily Air Quality Index  | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 8                          | Severe Weather<br>response              | Flood prevention    | The organisation has planned preventative maintenance<br>programmes are in place to ensure that on site drainage<br>is clear to reduce flooding risk from surface water, this<br>programme takes into account seasonal variations.   | Y                      | Planned Preventative Maintenance<br>programmes for its assets. Where third party<br>owns the drainage system there is a clear  | CYC own West Offices and have<br>managemet responsible for both re-<br>active and PPM.<br>CYC are a Highways Authority and<br>work closely with the Environment  | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 9                          | Severe Weather<br>response              | Flood response      | The organisation is aware of, and where applicable<br>contributed to, the Local Resilience Forum Multi Agency<br>Flood Plan. The organisation understands its role in this<br>plan.  | Y                      | The organisation has reference to its role and<br>responsibilities in the Multi Agency Flood Plan<br>in its arrangements. Key on-call/response<br>staff are clear how to obtain a copy of the Multi<br>Agency Flood Plan   | York.  | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 10                         | Severe Weather<br>response              | Warning and informi | The organisation's communications arrangements include<br>working with the LRF and multiagency partners to wam<br>and intorm, before and during, periods of Severe<br>Weather, including the use of any national messaging for<br>Heat and Cold.   | Y                      | teams in the event of Severe Weather alerts<br>and or response. This includes the ability for<br>the organisation to issue appropriate<br>messaging 24/7. Communications plans are   |  | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |

|            |                                  |                  |  |                                    |  |   | Self assessment RAG<br>Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the<br>core standard. The organisation's EPRR work<br>programme shows compliance will not be   |                    |      |           |          |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|------|-----------|----------|
|            |                                  |                  |  |                                    |  |   | reached within the next 12 months.   |                    |      |           |          |
| Ref        | Domain                           | Standard         | Detail   | Clinical<br>Commissioning<br>Group | Evidence - examples listed below   | Organisational Evidence   | Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant<br>with core standard. However, the organisation's<br>EPRR work programme demonstrates sufficient<br>evidence of progress and an action plan to<br>achieve full compliance within the next 12<br>months. | Action to be taken | Lead | Timescale | Comments |
|            |                                  |                  |  |                                    |  |   | Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with<br>core standard.   |                    |      |           |          |
| 11         | Severe Weather<br>response       | Flood response   | The organisation has plans in place for any preidentified<br>areas of their site(s) at risk of looding. These plans<br>include response to flooding and evacuation as required.  | Y                                  | The organisation has evidence that it regularly<br>risk assesses its sites against flood risk<br>(pluvial, fluvial and coastal flooding). It has<br>clear site specific arrangements for flood<br>response, for known key high risk areas. On-<br>site flood plans are in place for at risk areas of<br>the organisations site(s). | York.   | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 12         | Severe Weather<br>response       | Risk assess      | The organisation has identified which severe weather<br>events are likely to impact on its patients, services and<br>staff, and takes account of these in emergency plans and<br>business continuity arrangements.   | Y                                  | appropriate plans to address these.  | The Organisation has an approach to<br>risk which means that risk registers<br>should not include matters which are<br>not current risks or where mitigation<br>has reduced the risk to a tolerable<br>level. The CCG has therefore had a<br>flooding event in 2015 which led to<br>steps being taken to mitigate and<br>manage this risk in future. This means | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
|            |                                  |                  |  |                                    |  | that the risk is now at a tolerable level<br>and therefore does not appear on the<br>risk register as a result of the<br>comprehensive plans in place.  |  |                    |      |           |          |
| 13         | Severe Weather<br>response       | Supply chain     | The organisation is assured that its suppliers can<br>maintain services during periods of severe weather, and<br>periods of disruption caused by these.  | Y                                  | of seeking risk based assurance from   | BCPs are requestd from all suppliers<br>as part of the procurement process for<br>VOYCCG.<br>CMB allow the CCG an opportunity to<br>seek specific assurance where issues<br>have been raised. E.g. burst pipes<br>above the theatres at Nuffield, York.   | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 14         | Severe Weather<br>response       | Exercising       | The organisation has exercised its arrangements (against<br>a reasonable worst case scenario), or used them in an<br>actual severe weather incident resportse, and they were<br>effective in managing the risks they were exposed to.<br>From these event lessons were identified and have been<br>incorporated into revised arrangements.   | Y                                  | arrangements have been tested in the past 12<br>months and learning has resulted in changes<br>to its response arrangements.   | December 2015. These are reviewed<br>annually.<br>CYC employ a team of Flood Risk<br>Engineers to monitor the flood risk in<br>the Citv of York   | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 15         | Severe Weather<br>response       | ICT BC           | The organisations ICT Services have been thoroughly<br>exercised and equipment tested which allows for remote<br>access and remole services are able to provide resilience<br>in extreme weather e.g. are cooling systems sized<br>appropriately to cope with heatwave conditions, is the<br>data centre positioned away from areas of flood risk.   | Y                                  | work remotely to maintain identified critical<br>services  |   | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| Domain: lo | ng term adaptation planning      |                  | Are all relevant organisations risks highlighted in the  |                                    | Evidence that the there is an entry in the   | Climate change is not currently   |  |                    |      |           |          |
| 16         | Long term adaptation<br>planning | Risk assess      | Climate Change Risk Assessment are incorporated into<br>the organisations risk register.   | Y                                  | organiations risk register detailing climate<br>change risk and any miligating actions   | documented on the organisation risk<br>register however the organisation has<br>an approach that where new projects<br>and business cases are considered by<br>the CCG a full impact assessment is<br>carried out which includes<br>sustainability and therefore climate<br>chance  | Partially compliant  |                    |      |           |          |
| 17         | Long term adaptation<br>planning | Overheating risk | The organisation has identified and recorded those parts<br>of their buildings that regularly overheat (exceed 27<br>degrees Celsius) on their risk register. The register<br>identifies the long term mitigation required to address this<br>taking into account the sustainable development<br>commitments in the long term Jan. Such as avoiding<br>mechanical cooling and use of cooling higherachy. | Y                                  |  | West Offices is a newly refurbished<br>modern open-plan building with air<br>movement devices to ensure air<br>circulation around the building.   | Fully compliant  |                    |      |           |          |

| Ref | Domain                        | Standard             | Detail  | Group |  | Organisational Evidence  | Self assessment RAG<br>Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the<br>core standard. The organisation's EPRR work,<br>programme shows compliance will not be<br>reached within the next 12 months.<br>Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant<br>with core standard. However, the organisation's<br>EPRR work programme demonstrates sufficient<br>evidence of progress and an action plan to<br>achieve full compliance within the next 12<br>months.<br>Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with<br>core standard. | Action to be taken | Lead | Timescale | Comments |
|-----|-------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------|--|--|---|--------------------|------|-----------|----------|
| 18  | Long term adaptation planning | Building adaptations | The organisation has in place an adaptation plan which<br>includes necessary modifications to buildings and<br>infrastructure to maintain normal business during extreme<br>temperatures or other extreme weather events. |       | includes suggested building modifications or<br>infrastructure changes in future                           | The building is owned by City of York<br>Council and has been renovated within<br>the last 6 years. The building has<br>facilities to manage the cooling and<br>heating and is in a location which is no<br>prone to flooding. The building has<br>generator facilities to enable business<br>critical services to remain online and<br>the Council maintain responsibility for<br>allowing the premises to remain open<br>allowing the premises to remain open<br>allowing the premises to remain open<br>allowing the premises on the the<br>building cannot be occupied as a result<br>of adverse weather or other event. | Fully compliant   |                    |      |           |          |
| 19  | Long term adaptation planning | Flooding             | The organisations adaptation plans include modifications<br>to reduce their buildings and estates impact on the<br>surrounding environment for example Sustainable Urban<br>Drainage Systems to reduce flood risks.       |       |  | Not applicable as West Offices is built<br>in City centre location.  | Fully compliant   |                    |      |           |          |
| 20  | Long term adaptation planning | New build            | The organisation considers for all its new facilities<br>relevant adaptation requirements for long term climate<br>change   |       | The organisation has relevant documentation<br>that it is including adaptation plans for all new<br>builds |  | Fully compliant   |                    |      |           |          |

|     | Overall asses | ssment:                  |  |  |  |  |             |           |          |
|-----|---------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------------|-----------|----------|
| Ref | Domain        | Standard                 | Detail   | Organisation Evidence  | Self assessment RAG<br>Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with<br>the core standard. The organisation's EPRR<br>work programme shows compliance will not<br>be reached within the next 12 months.<br>Amber (partially compliant) = Not<br>compliant with core standard. However, the<br>organisation's EPRR work programme<br>demonstrates sufficient evidence of progress<br>and an action plan to achieve full compliance<br>within the next 12 months.<br>Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant<br>with core standard. | Action to be taken   | Lead        | Timescale | Comments |
| 2   |               | EPRR Policy<br>Statement | structural and staff changes.                                  | EPRR Policy Statement - Section 2 of EPRR Policy outlines how<br>VOYCCG will meet the duties as Gategory 2 Responder in<br>accordance with the CNI Contingemcy Act 2004, the Health &<br>Social Care Act 2012 and NHSE Core Standards for EPRR and<br>details the roles of key personal. The document has a Version<br>Control and references the associated policies/documents. | Fully compliant  | Updated EPRR Policy to be approved<br>by Governing Body September 2019 | Fliss Wood  | Sep-19    |          |
| 3   | Governance    | EPRR board reports       | include an overview on:<br>• training and exercises undertaken | Phil Mettam, Accountable Officer, includes EPRR updates on a bi-<br>monthly basis in his report to Governing Body. Last update June<br>2019.<br>Substantial Compliance Rating agreed by VOYCCG Governing<br>Body in September 2018 and ratified by VHSE in October 2019.<br>EPRR Self-Assessment 2019 and assurance to be presented to<br>VOYCCG Governing Body September 2019.  |  | EPRR Self-Assesment to go to<br>Governing Body - 5 Sept 2019           | Phil Mettam |           |          |

|     | Overall asses         | sment:                         |  |  |  |   |  |           |          |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|-----------|----------|
| Ref | Domain                | Standard                       | Detail   | Organisation Evidence  | Self assessment RAG<br>Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with<br>the core standard. The organisation's EPRR<br>work programme shows compliance will not<br>be reached within the next 12 months.<br>Maber (partially compliant) = Not<br>compliant with core standard. However, the<br>organisation's EPRR work programme<br>demonstrates sufficient evidence of progress<br>and an action plan to achieve full compliance<br>within the next 12 months.<br>Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant<br>with core standard. | Action to be taken  | Lead                                       | Timescale | Comments |
| 9   | Duty to maintain plar | Collaborative planning         | Plans have been developed in<br>collaboration with partners and<br>service providers to ensure the<br>whole patient pathway is<br>considered.  | York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework<br>details the escalation and de-escaltion plan for 2018/19 using the<br>nationally agreed OPEL Escalation Levels. This document was<br>developed and agreed with partners across North Yorkshire & York<br>including NHSE, VHFT, NYCC, CYC, YAS, FLEWY, Yorkshire & York<br>Doctors Urgent Care, SRCCG and YOVPCCG,<br>VOYCCG has also worked with partners to produce he North<br>Yorkshire & York Mass Treatment and Vaccination Plan. | Fully compliant  | A&ED Board are currently reviewing<br>the OPEL triggers and actions for STP<br>footprint. | Andrew Lee supported by Karen<br>Mazingham |           |          |
| 24  | Command and contro    |                                | A resilient and dedicated EPRR on-<br>call mechanism is in place 24 / 7 to<br>receive notifications relating to<br>business continuity incidents,<br>critical incidents and major<br>incidents.<br>This should provide the facility to<br>respond to or escalate notifications<br>to an executive level.               | VOYCCG operates a 24/7 on-cal Director rota.<br>On-Call Director is contacted via Flextel mobile number and links to<br>EPRR Escalation Policy/Action Cards for Emergency Accountable<br>Officer and VOYCCG on-Call Dericy hoth policies anorwed by  |  | EPRR Policy to be approved by<br>Governing Body September 2019                            | Fliss Wood                                 | Sep-19    |          |
| 33  | Response              | Loggist                        | The organisation has 24 hour<br>access to a trained loggist(s) to<br>ensure decisions are recorded<br>during business continuity<br>incidents, critical incidents and<br>major incidents. Key response<br>staff are aware of the need for<br>keeping their own personal records<br>and logs to the required standards. | EPRR Manager maintains training log and exercise schedule for all<br>VOYCCG staff including loggists.<br>EPRR Manager has mobile phone number for loggists so able to<br>contact out of hours if there was an emergency but they are NOT<br>on-call.   | Fully compliant  | Jo Baxter attending Loggist traiing in Leeds in October 2019                              | Fliss Wood                                 |           |          |
| 40  | Cooperation           | LRHP attendance                | The Accountable Emergency<br>Officer, or an appropriate director,<br>attends (no less than 75%<br>annually) Local Health Resilience<br>Partnership (LHRP) meetings.  | Fliss Wood, Designated Deputy, has attended over 75% of LHRP meetings.   | Partially compliant  | Phil Mettam to attend LHRP  | Phil Mettam                                |           |          |
| 52  | Business Continuity   | BCMS monitoring and evaluation | The organisation's BCMS is<br>monitored, measured and<br>evaluated against established Key<br>Performance Indicators. Reports<br>on these and the outcome of any<br>exercises, and status of any<br>corrective action are annually<br>reported to the board.   | Accountable Officer to present EPPR Self- Assessment to<br>VOYCCG Governing Body and agree compliance rating Sept 2019   |  | EPRR Self-Assesment to go to<br>Governing Body - 5 Sept 2019                              | Phil Mettam                                |           |          |

|     | Overall asses                    | sment:      |  |   |  |  |              |           |          |
|-----|----------------------------------|-------------|--|---|--|--|--------------|-----------|----------|
| Ref | Domain                           | Standard    | Detail   |   | Self assessment RAG<br>Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with<br>the core standard. The organisation's EPRR<br>work programme shows compliance will not<br>be reached within the next 12 months.<br>Amber (partially compliant) = Not<br>compliant with core standard. However, the<br>organisation's EPRR work programme<br>demonstrates sufficient evidence of progress<br>and an action plan to achieve full compliance<br>within the next 12 months.<br>Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant<br>with core standard. | Action to be taken                               | Lead         | Timescale | Comments |
| 53  | Business Continuity              | BC audit    |  | Internal Audit of EPRR & BCM in October 2017 gave Significant<br>Assurance.   |  | Internal Audit due to review BC Plans<br>Q3 2019 | Abby Coombes |           |          |
|     | Long term<br>adaptation planning | Risk assess | highlighted in the Climate Change<br>Risk Assessment are incorporated<br>into the organisations risk register. | Climate change is not currently documented on the<br>organisation risk register however the organisation has<br>an approach that where new projects and business<br>cases are considered by the CCG a full impact<br>assessment is carried out which includes sustainability<br>and therefore climate change. | Partially compliant  |  |              |           |          |

# STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

NHS Vale of York CCG has undertaken a self-assessment against required areas of the EPRR Core standards self-assessment tool v1.0

Where areas require further action, NHS Vale of York CCG will meet with the LHRP to review the attached core standards, associated improvement plan and to agree a process ensuring non-compliant standards are regularly monitored until an agreed level of compliance is reached.

Following self-assessment, the organisation has been assigned as an EPRR assurance rating of Substantial (from the four options in the table below) against the core standards.

| Overall EPRR     | Criteria   |
|------------------|--|
| assurance rating |  |
| Fully            | The organisation is 100% compliant with all core standards   |
|                  | they are expected to achieve.                                |
|                  |  |
|                  | The organisation's Board has agreed with this position       |
|                  | statement  |
| Substantial      | The organisation is 89-99% compliant with the core standards |
| Substantial      | they are expected to achieve.                                |
|                  | and y are expected to achieve.                               |
|                  | For each non-compliant core standard, the organisation's     |
|                  | For each non-compliant core standard, the organisation's     |
|                  | Board has agreed an action plan to meet compliance within    |
|                  | the next 12 months.  |
| Partial          | The organisation is 77-88% compliant with the core standards |
|                  | they are expected to achieve.                                |
|                  |  |
|                  | For each non-compliant core standard, the organisation's     |
|                  | Board has agreed an action plan to meet compliance within    |
|                  | the next 12 months.  |
| Non-compliant    | The organisation compliant with 76% or less of the core      |
|                  | standards the organisation is expected to achieve.           |
|                  |  |
|                  | For each non-compliant core standard, the organisation's     |
|                  | Board has agreed an action plan to meet compliance within    |
|                  | the next 12 months.  |
|                  |  |
|                  | The action plans will be monitored on a quarterly basis to   |
|                  | demonstrate progress towards compliance.                     |
| L                | activitate progress tonards complance.                       |

I confirm that the above level of compliance with the core standards has been agreed by the organisation's board / governing body along with the enclosed action plan and governance deep dive responses.

Signed by the organisation's Accountable Emergency Officer

Date signed



# EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, RESILIENCE & RESPONSE POLICY

# August 201<u>9</u>7

| Authorship:                         | Performance & Improvement Manager/<br>Risk & Assurance Manager  |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Reviewing Committee:                | CCG Executive   |
| Date:                               | N/a   |
| Approval Body                       | Governing Body  |
| Approved date:                      | September 2017 tbc  |
| Review Date:                        | September 20 <u>20</u> 19   |
| Equality Impact Assessment          | Yes   |
| Sustainability Impact<br>Assessment | Yes   |
| Related Policies                    | COR 18 On Call Policy<br>COR 16 Business Continuity Policy<br>OPEL Escalation Plan<br>A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework<br>and Delivery Plan<br>On-Call Pack<br>COR 05 Mobile Working Policy<br>HR 20 Home Working Policy |
| Target Audience:                    | All employees, members, committee and<br>sub-committee members of the group and<br>members of the governing body and its<br>committees.   |
| Policy Reference No:                | COR17   |
| Version Number:                     | 3. <u>1</u> <del>0</del>  |

The on-line version is the only version that is maintained. Any printed copies should, therefore, be viewed as 'uncontrolled' and as such may not necessarily contain the latest updates and amendments.

The best health and wellbeing for everyone.

#### POLICY AMENDMENTS

Amendments to the Policy will be issued from time to time. A new amendment history will be issued with each change.

| New<br>Version<br>Number                      | Issued by   | Nature of Amendment   | Approved<br>by & Date   | Date on<br>Intranet<br>Website |
|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 0.1   | Performance<br>&<br>Improvement<br>Manager                                | First Draft   |   |                                |
| 0.2   | Policy &<br>Assurance<br>Manager<br>Performance<br>&<br>Improvement       | VOYCCG Policy Formatting<br>Update to definitions<br>Update to accountabilities and<br>responsibilities<br>Updates to Action Cards<br>Checklists  | Governing<br>Body<br>December<br>2014                                       |                                |
| 1.0<br>1.1<br>1.2                             | Performance<br>Improvement<br>Manager                                     | APPROVED<br>Remove NHSE tel. number<br>Update NHSE Area Team ref. and<br>incident level definitions to bring<br>into line with NHSE published<br>EPRR framework. SRG ref<br>updated to A&E Delivery Board<br>APPROVED   | Governing<br>Body: Oct<br>16<br>Chief<br>Operating<br>Officer: 11<br>Oct 16 |                                |
| 2.0   |   |   |   |                                |
| 2.1   | Performance<br>Improvement<br>Manager<br>Risk and<br>Assurance<br>Manager | Replaced NHSE North Yorkshire<br>& Humber with NHSE Area Team<br>(North).<br>Para 5.2: addition of reference to<br>CCG Constitution emergency<br>powers<br>Formatting in compliance with<br>CCG Policy on Policies<br>Links to National Risks<br>Update to National Threat Levels<br>Updated risk assessments<br>published by the North Yorkshire | Governing<br>Body,<br>September<br>2017                                     |                                |
| 3.0<br>3.1                                    | Performance   | Resilience Forum<br>Remove ref to LHRP Subgroup   | Governing   |                                |
| <u>v 4.0</u><br><u>When</u><br><u>Aproved</u> | Improvement<br>Manager  | Replace A&E Delivery Board with<br>Health and Care Resilience Board   | Body,<br>September<br>2019  |                                |
|   |   |   |   |                                |

Formatted: Space Before: 6 pt

To request this document in a different language or in a different format, please contact: Sharron Hegarty, Communications Manager

Sharron Hegarty, Communications Manager Telephone: 07718 192232 Sharron.hegarty@nhs.net

| NHS Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group<br>EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS RESILIENCE AND RESPONSE POLIC | ć            |
|---|--------------|
| CONTENTS  |              |
| SECTION A-POLICY  | 5            |
| 1. INTRODUCTION   | 5            |
| 2. POLICY STATEMENT   | 5            |
| 3IMPACT ANALYSES  |              |
| 4. SCOPE of POLICY  | <u></u> 6    |
| 5. PRINCIPAL LEGISLATION AND STANDARDS  | <u></u> 6    |
| 6ROLES / RESPONSIBILITIES / DUTIES  | <u></u> 7    |
| 7. DISSEMINATION, TRAINING & REVIEW   | <u> </u>     |
| SECTION B: IDENTIFYING SIGNIFICANT INCIDENTS OR EMERGENCIE  | <u>S</u> 11  |
| 8. THE ROLE OF THE CCG WITHIN THE LOCAL AREA  | <u></u> 12   |
| 9. PLANNING AND PREVENTION  | <u></u> 16   |
| 10. RISKS   | <u></u> 17   |
| 11. ESCALATION, ACTIVATION AND RESPONSE   | <u></u> 21   |
| SECTION C: ACTION CARDS   | <u></u> 24   |
| 12. ACTIVATION / ESCALATION FLOWCHART   | <u></u> 34   |
| 13. CONSULTATION, APPROVAL AND RATIFICATION PROCESS   | <u></u> 35   |
| 14. DOCUMENT CONTROL INCLUDING ARCHIVING  |              |
| ARRANGEMENTS  |              |
| 15. IMPLEMENTATION  |              |
| 16. TRAINING & AWARENESS  |              |
| 17. MONITORING & AUDIT  |              |
| 18REVIEW  |              |
| 19. REFERENCES  |              |
| 20. ASSOCIATED POLICIES/DOCUMENTS   |              |
| 21. CONTACT DETAILS   |              |
| 22. LIST OF APPENDICES  |              |
| 23. APPENDIX 1: EQUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM   |              |
| 25. APPENDIX 2: SUSTAINABILITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT  | _            |
| 26. APPENDIX 3 ABBREVIATIONS  |              |
| SECTION A-POLICY  |              |
| 1. INTRODUCTION   |              |
| 2. POLICY STATEMENT   |              |
| 3. IMPACT ANALYSES  |              |
| 4. SCOPE of POLICY  |              |
| 5. PRINCIPAL LEGISLATION AND STANDARDS  |              |
| 6. ROLES / RESPONSIBILITIES / DUTIES  | <del>6</del> |

3 | P a g e

| NHS Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group<br>EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS RESILIENCE AND RESPONSE POLICY |      |
|--|------|
| 7.—DISSEMINATION, TRAINING & REVIEW  | 8    |
| SECTION B: IDENTIFYING SIGNIFICANT INCIDENTS OR EMERGENCIES.   |      |
| 8.—THE ROLE OF THE CCG WITHIN THE LOCAL AREA   | .11  |
| 9.—PLANNING AND PREVENTION   | . 15 |
| 10RISKS  | .16  |
| 11. ESCALATION, ACTIVATION AND RESPONSE  |      |
| SECTION C: ACTION CARDS  |      |
| 12ACTIVATION / ESCALATION FLOWCHART  |      |
| 13 CONSULTATION, APPROVAL AND RATIFICATION PROCESS   | .33  |
| 14. DOCUMENT CONTROL INCLUDING ARCHIVING<br>ARRANGEMENTS   | 33   |
| 15IMPLEMENTATION   |      |
| 16TRAINING & AWARENESS   | .33  |
| 17MONITORING & AUDIT   | .33  |
| 18REVIEW   |      |
| 19REFERENCES   |      |
| 20ASSOCIATED POLICIES/DOCUMENTS  |      |
| 21CONTACT DETAILS  |      |
| 22LIST OF APPENDICES   |      |
| 23 APPENDIX 1: EQUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM   | .35  |
| 25 APPENDIX 2: SUSTAINABILITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT  | .40  |
| 26 APPENDIX 3 ABBREVIATIONS  | .44  |

4 | P a g e

## SECTION A-POLICY

# 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. The NHS needs to be able to plan for and respond to a wide range of incidents and emergencies that could affect health or patient care. These could be anything from severe weather to an infectious disease outbreak or a major transport accident. Under the Civil Contingencies Act (2004), NHS organisations and sub-contractors must show that they can deal with these incidents while maintaining services to patients. This work is referred to in the health service as 'emergency preparedness, resilience and response' (EPRR).
- 1.2. As detailed in NHS England's framework the emergency preparation, resilience and response role of CCGs is to:
  - Ensure contracts with provider organisations contain relevant emergency preparedness, resilience (including business continuity) and response elements
  - Support NHS England in discharging its emergency preparedness, resilience and response functions and duties locally
  - Provide a route of escalation for the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) should a provider fail to maintain necessary emergency preparedness, resilience and response capacity and capability
  - Fulfil the responsibilities as a Category 2 Responder under the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 including maintaining business continuity plans for their own organisation
  - Be represented on the LHRP
  - Be represented at the LHRP sub-group
  - Seek assurance that provider organisations are delivering their contractual obligation.

# 2. POLICY STATEMENT

- 2.1. This policy outlines how NHS Vale of York CCG will meet the duties set out in legislation and associated statutory guidelines, as well as any other issues identified by way of risk assessments as identified in the national risk register.
- 2.2. The aims of this procedural document are to ensure NHS Vale of York CCG acts in accordance with the Civil Contingency Act 2004, the Health & Social Care Act 2012 and any relevant national policy and guidance as issued by the Department of Health in our role as a Category 2 Responder.

## 3. IMPACT ANALYSES

#### Equality

3.1. As a result of performing the screening analysis, the policy does not appear to have any adverse effects on people who share Protected Characteristics and no further actions are recommended at this stage. The results of the screening are attached.

#### Sustainability

3.2. A Sustainability Impact Assessment has been undertaken. Positive and negative impacts are assessed against the twelve sustainability themes. The results of the assessment are attached.

# 4. SCOPE OF POLICY

4.1. This policy applies to those members of staff that are directly employed by NHS Vale of York CCG and for whom NHS Vale of York CCG has legal responsibility. For those staff covered by a letter of authority / honorary contract or work experience this policy is also applicable whilst undertaking duties on behalf of NHS Vale of York CCG or working on NHS Vale of York CCG premises and forms part of their arrangements with NHS Vale of York CCG. As part of good employment practice, agency workers are also required to abide by NHS Vale of York CCG policies and procedures, as appropriate, to ensure their health, safety and welfare whilst undertaking work for NHS Vale of York CCG.

# 5. PRINCIPAL LEGISLATION AND STANDARDS

- 5.1. The following legislation and guidance has been taken into consideration in the development of this procedural document:
  - The Civil Contingencies Act 2004 and associated formal Cabinet Office Guidance
  - The Health and Social Care Act 2012
  - The requirements for Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response Framework.
  - The requirements for Emergency Preparedness, Resilience & Response as set out in the applicable NHS standard contract
  - NHS England's EPRR documents and supporting materials, including NHS England's Business Continuity Management Framework (service resilience) 2013, NHS England's Command and Control Framework for the NHS during significant incidents and emergencies (2013), NHS England's Model Incident Response Plan (national and regional teams) 2013, and NHS England's Core Standards for Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR)

- National Occupational Standards (NOS) for Civil Contingencies

   Skills for Justice
- BSI PAS 2015 Framework for Health Services Resilience
- ISO 22301 Societal Security Business Continuity Management Systems – Requirements

#### The CCG Constitution

5.2. The section in the CCG Constitution referring to emergency powers and urgent decisions applies

# 6. ROLES / RESPONSIBILITIES / DUTIES

- 6.1. LHRP responsibilities
  - Facilitate the production of local sector-wide health plans to respond to emergencies and contribute to multi agency emergency planning.
  - Provide support to NHS England and PHE in assessing and assuring the ability of the health sector to respond in partnership to emergencies at an LRF level.
  - Each constituent organisation remains responsible and accountable for their effective response to emergencies in line with their statutory duties and obligations. The LHRP has no collective role in the delivery of emergency response.
- 6.2. NHS England EPRR Guidance 2013 outlines key Responsibilities as:
  - the Accountable Officer is responsible for ensuring that the CCG has an incident response plan and is able to respond to an emergency;
  - the board is regularly briefed with reports on the CCGs' preparedness;
  - additional risks, training and exercises;
  - an Accountable Emergency Officer is appointed;
  - communications exercise should be carried out every 6 months;
  - a table top exercise should be carried out yearly; and
  - a live exercise should be carried out every 3 years.

#### 6.3. CCG Commitments

- comply with the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 as a category 2 responder;
- comply with the NHS England EPRR guidance 2013;
- publish this plan and distribute it to key partners;
- provide appropriate resources for EPRR;
- undertake regular review and testing of the plan;

- ensure the NHS Trusts they commission health services from comply with NHS guidance and their duties under the Civil Contingencies Act 2004;
- attend the North Yorkshire Local Health Resilience Partnership;
- contribute to an annual report by the NHS England on the health sectors EPRR capability; and
- produce an annual work programme.
- 6.4. Overall accountability for ensuring that there are systems and processes to effectively respond to emergency resilience situations lies with the Chief Officer and the Accountable Emergency Officer.

#### The Accountable Emergency Officer

- 6.5. The Accountable Emergency Officer has responsibility for:
  - Ensuring that the organisation is compliant with the Emergency Preparedness Resilience & Response requirements as set out in the Civil Contingencies Act (2004), the NHS planning framework and the NHS standard contract as applicable.
  - Ensuring that the organisation is properly prepared and resourced for dealing with a major incident or civil contingency event
  - Ensuring the organisation and any providers it commissions, has robust business continuity planning arrangements in place which reflect standards set out in the Framework for Health Services Resilience (PAS 2015) and ISO 22301
  - Ensuring the organisation has a robust surge capacity plan that provides an integrated organisational response and that it has been tested with other providers and parties in the local community(ies) served
  - Ensuring that the organisation complies with any requirements of NHS England, or agents thereof, in respect of the monitoring of compliance
  - Providing NHS England, or agents thereof, with such information as it may require for the purpose of discharging its functions
  - Ensuring that the organisation is appropriately represented at any governance meetings, sub-groups or working groups of the LHRP or Local Resilience Forum (LRF) – which locally is the North Yorkshire LRF.

# **Commissioning and Contracting leads**

6.6. Commissioning and contracting leads have responsibility for ensuring emergency preparedness, resilience and response requirements are embedded within provider contracts.

#### The Health and Care Resilience Board A&E Delivery Board

6.7. The <u>Health and Care Resilience Board A&E Delivery Board</u> has responsibility for effectively managing Surge and Escalation within the area.

#### 7. DISSEMINATION, TRAINING & REVIEW

#### Dissemination

- 7.1. The effective implementation of this procedural document will support openness and transparency. NHS Vale of York CCG will:
  - Ensure all staff and stakeholders have access to a copy of this procedural document via the organisation's website.
  - Communicate to staff any relevant action to be taken in respect of complaints issues.
  - Ensure that relevant training programmes raise and sustain awareness of the importance of effective complaints management.
- 7.2. This procedural document is located on the NHS Vale of York 'Y' Drive, in the Emergency Planning Policy <u>folder</u>.
- 7.3. A set of hardcopy Procedural Document Manuals are held by the Governance Team for business continuity purposes. Staff are notified by email of new or updated procedural documents.

#### Training

7.4. All staff will be offered relevant training commensurate with their duties and responsibilities. Staff requiring support should speak to their line manager in the first instance.

#### Review

- 7.5. As part of its development, this procedural document and its impact on staff, patients and the public has been reviewed in line with NHS Vale of York CCG's Equality Duties. The purpose of the assessment is to identify and if possible remove any disproportionate adverse impact on employees, patients and the public on the grounds of the protected characteristics under the Equality Act.
- 7.6. This procedural document will be reviewed every three years by NHS Vale of York CCG, and in accordance with the following as and when on a required basis:
  - Legislatives changes / Case Law
  - Good practice guidelines
  - Significant incidents reported or new vulnerabilities identified
  - Lessons identified from actual incidents or exercises
  - Changes to organisational infrastructure
  - Changes in practice

7.7. Procedural document management will be performance monitored to ensure that procedural documents are in-date and relevant to the core business of the CCG. The results will be published in the regular Corporate Assurance Reports.

#### SECTION B: IDENTIFYING SIGNIFICANT INCIDENTS OR EMERGENCIES

#### **Overview:**

7.8. This procedure covers the CCG response to a wide range of incidents and emergencies that could affect health or patient care, referred to in the health service as 'emergency preparedness resilience and response' (EPRR).

#### **Definition:**

- 7.9. A significant incident or emergency can be described as any event that cannot be managed within routine service arrangements. Each requires the implementation of special procedures and may involve one or more of the emergency services, the wider NHS or a local authority. A significant incident or emergency may include;
  - a. Any occurrence where the NHS funded organisations are required to implement special arrangements to ensure the effectiveness of the organisation's internal response. This is to ensure that incidents above routine work but not meeting the definition of a major incident are managed effectively.
  - b. An event or situation that threatens serious damage to human welfare in a place in the UK or to the environment of a place in the UK, or war or terrorism which threatens serious damage to the security of the UK. The term "major incident" is commonly used to describe such emergencies. These may include multiple casualty incidents, terrorism or national emergencies such as pandemic influenza.
  - c. An emergency is sometimes referred to by organisations as a major incident. Within NHS funded organisations an emergency is defined as the above for which robust management arrangements must be in place.

#### Types of incident:

- 7.10. An incident may present as a variety of different scenarios, they may start as a response to a routine emergency call or 999 response situation and as this evolves it may then become a significant incident or be declared as a major incident. Examples of these scenarios are:
  - Big Bang a serious transport accident, explosion, or series of smaller incidents.
  - Rising Tide a developing infectious disease epidemic, e.g. Pandemic Flu or Ebola; or a capacity/staffing crisis or industrial action.

- Cloud on the Horizon a serious threat such as a significant chemical or nuclear release developing elsewhere and needing preparatory action.
- Headline news public or media alarm about an impending situation.
- Internal incidents fire, breakdown of utilities, significant equipment failure, hospital acquired infections, violent crime.
- CBRN(e) Deliberate (criminal intent) release of chemical, biological, radioactive, nuclear materials or explosive device.
- HAZMAT Incident involving Hazardous Materials.
- Mass casualties.

#### Incident level:

7.11. As an incident evolves it may be described, in terms of its level, as one to four as identified in the table below.

| NHS | England Incident levels  |
|-----|--|
| 1   | An incident that can be responded to and managed by a local health<br>provider organisation within their respective business as usual<br>capabilities and business continuity plans in liaison with local<br>commissioners.                            |
| 2   | An incident that requires the response of a number of health providers<br>within a defined health economy and will require NHS coordination by<br>the local commissioner(s) in liaison with the NHS England local office.                              |
| 3   | An incident that requires the response of a number of health<br>organisations across geographical areas within a NHS England region.<br>NHS England to coordinate the NHS response in collaboration with local<br>commissioners at the tactical level. |
| 4   | An incident that requires NHS England National Command and Control<br>to support the NHS response.<br>NHS England to coordinate the NHS response in collaboration with local<br>commissioners at the tactical level.                                   |

# 8. THE ROLE OF THE CCG WITHIN THE LOCAL AREA

- 8.1. The CCG is a Category 2 Responder and is seen as a *'co-operating body'*. The CCG is less likely to be involved in the heart of the planning, but will be heavily involved in incidents that affect the local sector through cooperation in response and the sharing of information. Although, as a Category 2 Responder, the CCG has a lesser set of duties, it is vital that the CCG shares relevant information with other responders (both Category 1 and 2) if emergency preparedness, resilience and response arrangements are to succeed.
- 8.2. A significant or major incident could place an immense strain on the resources of the NHS and the wider community, impact on the vulnerable people in our community and could affect the ability of the CCG to work normally. When events like these happen, the CCG's

emergency resilience arrangements will be activated. It is important that all staff are familiar with this procedure and are aware of their responsibilities. Staff should ensure that they are regularly updated to any changes in the emergency response, as notified by the Accountable Emergency Officer. Departments / teams must also maintain accurate contact details of their staff, to ensure that people are accessible during an incident.

#### Major Incident Declared by an Ambulance Service

Yorkshire Ambulance Service NHS Trust is responsible for informing receiving hospitals and the NHSE Area Team whenever the service declares a 'major incident' or 'major incident standby'. NHSE Area Team is also responsible for advising the NHS England of any major incidents or other significant incidents.



#### **Major Incident Declared By Provider**

NHS funded organisations are responsible for informing their commissioning CCGs and the ambulance service whenever they are activated or declare a "major incident" or a "major incident standby."

The CCG will then inform NHSE Area Team.



#### Major Incident Declared by NHS England

The NHS England Area Team is responsible for informing the ambulance services and CCGs of any national, regional or area "major incident," "major incident standby," or similar message where there is a need to respond locally or cross border mutual aid is required. The Ambulance Service will then inform Acute hospitals and the CCG will inform other providers.

# Top Down Cascade by NHS England



#### **Independent Plan Activation**

Any on-call manager may activate the Incident Response Plan regardless of any formal alerting message. Such action may be taken when it is apparent that severe weather or an environmental hazard may demand the implementation of special arrangements or when a spontaneous response by members of the public results in the presentation of major incident casualties at any health care setting e.g. acute or community hospital, walk in centre, health centre, GP Practice or minor injuries unit.

# 9. PLANNING AND PREVENTION

- 9.1. *Action Card:* An Action Card detailing roles and responsibilities is appended to this procedure as Action Card 1.
- 9.2. Contracting responsibilities: CCGs are responsible for ensuring that resilience and response is "commissioned in" as part of the standard provider contracts and that provider plans reflect the local risks identified through wider multi-agency planning. The CCG will record these risks on the internal risk register. In addition, CCGs are expected to ensure delivery of these outcomes through contribution to an annual EPRR assurance process facilitated by NHS England Area Team. The NHS Standard Contract includes the appropriate EPRR provision and this contractual framework will be used wherever appropriate by the CCG when commissioning services. Contract monitoring and review will encompass the review of EPRR and there may be occasions where the Local Health Resilience Partnership uses the CCG as a route of escalation where providers are not meeting expected standards.
- 9.3. *Partnership working:* In order to ensure coordinated planning and response across our area, it is essential that the CCG works closely with partner agencies across the area, ensuring appropriate representation.
  - Category 1 and 2 Responders come together to form Local Resilience Forums (LRF) based on Police areas. These forums help to co-ordinate activities and facilitate co-operation between local responders. The North Yorkshire LRF is the vehicle where the multi-agency planning takes place via a variety of groups which relate to specific emergencies like fuel shortage, floods, industrial hazards and recovery. These plans will be retained by the NHSE Area Team.
  - For the NHS, the strategic forum for joint planning for health emergencies is via the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) that supports the health sector's contribution to multi-agency planning through the Local Resilience Forum (LRF).
- 9.4. The diagram below shows the NHS England's EPRR response structure and its interaction with key partner organisations.



10. RISKS

#### LOCAL RISKS

- 10.1. Hazard analysis and risk assessment: A hazard analysis & risk assessment is undertaken by the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and this includes detailed assessments of potential incidents that may occur. The assessments are monitored through this forum. Risk assessments are regularly reviewed or when such an incident dictates the need to do so earlier. Any external risk may be required to be entered onto the North Yorkshire LRF Community Risk Register if it is felt to pose a significant risk to the population. This action will be co-ordinated through the LHRP. The purpose of producing these lists of hazards and threats is to ensure that each organisation can focus their emergency planning efforts towards those risks that are likely (or could possibly) occur.
- 10.2. A formal risk assessment of hazards and risks is undertaken by a multi-agency LRF risk assessment group every year as required by the Civil Contingencies Act 2004.
- 10.3. North Yorkshire Community Risk Register: Like anywhere in the UK, North Yorkshire has a number of natural and manmade hazards. To ensure we are prepared for these hazards the North Yorkshire LRF has created a Community Risk Register which identifies the wide range of risks and emergencies we could potentially face. This Risk Register is then used by the forum to inform priorities for planning, training and exercising. The North Yorkshire Community Risk Register is available to download

from: <a href="http://www.emergencynorthyorks.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=1">http://www.emergencynorthyorks.gov.uk/index.aspx?articleid=1</a> 1778

- 10.4. Nine risks have been identified per the Public Risk register published by the North Yorkshire Resilience Forum May 2017 (version 7) as "Very High Risk" (Very High Risks are classified as "primary or critical risks requiring immediate attention"), as follows:
  - Pandemic Influenza.
  - Flooding.
  - Severe Weather
  - Industrial Incident
  - Marine Pollution.Disruption or Failure Electrical Network.
  - Industrial Action.
  - Animal Health.
  - Hazardous Transport
  - Cyber Security

More details have been published

here: http://www.emergencynorthyorks.gov.uk/sites/default/files/files/Risk/NY %20Community%20Risk%20Register%20-%20May%202017.docx

#### National Risk Register

10.5. The National Risk Register of Civil Emergencies July 2015 has been published and provides an updated government assessment of the likelihood and potential impact of a range of different civil emergency risks (including naturally and accidentally occurring hazards and malicious threats) that may directly affect the UK over the next 5 years.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_d ata/file/419549/20150331\_2015-NRR-WA\_Final.pdf

# **National Threat level**

- 10.6. The level of threat from terrorism is under constant review by the Security Services.
  - Low an attack is unlikely
  - Moderate an attack is possible, but not likely
  - Substantial an attack is a strong possibility
  - Severe an attack is highly likely
  - Critical an attack is expected imminently
- 10.7. The latest threat level can be viewed:

https://www.mi5.gov.uk/threat-levels

10.8. *Specific local risks:* A number of specific risks that the CCG may potentially have are listed below alongside the planned response. Assurance will be obtained through the contracting route by the Head

of Contracting or equivalent, and also via local partnership emergency planning within the local geographic area.

| Fuel<br>shortage         International and national shortages of fuel can adversely impact on the<br>delivery of NHS services.           Fuel<br>shortage         The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage fuel shortages and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>appropriately.           Flooding         The Environment Agency provides a flood warning service for areas at<br>risk of flooding from rivers or the sea. Their flood warning services give<br>advance notice of flooding and time to prepare.           Flooding         The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local flooding incidents and will work with the Local<br>Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum<br>(LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be<br>escalated appropriately.           Evacuation<br>& Shelter         Incidents such as town centre closures, flooding, or significant damage<br>to healthcare premises could lead to the closure of key healthcare<br>premises.           Evacuation<br>& Shelter         The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local evacuation and shelter incidents, will work in<br>partnership with the Local Authority, and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>appropriately.           Pandemics<br>influenza         Pandemics arise when a new virus emerges which is capable of<br>spreading in the worldwide population. Unlike ordinary seasonal<br>influenza that occurs every winter in the UK, pandemic flu can occur at | 1          |  |
|---|------------|--|
| Notshortageplace to manage fuel shortages and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>appropriately.The Environment Agency provides a flood warning services for areas at<br>risk of flooding from rivers or the sea. Their flood warning services give<br>advance notice of flooding and time to prepare.FloodingThe CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local flooding incidents and will work with the Local<br>Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum<br>(LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be<br>escalated appropriately.Evacuation<br>& ShelterIncidents such as town centre closures, flooding, or significant damage<br>to healthcare premises could lead to the closure of key healthcare<br>premises.Evacuation<br>& ShelterThe CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local evacuation and shelter incidents, will work in<br>partnership with the Local Authority, and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>appropriately.Pandemici<br>influenzaPandemics arise when a new virus emerges which is capable of<br>spreading in the worldwide population. Unlike ordinary seasonal<br>influenza that occurs every winter in the UK, pandemic flu can occur at<br>any time of the year.<br>The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local pandemic, will work in partnership with the Local<br>Authority, will cascade local pandemic communications, and will work<br>with the Local Health Resilience Partnership                                       |            |  |
| Floodingrisk of flooding from rivers or the sea. Their flood warning services give<br>advance notice of flooding and time to prepare.FloodingThe CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local flooding incidents and will work with the Local<br>Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum<br>(LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be<br>escalated appropriately.Evacuation<br>& ShelterIncidents such as town centre closures, flooding, or significant damage<br>to healthcare premises could lead to the closure of key healthcare<br>premises.Evacuation<br>& ShelterThe CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local evacuation and shelter incidents, will work in<br>partnership with the Local Authority, and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>appropriately.Pandemic<br>influenzaPandemics arise when a new virus emerges which is capable of<br>spreading in the worldwide population. Unlike ordinary seasonal<br>influenza that occurs every winter in the UK, pandemic flu can occur at<br>any time of the year.Pandemic<br>influenzaThe CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local pandemic, will work in partnership with the Local<br>Authority, will cascade local pandemic, will work in partnership with the Local<br>Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider communications, and will work<br>with the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local<br>Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider communications, and will work<br>with the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local<br>Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider communications, and will work<br>with the Lo                     |            | place to manage fuel shortages and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated  |
| Place to manage local flooding incidents and will work with the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated appropriately.         Incidents such as town centre closures, flooding, or significant damage to healthcare premises could lead to the closure of key healthcare premises.         The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in place to manage local evacuation and shelter incidents, will work in partnership with the Local Authority, and will work with the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated appropriately.         Pandemic influenza       Pandemics arise when a new virus emerges which is capable of spreading in the worldwide population. Unlike ordinary seasonal influenza that occurs every winter in the UK, pandemic flu can occur at any time of the year.         The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in place to manage local pandemic, will work in partnership with the Local Authority, and will work with is capable of spreading in the worldwide population. Unlike ordinary seasonal influenza that occurs every winter in the UK, pandemic flu can occur at any time of the year.         The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in place to manage local pandemic, will work in partnership with the Local Authority, will cascade local pandemic communications, and will work with the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated appropriately.  |            | risk of flooding from rivers or the sea. Their flood warning services give   |
| Evacuation<br>& Shelterto healthcare premises could lead to the closure of key healthcare<br>premises.The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local evacuation and shelter incidents, will work in<br>partnership with the Local Authority, and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>  | Flooding   | place to manage local flooding incidents and will work with the Local<br>Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum<br>(LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be  |
| & Shelterplace to manage local evacuation and shelter incidents, will work in<br>partnership with the Local Authority, and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>appropriately.PandemicsPandemics arise when a new virus emerges which is capable of<br>spreading in the worldwide population. Unlike ordinary seasonal<br>influenza that occurs every winter in the UK, pandemic flu can occur at<br>  |            | to healthcare premises could lead to the closure of key healthcare   |
| Pandemic<br>influenzaspreading in the worldwide population. Unlike ordinary seasonal<br>influenza that occurs every winter in the UK, pandemic flu can occur at<br>any time of the year.Pandemic<br>influenzaThe CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local pandemic, will work in partnership with the Local<br>Authority, will cascade local pandemic communications, and will work<br>with the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local<br>Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks<br>identified will be escalated appropriately.   |            | place to manage local evacuation and shelter incidents, will work in<br>partnership with the Local Authority, and will work with the Local Health<br>Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on<br>wider community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated |
| Pandemic<br>influenza place to manage local pandemic, will work in partnership with the Local<br>Authority, will cascade local pandemic communications, and will work<br>with the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local<br>Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks<br>identified will be escalated appropriately.  |            | spreading in the worldwide population. Unlike ordinary seasonal influenza that occurs every winter in the UK, pandemic flu can occur at  |
| The CCG will work with and through the A&E DeliveryHealth and Care  |            | place to manage local pandemic, will work in partnership with the Local<br>Authority, will cascade local pandemic communications, and will work<br>with the Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) and Local<br>Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider community resilience. Local risks          |
| Resilience Board to manage unplanned care as a result of pandemic influenza and will manage normal local surge and escalation.  |            |  |
| Infectious/ E.g. Ebola and Marburg viruses. Alerts are received from NHS England and Resilience Direct.   | contagious |  |
| diseases Yorkshire Ambulance Trust and York Hospitals Trust have trained staff  | alseases   | Yorkshire Ambulance Trust and York Hospitals Trust have trained staff  |

|                             | in containment of infectious diseases.   |
|-----------------------------|--|
|                             | CCG staff attended Ebola awareness event 4 <sup>th</sup> November 2014.  |
| Heat wave                   | The Department of Health and the Met Office work closely to monitor<br>temperatures during the summer months. Local organisations such as<br>the NHS and Local Authorities plan to make sure that services reach the<br>people that need them during periods of extreme weather.   |
|                             | The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place that align to the national Heatwave Plan, and that will manage<br>local heatwave incidents. The CCG will cascade local heatwave<br>communications, and will work with the LHRP and LRF on wider<br>community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>appropriately.  |
|                             | The CCG will work with and through the <u>Health and Care Resilience</u><br><u>Board A&amp;E Delivery</u> Board to manage unplanned care as a result of<br>heatwave and will manage normal local surge and escalation.   |
| Severe<br>Winter<br>Weather | Each year millions of people in the UK are affected by the winter<br>conditions, whether it's travelling through the snow or keeping warm<br>during rising energy prices. Winter brings with it many hazards that can<br>affect people both directly or indirectly. Severe weather is one of the<br>most common disruptions people face during winter.   |
|                             | The CCG will seek assurance that commissioned services have plans in<br>place to manage local severe winter weather, will cascade local winter<br>communications, and will work with the Local Health Resilience<br>Partnership (LHRP) and Local Resilience Forum (LRF) on wider<br>community resilience. Local risks identified will be escalated<br>appropriately.   |
|                             | The CCG will work with and through the A & E Delivery Board to manage unplanned care as a result of severe winter weather and will manage normal local surge and escalation.   |
| Diverts                     | The North Yorkshire footprint consists of NHS organisations in the NHS<br>England Yorkshire and Humber locality. An ambulance Divert Policy<br>agreed across Yorkshire and Humber is in place to manage this risk.<br>The Divert Policy should only be used when trusts have exhausted<br>internal systems and local community-wide health and social care plans<br>to manage demand. A total view of system capacity should be taken<br>including acute resource, community response, intermediate care and<br>community in-patient capacity. |
|                             | The CCG will monitor the generic email box <u>VOYCCG.Emergencyplan@nhs.net</u> and pick up issues on the next working day directly with Providers.   |

10.9. The CCG is a partner in a number of specific plans which have been developed across the health community in order to respond to emergencies and escalate actions appropriately. These include:

- NHS England Incident Response Plan
- York & Scarborough A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework
- Business Continuity Plan
- Specific multi-agency plans to which the CCG is party such as Heatwave and Pandemic Flu.
- 10.10. Assurance in respect of CCG emergency planning will be provided to the CCG Governing Body via the Governing Body Assurance Framework.

#### 11. ESCALATION, ACTIVATION AND RESPONSE

- 11.1. *Action Card:* An Action Card describing the activation process is appended to this procedure as Action Card 2.
- 11.2. CCG: As a Category 2 Responder under the Civil Contingency Act 2004, the CCG must respond to reasonable requests to assist and cooperate with NHSE or the Local Authority should any emergency require wider NHS resources to be mobilised. Through its contracts, the CCG will maintain service delivery across the local health economy to prevent business as usual pressures and minor incidents within individual providers from becoming significant or major incidents. This could include the management of commissioned providers to effectively coordinate increases in activity across their health economy which may include support with surge in emergency pressures. The <u>Health and Care Reslience A&E Delivery</u> Board work plans and meetings provide a process to manage these pressures and to escalate to NHSE Area Team as appropriate.
- 11.3. *NHSE North:* The NHSE operates an on-call system for Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR). This system is not restricted to major emergencies and could be mobilised to assess the impact of a range of incidents affecting, or having the potential to affect, healthcare delivery within North Yorkshire and the Humber. In respect of EPRR for incidents/risks that only affect the NHS, the NHSE Area Team covers the following North Yorkshire local authority areas:
  - North Yorkshire County Council
  - York City Council
- 11.4. In respect of EPRR for incidents/risks that affect all multi-agency partners, the NHSE Team provides strategic co-ordination of the local health economy and represents the NHS at the North Yorkshire LRF.
- 11.5. The initial communication of an incident alert to the first on-call officer of the NHSE Team is via any of the organisations. An additional role of the NHSE Team is to activate the response from independent contractors as required.
- 11.6. *Public Health England:* Public Health England will coordinate any incident that relates to infectious diseases.
- 11.7. *NHS Property Services:* NHS Property Services has robust local contact arrangements which should be used in most cases for local out of hours issues that require the involvement or attention of NHS Property Services. Where local contact cannot be made with NHS Property Services or where situations require escalation to regional and communications team senior managers on-call, messages can be sent via the single number PAGEONE service below
  - Dial: 0844 8222888 for NHS Property Services On-Call Escalation
  - A call handler will ask for a group code
  - Ask for NHSPS04 and leave your message and contact details
- 11.8. Vulnerable People: The Civil Contingencies Act 2004 places the duty upon Category 1 and 2 Responders to have regard for the needs of vulnerable people. It is not easy to define in advance who are the vulnerable people to whom special considerations should be given in emergency plans. Those who are vulnerable will vary depending on the nature of the emergency. For planning purposes there are broadly three categories that should be considered:
  - Those who for whatever reason have mobility difficulties, including people with physical disabilities or a medical condition and even pregnant women;
  - Those with mental health conditions or learning difficulties;
  - Others who are dependent, such as children or very elderly.

The CCG needs to ensure that in an incident people in the vulnerable people categories can be identified via contact with other healthcare services such as GPs and Social Care.

11.9. *Communications:* From a multi-agency response perspective the Police would lead on the communications and media support. From a non-public health incident perspective, the NHSE Team would lead on the communications. Public Health England will lead on communications if the incident was public health related. The CCG role will be to liaise with the communication lead as appropriate, supply information as requested and cascade communications. See Action Card 1 for further information on roles and responsibilities.

#### Recovery

11.10. In contrast to the response to an emergency, the recovery may take months or even years to complete, as it seeks to address the enduring human physical and psychological effects, environmental, social and economic consequences. Response and recovery are not, however, two discrete activities and the response and recovery phases may not occur sequentially. Recovery should be an integral

part of the combined response from the beginning, as actions taken at all times during an emergency can influence the long-term outcomes for communities.

#### **Debriefing and Staff Support**

- 11.11. The CCG will be responsible for debriefing and provision of support to staff where required following an emergency. This is the responsibility of individual line managers coordinated by the Accountable Emergency Officer. De-briefing may also be on a multi-agency footprint.
- 11.12. Any lessons learned from the incident will be fed back to staff and actioned appropriately.

#### Testing & Monitoring of Plans

- 11.13. The CCG emergency resilience plans will be reviewed annually by the Accountable Emergency Officer.
- 11.14. As part of the CCG's emergency preparedness and planning, the organisation will participate in exercises both locally and across the North Yorkshire LRF with our partners. This helps staff to understand their roles and responsibilities when a situation occurs.
- 11.15. Live incidents which require the plans to be evoked will conclude with a debrief process and lead to review/improvements of the plans.

### SECTION C: ACTION CARDS

#### ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

These action cards describes the general action required and should be adapted as necessary to apply to the specific circumstances of the incident.

# 1. Action Card for Emergency Accountable Officer

| Your role              | EMERGENCY ACCOUNTABLE OFFICER   |
|------------------------|---|
| Your base              | West Offices, Station Rise, York.   |
| Your<br>responsibility | You are responsible for directing NHS Vale of York CCG's emergency response.  |
| Your immediate actions | <ol> <li>Obtain as much information as practicable and assess<br/>the situation. Complete an Initial Risk Assessment,<br/>(Template on next page) before implementing the<br/>required actions: is this an emergency.</li> </ol>                                    |
|                        | METHANE:<br>Major Emergency Declared<br>Exact Location<br>Type of Emergency<br>Hazards present and potential<br>Access / Egress routes<br>Number and types of Casualties<br>Emergency services present and required   |
|                        | <ul> <li>If the incident is assessed as an emergency, activate the plan. SEE ACTIVATION / ESCALATION ACTION CARD.</li> <li>2. Assign ACTION CARDS in accordance with the key functions to support you.</li> <li>3. Proceed to the Incident Control Room.</li> </ul> |
| Ongoing<br>management  | Systematically review the situation and maintain overall control of the CCG response.   |
|                        | <ul> <li>S urvey</li> <li>A ssess</li> <li>D isseminate</li> </ul>  |
|                        | Approve content and timings of press releases / statements and attend conferences if required.  |
| Stand down             | If it can be dealt with using normal resources, notify the appropriate personnel and maintain a watching brief.   |
|                        | Continue to reassess the situation as further information<br>becomes available and determine if any additional action is<br>required  |
|                        | In the event of any increase in the scale / impact of the incident reassess the risk and escalate as needed.  |

# 2. Initial Risk Assessment completed by Emergency Accountable Officer

| Questions to consider                                      | Information<br>Collected?* |
|--|----------------------------|
| What is the size and nature of the incident?               |                            |
| Area and population likely to be affected - restricted or  |                            |
| Level and immediacy of potential danger - to public and    |                            |
| response personnel   |                            |
| Timing - has the incident already occurred/ongoing?        |                            |
| What is the status of the incident?                        |                            |
| Under control  | -                          |
| Contained but possibility of escalation                    |                            |
| Out of control and threatening                             |                            |
| Unknown and undetermined                                   |                            |
| What is the likely impact?                                 |                            |
| On people involved, the surrounding area                   |                            |
| On property, the environment, transport, communications    |                            |
| On external interests - media, relatives, adjacent areas   |                            |
| and partner organisations.                                 |                            |
| What specific assistance is being requested from the N     | HS?                        |
| Increased capacity - hospital, primary care, community     |                            |
| Treatment - serious casualties, minor casualties, worried  | -                          |
| Public information   | -                          |
| Support for rest centres, evacuees                         | -                          |
| Expert advice, environmental sampling, laboratory testing, |                            |
| disease control  |                            |
| Social/psychological care                                  |                            |
| How urgently is assistance required?                       |                            |
| Immediate<br>Within a few                                  |                            |
| hours  |                            |
| *Key $$ = Yes X = no ? = Information awaited N/A = N       | ot applicable              |

| Your role                 | Incident Emergency Planning Coordinator   |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Your base                 | West Offices, Station Rise, York.   |  |
| Your<br>responsibility    | You are responsible for coordinating the CCG's tactical<br>response and ensuring all aspects of the plan are<br>followed. You will establish and maintain lines of<br>communication with all other organisations involved,<br>coordinating a joint response where circumstances<br>require.   |  |
| Your immediate<br>actions | <ol> <li>Proceed to the Incident Control Room.</li> <li>With the Incident Emergency Accountable Officer,<br/>assess the facts and clarify the lines of<br/>communication accordingly.</li> <li>Call in Senior Managers as required.</li> <li>Allocate rooms, telephone lines and support staff as<br/>required.</li> <li>Notify and liaise as necessary with health community<br/>and inter-agency emergency planning contacts.</li> <li>Record all relevant details of the incident and the<br/>response.</li> </ol> |  |
| On-going<br>management    | Systematically review the situation with the Incident Lead Executive and ensure coordination of the CCG response.   |  |
| Stand down                | <ul><li>Following stand-down, prepare a report for the Chief Officer.</li><li>Arrange a "hot" de-brief for all staff involved immediately after the incident.</li><li>Arrange a structured de-brief for all staff within a month of the incident.</li></ul>   |  |

# 3. Action Card for Incident Emergency Planning Coordinator

#### NOTES FOR INCIDENT EMERGENCY PLANNING COORDINATOR

- 1. Review the status and resources of the local NHS
- 2. Plan rota
- 3. Ensure decision logs maintained
- 4. Monitor staff welfare
- 5. Confirm emergency contact arrangements to:
  - NHS England Team
  - Yorkshire Ambulance Service
  - Community & Mental Health Trusts
  - York Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
  - Neighbouring CCGs
  - Council Emergency Centres
  - City of York Council
  - Adult and Children's Services
  - Other relevant responding agencies.
- 6. Maintain regular contact with the NHS responding agencies
- 7. Plan for prolonged response and to start working shift
- 8. Ensure a Recovery Team starts to plan the strategy for recovery after the initial response is organised

#### Meetings

Meetings held hourly for 15 minutes, chaired by the Emergency Accountable Officer to an agenda with brief factual reports from each lead **Decisions** 

Key decisions logged in the decisions log

#### **Equipment Availability**

Television, Phone, Teleconference facility, Laptops Use IS-BAR Briefing Tool

| I | Identify        | Who is present?   |
|---|-----------------|---|
|   | Who you are.    | (Ensure you have all key personnel present for the briefing   |
| S | Situation       | What is the current situation?<br>(If it is the initial brief then an overview of the incident will be required). |
| В | Background      | Where are we up to?<br>Each area gives an update on:<br>• Risks<br>• Staffing levels<br>• Resource issues         |
| Α | Assessment      | Assessment of needs / concerns.   |
| R | Recommendations | Plan for the next 60 minutes. Be clear  |
|   |                 | what is required of each area / person. Confirm time & location of next briefing (on the hour).                   |

#### 4. Action Card for Communication Lead

| Your role                 | Communication Lead  |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Your base                 | West Offices, Station Rise, York. (unless a control room is located to another premise)   |  |
| Your<br>responsibility    | You are responsible for preparing and disseminating<br>media information by agreement with the Incident Lead<br>Executive. If necessary, you will organise facilities for<br>media visits and briefings.  |  |
| Your immediate<br>actions | <ol> <li>Proceed to the Incident Control Room.</li> <li>After briefing by the Incident Lead Executive, establish<br/>lines of communication with Communication Leads at<br/>other organisations involved in the emergency and<br/>work in conjunction with multi-agency communication<br/>leads as required.</li> <li>Draft media releases for Incident Lead Executive<br/>approval.</li> <li>Coordinate all contact with the media.</li> <li>Ensure the nominated spokesperson is fully and<br/>accurately briefed before they have any contact with<br/>the media.</li> </ol> |  |
| On-going<br>management    | Make arrangements for any necessary public communications.  |  |
| Stand down                | Participate in a "hot" de-brief immediately after the incident<br>and any subsequent structured de-brief.<br>Following stand-down evaluate communications<br>effectiveness and any lessons learned and report these<br>to the Incident Emergency Planning Coordinator for<br>inclusion in the report to the Chief Officer.  |  |

# Page deliberately left blank

30 | P a g e

# 5. Action Card For Loggist

| Your role                 | LOGGIST (Admin and Clerical support)   |
|---------------------------|--|
| Your base                 | West Offices, Station Rise, York. (unless a control room is located to another premise)  |
| Your<br>responsibility    | You will help to set up the incident control room, perform<br>secretarial. Administrative or clerical duties as required by<br>the Incident Control Team and ensure a record / log of<br>the incident is maintained.   |
| Your immediate<br>actions | <ol> <li>Proceed to the Incident Control Room as directed.</li> <li>Report to the Incident Emergency Planning<br/>Coordinator for briefing</li> <li>Assist in setting up the Incident Control Room with<br/>telephones, computers etc.</li> <li>Arrange for all internal rooms to be made available as<br/>needed.</li> <li>Maintain a log of decisions taken, communications,<br/>and actions taken by the incident control team.</li> <li>NB. The record must be made in permanent black ink, clearly<br/>written, dated and initialled by the loggist at start of shift. All<br/>persons in attendance to be recorded in the log. The log must<br/>be a complete and continuous (chronological) record of all<br/>issues/ options considered / decisions along with reasoning<br/>behind those decisions /actions. Timings have to be accurate<br/>and recorded each time information is received or transmitted.<br/>If individuals are tasked with a function or role this must be<br/>documented and when the task is completed this must also be<br/>documented. See Incident Log template overleaf.</li> </ol> |
| On-going<br>management    | Provide support services as directed.<br>All documentation is to be kept safe and retained for<br>evidence for any future proceedings.   |
| Stand down                | <ul> <li>Participate in a "hot" de-brief immediately after the incident<br/>and any subsequent structured de-brief.</li> <li>Following stand-down evaluate admin effectiveness and<br/>any lessons learned and report these to the Incident<br/>Emergency Planning Coordinator for inclusion in the<br/>report to the Chief Officer.</li> </ul>  |

31 | P a g e

#### Notes For Loggists

#### Completion of Logs

- 1. Immediately the CCGs start to respond to an incident then a log of actions must be started by key officers and the organisation
- 2. Master Log all information entering the information cell must be logged including all incoming phone calls and emails
- 3. Action log must be completed by all key Action Card holders
  - Logs will be issued to all Action Card holders who should keep a record of:
  - All instructions received,
  - Actions taken
  - Other information
- 4. The log should be handed on and signed off if the holder is relieved during the incident and following stand-down it is to be returned to the Emergency Control Centre Co-ordinator for safe storage.
- 5. Decision log records the key corporate decisions, the process for deciding and the considered alternatives. A decision log must be kept by the CCG incident commander.

The Emergency Accountable Officer MUST sign the decision log after each key decision is agreed. LOGS MUST BE KEPT WITH DATED & TIMED ENTRIES BY ALL STAFF MAKING DECISIONS IN A MAJOR INCIDENTS ON APPROVED LOG SHEETS: NO RECORDS NO DEFENCE

#### Prepare Shift Arrangements

- 6. In the event of a significant / major incident or emergency having a substantial impact on the population and health services, it may be necessary to continue operation of the Incident Management Team for a number of days or weeks. In particular, in the early phase of an incident, the Incident Management Team may be required to operate continuously 24/7. Responsibility for deciding on the scale of response, including maintaining teams overnight, rests with the Incident Manager.
- 7. A robust and flexible shift system will need to be in place to manage an incident through each phase. These arrangements will depend on the nature of the incident and must take into consideration any requirements to support external (for example SCG) meetings and activities. The Incident Manager is accountable for ensuring appropriate staffing of all

**32 |** Page

shifts. During the first two shift changes 1-2 hours of hand over time is required.

#### 12. ACTIVATION / ESCALATION FLOWCHART



#### 13. CONSULTATION, APPROVAL AND RATIFICATION PROCESS

- 13.1. The following committees and individuals have been involved in the consultation and development of this policy:
  - SMT
  - Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP) The policy will be approved/ratified by the committees/CCG Governing Body, in line with the CCG's Policy on Policies.

#### 14. DOCUMENT CONTROL INCLUDING ARCHIVING ARRANGEMENTS

14.1. The previous version of this policy will be removed from the intranet and will be available if required by contacting the author.

#### 15. IMPLEMENTATION

- 15.1. This policy will be circulated to all teams to be cascaded to individual members of staff. The document will be made available for staff and users and other stakeholders through the CCG website.
- 15.2. The CCG has mechanisms in place in order to ensure that:
  - staff can raise issues of concern with their manager(s);
  - staff are consulted on proposed organisational or other significant changes;
  - managers keep staff informed of progress on relevant issues;
  - service users, their relatives, carers and advocates can identify points of concern or worry by using the complaints process or PALS service;
  - the media are accurately advised of developments in the CCG.
- 15.3. CCG policies are communicated to service providers and support service organisations through commissioning mechanisms and contract requirements.

#### 16. TRAINING & AWARENESS

- 16.1. This policy will be published on the CCG's website.
- 16.2. The policy will be brought to the attention of all relevant new employees as part of the induction process. Further advice and guidance is available from the Corporate Services Manager.

#### 17. MONITORING & AUDIT

17.1. The CCG monitors and reviews its performance in relation to EPRR performance and the continuing suitability and effectiveness of the systems and processes in place.

**35 |** Page

- 17.2. The Executive Committee is responsible for monitoring the effectiveness of this policy/strategy and for providing assurance to the Governing Body.
- 17.3. Monitoring of this policy/strategy may form part of the Internal Audit review of governance compliance.

#### 18. REVIEW

18.1. This framework will be reviewed bi-annually. Earlier review may be required in response to exceptional circumstances, organisational change or relevant changes in legislation or guidance.

#### 19. **REFERENCES**

- <u>https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/eprr-guidance-chart-oct15.pptx</u>
- https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/eprr/gf/#summary

#### 20. ASSOCIATED POLICIES/DOCUMENTS

- COR 16 Business Continuity Policy
- COR 18 On Call Policy
- OPEL Escalation Plan
- A&E Delivery Board Escalation Framework and Delivery Plan
- On-Call Pack
- COR 05 Mobile Working Policy
- HR 20 Home Working Policy

#### 21. CONTACT DETAILS

#### Performance and Improvement Manager

Telephone: 01904 555774 Email: <u>valeofyork.contactus@nhs.net</u> Address: NHS Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group, West Offices, Station Rise, York. Y01 6GA

#### 22. LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Equality Assessment Appendix 2: Sustainability Assessment

Appendix 3: Abbreviations

#### 23. APPENDIX 1: EQUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM

| 1. | Title of policy/ programme/ service being analysed  |  |
|----|---|--|
|    | Risk Management Strategy and Policy   |  |
| 2. | Please state the aims and objectives of this work.  |  |
|    | To define and document the CCG's approach to risk and risk management to ensure:  |  |
|    | <ul> <li>risks within the organisation are identified, assessed, treated and monitored as part of<br/>the corporate governance of the CCG.</li> </ul>   |  |
|    | <ul> <li>robust risk assessment and monitoring mechanisms are in place for all elements of<br/>the commissioning process, including needs assessment, tendering, contract</li> </ul>  |  |
|    | management and evaluation.  |  |
| 3. | Who is likely to be affected? (e.g. staff, patients, service users)   |  |
|    | CCG staff, partner organisations (where applicable), public, patients and member practices. CCG managers  |  |
|    | and staff (and other providers and partners where applicable). If Risk management arrangements are not  |  |
|    | effective patients and service providers may be impacted.   |  |
| 4. | What sources of equality information have you used to inform your piece of work?  |  |
|    | NHS England   |  |
| 5. | What steps have been taken ensure that the organisation has paid <u>due regard</u> to the need to eliminate discrimination, advance equal opportunities and foster good relations between people with protected characteristics |  |
|    | The analysis of equalities is embedded within the CCG's Committee Terms of Reference and project management framework.  |  |

**37 |** Page

| 6.   | Who have you involved in the deve  | lopment of this piece of work?   |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | Internal involvement:  |  |  |
|  | Senior Management team<br>Stakeholder involvement:<br>Consultation with Senior Managers<br>Patient / carer / public involvement:   |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ff employed by the CCG and contractors working for the CCG. The focus<br>and NHS mandated principles and practice. There are no particular   |  |
| 7.   |  | potential adverse or positive impact on groups with protected  |  |
|  | characteristics?   |  |  |
|  | Do you have any gaps in informati  |  |  |
|  | Include any supporting evidence e.g. research, data or feedback from engagement activities   |  |  |
|  | (Refer to Table 1 - Embedding Equality into the Commissioning Cycle if your piece of work relates to commissioning activity to gather the evidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)   |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Dis  |  |  |  |
| Peo  | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,   | vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)  |  |
| Peo<br>phy   | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>sically disabled, people with mental   | vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)<br>Consider building access, communication requirements, making  |  |
| Peo<br>phy<br>illne  | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>rsically disabled, people with mental<br>ess, sensory loss and long term   | vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)<br>Consider building access, communication requirements, making  |  |
| Peo<br>phy<br>illne  | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>sically disabled, people with mental   | vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)<br>Consider building access, communication requirements, making  |  |
| Peo<br>phy<br>illne<br>chr   | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>rsically disabled, people with mental<br>ess, sensory loss and long term<br>onic conditions such as diabetes, HIV)                                     | vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)<br>Consider building access, communication requirements, making  |  |
| Peo<br>phy<br>illne<br>chr<br><u>N/a</u><br>Sex                            | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>vsically disabled, people with mental<br>ess, sensory loss and long term<br>onic conditions such as diabetes, HIV)                                     | Vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)<br>Consider building access, communication requirements, making<br>reasonable adjustments for individuals etc.<br>Consider gender preference in key worker, single sex accommodation   |  |
| Peo<br>phy<br>illne<br>chr<br><u>N/a</u><br>Sex                            | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>rsically disabled, people with mental<br>ess, sensory loss and long term<br>onic conditions such as diabetes, HIV)                                     | vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)<br>Consider building access, communication requirements, making<br>reasonable adjustments for individuals etc.   |  |
| Peo<br>phy<br>illne<br>chr<br>N/a<br><b>Sex</b><br>Me                      | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>rsically disabled, people with mental<br>ess, sensory loss and long term<br>onic conditions such as diabetes, HIV)                                     | Vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)<br>Consider building access, communication requirements, making<br>reasonable adjustments for individuals etc.<br>Consider gender preference in key worker, single sex accommodation   |  |
| Peo<br>phy<br>illne<br>chr<br>N/a<br>Sex<br>Me<br>N/a<br>Rac               | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>rsically disabled, people with mental<br>ess, sensory loss and long term<br>onic conditions such as diabetes, HIV)<br>an and Women<br>e or nationality | Vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)         Consider building access, communication requirements, making reasonable adjustments for individuals etc.         Consider gender preference in key worker, single sex accommodation etc         Consider cultural traditions, food requirements, communication styles, |  |
| Peo<br>phy<br>illne<br>chr<br>N/a<br><b>Sex</b><br>Me<br>N/a<br><b>Rac</b> | commissioning activity to gather the e<br>ability<br>ople who are learning disabled,<br>rsically disabled, people with mental<br>ess, sensory loss and long term<br>onic conditions such as diabetes, HIV)                                     | vidence during all stages of the commissioning cycle)         Consider building access, communication requirements, making reasonable adjustments for individuals etc.         Consider gender preference in key worker, single sex accommodation etc  |  |

**38 |** Page

| N/a   |  |
|---|--|
| Age<br>This applies to all age groups. This can<br>include safeguarding, consent and child<br>welfare                   | Consider access to services or employment based on need/merit not age, effective communication strategies etc.     |
| N/a   |  |
| <b>Trans</b><br>People who have undergone gender<br>reassignment (sex change) and those<br>who identify as trans        | Consider privacy of data, harassment, access to unisex toilets & bathing areas etc.                                |
| N/a   |  |
| <b>Sexual orientation</b><br>This will include lesbian, gay and bi-<br>sexual people as well as heterosexual<br>people. | Consider whether the service acknowledges same sex partners as next<br>of kin, harassment, inclusive language etc. |
| N/a   |  |
| Religion or belief<br>Includes religions, beliefs or no religion or<br>belief   | Consider holiday scheduling, appointment timing, dietary considerations, prayer space etc.                         |
| N/a   |  |
| Marriage and Civil Partnership<br>Refers to legally recognised partnerships<br>(employment policies only)               | Consider whether civil partners are included in benefit and leave policies etc.                                    |
| N/a   |  |

**39 |** Page

| Pregnancy and maternity<br>Refers to the pregnancy period and the<br>first year after birth<br>N/a<br>Carers   | Consider impact on working arrangements, part-time working, infant<br>caring responsibilities etc.<br>Consider impact on part-time working, shift-patterns, options for flexi |
|--|---|
| This relates to general caring responsibilities for someone of any age.  | working etc.  |
| N/a  |   |
| Other disadvantaged groups<br>This relates to groups experiencing<br>health inequalities such as people living<br>in deprived areas, new migrants, people<br>who are homeless, ex-offenders, people<br>with HIV.   | Consider ease of access, location of service, historic take-up of service etc   |
| N/a  |   |
| <ul> <li>Action planning for improvement         Please outline what mitigating actions have been considered to eliminate any adverse impact?     </li> <li>Please state if there are any opportunities to advance equality of opportunity and/ foster good relationships between different groups of people?</li> </ul> |   |
| An Equality Action Plan template is appended to assist in meeting the requirements of the general duty   |   |

| Sign off  |
|---|
| Name and signature of person / team who carried out this analysis<br>Helen Sikora, Policy and Strategy Manager<br>Audit Committee |
| Date analysis completed<br>December 2014  |
| Name and signature of responsible Director  |
| Date analysis was approved by responsible Director  |

#### 25. APPENDIX 2: SUSTAINABILITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Staff preparing a policy, Governing Body (or Sub-Committee) report, service development plan or project are required to complete a Sustainability Impact Assessment (SIA). The purpose of this SIA is to record any positive or negative impacts that this is likely to have on sustainability.

| Title of the document           | Risk Management policy and Strategy                                     |
|---------------------------------|---|
| What is the main purpose of the | To effective identify, manage and monitor risk within the organisation. |
| document                        |   |
| Date completed                  | November 2014   |
| Completed by                    | Governance Team   |

| Domain                   | Objectives  | Impact of activity<br>Negative = -1<br>Neutral = 0<br>Positive = 1<br>Unknown = ?<br>Not applicable =<br>n/a | Brief description of<br>impact | If negative, how can it be<br>mitigated?<br>If positive, how can it be<br>enhanced? |
|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Travel                   | Will it provide / improve / promote alternatives to<br>car based transport?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it support more efficient use of cars (car<br>sharing, low emission vehicles, environmentally<br>friendly fuels and technologies)?                     | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it reduce 'care miles' (telecare, care closer) to<br>home?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it promote active travel (cycling, walking)?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it improve access to opportunities and facilities<br>for all groups?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it specify social, economic and environmental<br>outcomes to be accounted for in procurement and<br>delivery?  | 0  |                                |   |
| Procurement              | Will it stimulate innovation among providers of<br>services related to the delivery of the organisations'<br>social, economic and environmental objectives? | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it promote ethical purchasing of goods or<br>services?   | 0  |                                |   |
| Procurement              | Will it promote greater efficiency of resource use?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it obtain maximum value from pharmaceuticals<br>and technologies (medicines management,<br>prescribing, and supply chain)?                             | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it support local or regional supply chains?  | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it promote access to local services (care closer to home)?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                          | Will it make current activities more efficient or alter<br>service delivery models  | 0  |                                |   |
| Facilities<br>Management | Will it reduce the amount of waste produced or<br>increase the amount of waste recycled?<br>Will it reduce water consumption?                               | 0  |                                |   |

**43 |** Page

| Domain                          | Objectives   | Impact of activity<br>Negative = -1<br>Neutral = 0<br>Positive = 1<br>Unknown = ?<br>Not applicable =<br>n/a | Brief description of<br>impact | If negative, how can it be<br>mitigated?<br>If positive, how can it be<br>enhanced? |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Workforce                       | Will it provide employment opportunities for local<br>people?  | 0  |                                |   |
|                                 | Will it promote or support equal employment opportunities?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                                 | Will it promote healthy working lives (including health and safety at work, work-life/home-life balance and family friendly policies)?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                                 | Will it offer employment opportunities to<br>disadvantaged groups?   | 0  |                                |   |
| Community<br>Engagement         | Will it promote health and sustainable<br>development?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                                 | Have you sought the views of our communities in<br>relation to the impact on sustainable development<br>for this activity?   | N/a  |                                |   |
| Buildings                       | Will it improve the resource efficiency of new or<br>refurbished buildings (water, energy, density, use<br>of existing buildings, designing for a longer<br>lifespan)?                 | 0  |                                |   |
|                                 | Will it increase safety and security in new buildings and developments?  | 0  |                                |   |
|                                 | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions from<br>transport (choice of mode of transport, reducing<br>need to travel)?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                                 | Will it provide sympathetic and appropriate<br>landscaping around new development?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                                 | Will it improve access to the built environment?   | 0  |                                |   |
| Adaptation to<br>Climate Change | Will it support the plan for the likely effects of<br>climate change (e.g. identifying vulnerable groups;<br>contingency planning for flood, heat wave and<br>other weather extremes)? | 0  |                                |   |

| Domain         | Objectives  | Impact of activity<br>Negative = -1<br>Neutral = 0<br>Positive = 1<br>Unknown = ?<br>Not applicable =<br>n/a | Brief description of<br>impact | If negative, how can it be<br>mitigated?<br>If positive, how can it be<br>enhanced? |
|----------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Models of Care | Will it minimise 'care miles' making better use of<br>new technologies such as telecare and telehealth,<br>delivering care in settings closer to people's<br>homes? | 0  |                                |   |
|                | Will it promote prevention and self-management?   | 0  |                                |   |
|                | Will it provide evidence-based, personalised care<br>that achieves the best possible outcomes with the<br>resources available?                                      | 0  |                                |   |
|                | Will it deliver integrated care, that co-ordinate<br>different elements of care more effectively and<br>remove duplication and redundancy from care<br>pathways?    | 0  |                                |   |

#### 26. APPENDIX 3 ABBREVIATIONS

| Term   | Definition   |
|--------|--|
| CCA    | Civil Contingencies Act (2004)   |
| CCG    | Clinical Commissioning Groups  |
| DPH    | Director of Public Health  |
| EPRR   | Emergency preparedness, resilience and response  |
| LHRP   | Local Health Resilience Partnership  |
| LRF    | Local Resilience Forum   |
| PHE    | Public Health England  |
| COMAH  | Control of Major Accident Hazards  |
| DPH    | Director of Public Health  |
| EPRR   | Emergency Preparedness Resilience & Response   |
| ICC    | Incident Control Centre for Major Incidents  |
| IMT    | Incident Management Team   |
| IRP    | Incident Response Plan   |
| MACA   | Military Aid to the Civilian Authorities include   |
|        | - Military Aid to the Civil Communities (MACC)   |
|        | <ul> <li>Military Aid to the Civil Minitries (MACM) e.g. assistance in the<br/>event of industrial action</li> </ul>         |
|        | - Military Aid to the Civil Powers (MACP), assistance to the Police  |
| MACR   | Major Accident Control Regulations   |
| OOH    | Out of Hours   |
| PRC    | Prepared Rest Centre Local authority organised centre for evacuees   |
| -      | from an incident   |
| RH     | Receiving hospital A & E Hospital designated to receive casualties   |
|        | from a major incident  |
| REPPIR | Radiation (Emergency Preparedness & Public Information)  |
|        | Regulations 2001   |
| SCC    | Strategic Command Centre   |
| SCG    | Strategic Coordinating Group   |
| STAC   | Science & Technical Advice Cell  |
| TCG    | Tactical Coordinating Group - Multi-agency group of operational<br>managers leading the tactical response in North Yorkshire |

**46 |** Page



Item 16

# Chair's Report: Executive Committee

| Date of<br>Meeting | 5 June, 5 and 17 July 2019 |
|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Chair              | Phil Mettam                |

# Areas of note from the Committee Discussion

The Committee has reviewed a range of corporate issues. These included the Eligible Mileage Policy, staff survey, accommodation leases, the support from eMBED going forward, and developing talent management.

The Committee approved the harmonisation of a number of commissioning statements to mirror NHS Scarborough and Ryedale CCG. These included breast surgery and vasectomy.

Financial matters discussed included the in-year position, prescribing incentive schemes and capital funding for general practice.

The Committee considered a number of service issues including the GP out of hours contract, Health Navigator, complex rehabilitation, and how to respond to the priorities set at a national level for the next ten years.

### Areas of escalation

None

### **Urgent Decisions Required/ Changes to the Forward Plan**

None



#### Item 17

# Chair's Report: Audit Committee

| Date of<br>Meeting | 11 July 2019 |
|--------------------|--------------|
| Chair              | Phil Goatley |

# Areas of note from the Committee Discussion

- Audit Committee was pleased to hear from our internal auditors that significant assurance has been gained by them about the effectiveness of contract management processes in the CCG. There is a limited exception to this audit opinion with the provision of adequate contracting arrangements with continuing healthcare providers formerly reporting to Scarborough and Ryedale CCG. Here work is underway to establish NHS standard contracts for all nursing home and domiciliary care providers by September 2019. A similar level of significant assurance was also gained by our internal auditors on the systems and controls in place to deliver the QIPP programme.
- With the exception of the value for money conclusion due to the level of deficit in the CCG's Annual Accounts for 2018/19, we received a largely positive statutory Annual Audit Letter for 2018/19 from our external auditors. Amongst other things the external auditor told us that the audit for 2018/19 has provided evidence that:
  - The CCG acts in the public interest demonstrating and applying the principles and values of sound governance. No matters related to governance required a report by the external auditor or have been drawn to the Audit Committee's attention during the last year.
  - The CCG understands and uses appropriate and reliable financial and performance information to support informed decision making.
  - No significant internal control issues have been identified through the work of internal or external auditors.
- Audit Committee members approved the draft Audit Committee Annual Report for 2018/19 which sets out how the Audit Committee has met its Terms of Reference and discharged its responsibilities for the year ended 31 March 2019. This will be presented to the Governing Body for ratification.

# Areas of escalation

N/A

# Urgent Decisions Required/ Changes to the Forward Plan

N/A



Item 18

# **Chair's Report: Finance and Performance Committee**

| 27 June and 25 July 2019 |
|--------------------------|
|                          |
| David Booker             |
| -                        |

# Areas of note from the Committee Discussion

# 27 June

- The Committee requested continued reporting on the progress relating to the achievement of QIPP savings. A detailed narrative of progress, challenges and mitigation is required alongside financial reporting.
- Noting the deterioration in performance the Committee agrees the principle that the CCG should become more proactive in the understanding and management of referrals from primary care to the Emergency Department. AL and MC to action this.
- The Committee noted the risk to the CCG and wider system regarding the reprocurement of GP IT and Corporate IT services and supports the Executive Team to take positive action.
- The Committee sought assurance on the extent to which regulators would support a managed procurement process for GP IT and Corporate IT to avoid operational risk and system fragmentation.

25 July

- The Committee welcomed the analysis by Dr Andrew Lee of Emergency Department attendances, GP referrals, and diagnostic and disposal measures. The Committee also welcomed the determination of senior staff to devote leadership time and intervention to better understand and redesign the system.
- The Committee noted continuing concerns regarding the CCG's achievement of the required QIPP savings.
- The Committee recommended that the Governing Body approve the two year extension to the Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust Mental Health Contract.

- The Committee approved the extension of the existing contract with The Retreat for adult autism and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder assessment for an additional 12 months to allow for development of an all age strategy.
- The Committee reviewed options for commissioning support services and agreed direct award as the appropriate procurement option for GP IT and Corporate IT contract.

# Areas of escalation

As described above.

# **Urgent Decisions Required/ Changes to the Forward Plan**

N/A



Item 19

# Chair's Report: Primary Care Commissioning Committee

| Date of   | 11 July 2019 |
|-----------|--------------|
| Meeting   |              |
| Chair     | David Booker |
| (Interim) |              |

#### Areas of note from the Committee Discussion

The Committee:

- Requested that the potential for establishment of a Joint Strategic Needs Assessment for the Vale of York be explored with City of York Council and North Yorkshire County Council. PM to lead on this.
- Emphasised that the Primary Care Estates Strategy should comprise key components of the CCG's aspirations to achieve the Ten Year NHS Plan working with partners.
- Noted that all requirements for the 1 July 2019 "go-live" date for the Network Contract Directed Enhanced Service had been met and expressed appreciation for this achievement to all involved.
- Expressed appreciation for the positive work undertaken by staff in support of primary care.

#### Areas of escalation

N/A

# **Urgent Decisions Required/ Changes to the Forward Plan**

N/A

| Item | Number: | 20 |
|------|---------|----|
|------|---------|----|

Name of Presenter: Dr Andrew Lee

Meeting of the Governing Body

Date of meeting: 5 September 2019



# **Report Title – Medicines Commissioning Committee Recommendations**

Purpose of Report (Select from list) For Information

#### **Reason for Report**

These are the latest recommendations from the Medicines Commissioning Committee: June and July 2019.

# Strategic Priority Links

□ Strengthening Primary Care

□Reducing Demand on System

□Fully Integrated OOH Care

□Sustainable acute hospital/ single acute

contract

# Local Authority Area

CCG Footprint

□City of York Council

□ Transformed MH/LD/ Complex Care

- $\Box$  System transformations
- □ Financial Sustainability

□ East Riding of Yorkshire Council □ North Yorkshire County Council

| Impacts/ Key Risks | Risk Rating |
|--------------------|-------------|
| □Financial         |             |
| □Legal             |             |
| Primary Care       |             |
| □Equalities        |             |
|                    |             |
| Emerging Risks     |             |
|                    |             |
|                    |             |

| Impact Accoccments   |  |
|--|--|
| Impact Assessments   |  |
| Please confirm below that the impact assessment risks/issues identified. N/A             | s have been approved and outline any   |
| <ul> <li>Quality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Data Protection Impact Assessment</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Equality Impact Assessment</li> <li>Sustainability Impact Assessment</li> </ul> |
| Risks/Issues identified from impact assessme   | nts:   |
| Recommendations  |  |
| For information only   |  |
| CCG Executive Committee have approved these  | recommendations  |
| Decision Requested (for Decision Log)  |  |
| (For example, Decision to implement new system<br>new system)                            | / Decision to choose one of options a/b/c for  |
|  |  |
| Responsible Executive Director and Title   | Report Author and Title  |
| Dr Andrew Lee<br>Director of Primary Care and Population Health                          | Faisal Majothi<br>Senior Pharmacist  |

# Recommendations from York and Scarborough Medicines Commissioning Committee June 2019

|     | Drug name   | Indication  | Recommendation, rationale and place in therapy  | RAG status                | Potential full year cost impact  |
|-----|---|-------------|---|---------------------------|--|
| CCC | G commissioned Tec  | hnology App | oraisals  |                           | -  |
| 1.  | Nil this month  |             |   |                           |  |
| NHS | SE commissioned Te  | chnology Ap | opraisals – for noting  |                           |  |
| 2.  | TA578: Durvalumab for treating<br>locally advanced unresectable<br>non-small-cell lung cancer after<br>platinum-based chemoradiation                  |             | Durvalumab monotherapy is recommended for<br>use within the Cancer Drugs Fund as an option<br>for treating locally advanced unresectable non-<br>small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in adults whose<br>tumours express PD-L1 on at least 1% of<br>tumour cells and whose disease has not<br>progressed after platinum-based<br>chemoradiation only if they have had<br>concurrent platinum-based chemoradiation | RED                       | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned.                                  |
| 3.  | TA579: Abemaciclib with<br>fulvestrant for treating hormone<br>receptor-positive, HER2-<br>negative advanced breast<br>cancer after endocrine therapy |             | Abemaciclib with fulvestrant is recommended<br>for use within the Cancer Drugs Fund as an<br>option for treating hormone receptor-positive,<br>human epidermal growth factor receptor 2<br>(HER2)-negative locally advanced or<br>metastatic breast cancer in people who have<br>had endocrine therapy only if exemestane plus<br>everolimus would be the most appropriate<br>alternative.                  | RED                       | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned.                                  |
| 4.  | TA580: Enzalutamide for<br>hormone-relapsed non-<br>metastatic prostate cancer  |             | Enzalutamide is not recommended, within its marketing authorisation, for treating high-risk hormone-relapsed non-metastatic prostate cancer in adults.  | BLACK for this indication | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned not approved by NICE.             |
| 5.  | TA581: Nivolumab with<br>ipilimumab for untreated<br>advanced renal cell carcinoma  |             | Nivolumab with ipilimumab is recommended for<br>use within the Cancer Drugs Fund as an option<br>for adults with untreated advanced renal cell<br>carcinoma that is intermediate- or poor-risk as<br>defined in the International Metastatic Renal<br>Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium criteria.  | RED                       | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned.                                  |
| 6.  | TA582: Cabozantinib for<br>previously treated advanced<br>hepatocellular carcinoma<br>(terminated appraisal)  |             | NICE is unable to make a recommendation<br>about the use in the NHS of cabozantinib for<br>previously treated advanced hepatocellular<br>carcinoma because Ipsen Ltd did not provide<br>Page 214 of 221   | BLACK for this indication | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned and appraisal terminated by NICE. |

|      |  |   |                                    | chincar commissioning droup  |
|------|--|---|------------------------------------|--|
|      |  | an evidence submission. The company has<br>confirmed that it does not intend to make a<br>submission for the appraisal because there is<br>unlikely to be sufficient evidence that the<br>technology is a cost-effective use of NHS<br>resources in this population.  |                                    |  |
| Forr | nulary applications or amendme   | nts/pathways/guidelines   |                                    |  |
| 7.   | Topical Gabapentin Gel 6%<br>45g   | The MCC recommended the use of Topical<br>Gabapentin Gel 6% for vulvodynia only<br>following a majority vote.<br>Note this is unlicensed special with a very<br>limited published evidence base, with the most<br>evidence in the management of vulvodynia.   | RED                                | YFT requested recharge to CCGs.<br>Expect 20-30 patients per year<br>Cost in secondary care = Gabapentin 6%<br>Topical gel 45g £65.26 per tube (assume 1<br>tube lasts 28 days).   |
| 8.   | Ciclosporin 1mg/ml eye drops<br>(Verkazia®)  | Approved for treatment of severe vernal<br>keratoconjunctivitis (VKC) in children from 4<br>years of age and adolescents as licensed<br>alternative to unlicensed product that is<br>currently used.<br>Should be used during the VKC season. If<br>signs and symptoms of VKC persist after the<br>end of the season, the treatment can be<br>maintained at the recommended dose (FOUR<br>times a DAY) or decreased to one drop TWICE<br>a DAY once adequate control of signs and<br>symptoms is achieved. Treatment should be<br>discontinued after signs and symptoms are<br>resolved, and reinitiated upon their recurrence. | AMBER Specialist<br>Recommendation | Estimate 3 patients per annum<br>Cost per patient for 1 month QDS dosing =<br>£288<br>Assume 3 months total (2 month in primary<br>care) per patient = £576<br>Assume 12 month total (11 month in primary<br>care) per patient = £3,168<br>Assume 12 months total (3 at QDS and then 9<br>at BD dosing) = £1,872 |
| 9.   | Melatonin for Rapid Eye<br>Movement Sleep Behaviour<br>Disorder (RBD) in Parkinson's<br>Disease.                   | Approved by MCC for use in Parkinson's<br>disease for this group of patients only subject to<br>rating scale to assess outcome/benefit being<br>developed.<br>Recommended by NICE in NG71   | AMBER Specialist recommendation    | Anticipate approx. 20 patients per annum.<br>As patients would generally failed clonazepam<br>then it is anticipated this would be new cost<br>and dependant upon the dose of melatonin<br>used (2-6mg usual range) would be (£3,740<br>- £11,220) per annum for 20 patients                                     |
| 10.  | Norethisterone and<br>Medroxyprogestone to delay or<br>defer menstruation during a<br>forthcoming holiday or event | Agreed that MCC should not have formulary<br>position on this but that each GP practice could<br>have their own policy if they wished.<br>Should be prescribed at GP discretion.  | n/a                                | No significant cost to CCGs expected.  |

NHS Vale of York **Clinical Commissioning Group** 

|     |  |  |           | Clinical Commissioning Group  |
|-----|--|--|-----------|---|
| 11. | Amidarone Shared Care<br>Guideline   | <ul> <li>Update of expired shared care guideline<br/>approved. Changes as follows:</li> <li>Opthalmology monitoring - Checked with Dr<br/>Gale and he is happy to continue not<br/>recommending annual ophthalmology<br/>screening.</li> <li>Thyroid monitoring – minor change to<br/>frequency</li> </ul>   | Amber SCG | No significant cost to CCGs expected.   |
| 12. | Biologic Pathway for Psoriatic<br>Arthritis  | New pathway for use of Biologics in RA<br>approved.<br>Pathway follows NICE guidance and relevant<br>NICE TAs. Noted all biologics are currently<br>RED drugs  | n/a       | No significant cost to CCGs expected as all the proposals are current practice and promotes use of the most cost-effective biologics. |
| 13. | Biologic Pathway for<br>Ankylosing Spondylitis and<br>Axial SpA                                  | New pathway for use of Biologics in RA<br>approved.<br>Pathway follows NICE guidance and relevant<br>NICE TAs. Noted all biologics are currently<br>RED drugs.   | n/a       | No significant cost to CCGs expected as all the proposals are current practice and promotes use of the most cost-effective biologics. |
| 14. | TEWV Valproate Shared Care<br>Protocol to support Pregnancy<br>Prevention Programme<br>(updated) | Updated shared care from TEWV approved.<br>Only change is update reference to new annual<br>risk acknowledgement form to be used which<br>now allows for exceptions for need to<br>contraception if deemed other reasons that<br>patient not at risk of pregnancy whilst on<br>valproate containing medicines.   | n/a       | No significant cost to CCGs expected as all the proposals are current practice.   |
| 15. | TEWV Anxiety Medication<br>Pathway for Adults  | <ul> <li>Updated pathway from TEWV approved.</li> <li>Changes are as follows:</li> <li>Updated to reflect new NICE guidelines on PTSD (NG116): <ul> <li>o Mirtazapine, phenelzine and amitriptyline removed;</li> <li>o Venlafaxine and fluoxetine supported by NICE (step 3);</li> <li>o All the antipsychotics now supported by NICE (step 5);</li> <li>o Added a note to step 4 options to indicate that, although not supported by NICE, may be useful options to avoid having to use antipsychotics</li> </ul> </li> <li>Removed clomipramine completely given its</li> </ul> | n/a       | No significant cost to CCGs expected as all the proposals are current practice.   |
|     |  | <ul> <li>Removed clomipramine completely given its<br/>scarcity and probable demise at some point<br/>– no longer appropriate to initiate it<br/>Page 216 of 221</li> </ul>  |           |   |

Vale of York Clinical Commissioning Group

|     |  |   |     | chincal commissioning Group   |
|-----|--|---|-----|---|
|     |  | <ul> <li>Added fluoxetine to step 3 for OCD in place<br/>of clomipramine (licensed)</li> <li>Removed imipramine and added venlafaxine<br/>(supported by Maudsley) at step 3 for panic<br/>disorder; step 4 changed from SNRI to<br/>duloxetine (as venlafaxine moved to step 3)</li> <li>Added note to propranolol (step 1 adjunct) to<br/>warn patients of side-effects</li> </ul>   |     |   |
| 16. | TEWV Safe Lithium Prescribing<br>and Shared Care | <ul> <li>Updated shared care guideline from TEWV<br/>approved. Changes as follows:</li> <li>The changes are highlighted in the document<br/>attached and are as follows:</li> <li>Addition of a flowchart (appendix 1)<br/>summarising the process for initiation;</li> <li>Requirement to enhance patient information<br/>and understanding at initiation of the<br/>importance of 12 hour post-dose blood<br/>sampling (a request has also been made to<br/>enhance national patient information<br/>leaflets);</li> <li>Added responsibilities for TEWV clinicians<br/>and GPs in reporting and/or documenting<br/>when blood samples are known to have<br/>been taken outside the recommended 12-14<br/>hour post-dose window;</li> <li>Definition of "stable" in relation to moving<br/>from weekly to 3-monthly monitoring of<br/>lithium levels;</li> <li>Additional warning about switching dose<br/>equivalence if switching form tablets to liquid</li> </ul> | n/a | No significant cost to CCGs expected as all the proposals are current practice.   |
| 17. | Deprescribing Proton Pump<br>Inhibitors          | New document to support primary care<br>clinicians in deprescribing proton pumps<br>inhibitors due to risks of inappropriate long-term<br>PPI use approved.   | n/a | May result in cost saving to CCGs if patients do<br>not continue on PPIs longer than is necessary.<br>Unable to quantify potential savings. |

# Recommendations from York and Scarborough Medicines Commissioning Committee July 2019

|     | Drug name  | Indication           | Recommendation, rationale and place in therapy   | RAG status | Potential full year cost impact  |  |  |
|-----|--|----------------------|--|------------|--|--|--|
| CCG | CCG commissioned Technology Appraisals   |                      |  |            |  |  |  |
| 1.  | TA583: Ertugliflozir<br>metformin and a di<br>peptidase-4 inhibito<br>treating type 2 diab | peptidyl<br>or for   | <ul> <li>Ertugliflozin with metformin and a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor is recommended as an option for treating type 2 diabetes in adults when diet and exercise alone do not provide adequate glycaemic control, only if:</li> <li>the disease is uncontrolled with metformin and a DPP-4 inhibitor, and</li> <li>a sulfonylurea or pioglitazone is not appropriate.</li> <li>If patients and their clinicians consider ertugliflozin to be 1 of a range of suitable treatments, including canagliflozin, dapagliflozin and empagliflozin, the least expensive should be chosen.</li> </ul> | GREEN      | No significant cost to CCGs expected, this is<br>because the technology is a further treatment<br>option and is available at a similar price to<br>alternatives. |  |  |
| NHS | SE commissioned T  | echnology A          | ppraisals – for noting   |            |  |  |  |
| 2.  | TA584: Atezolizum<br>combination for tre<br>metastatic non-squ<br>small-cell lung can      | ating<br>Iamous non- | <ul> <li>Atezolizumab plus bevacizumab, carboplatin<br/>and paclitaxel is recommended as an option for<br/>metastatic non-squamous non-small-cell lung<br/>cancer (NSCLC) in adults:</li> <li>who have not had treatment for their<br/>metastatic NSCLC before and whose PD-<br/>L1 tumour proportion score is between 0%<br/>and 49% or</li> <li>when targeted therapy for epidermal<br/>growth factor receptor (EGFR)-positive or<br/>anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-positive<br/>NSCLC has failed.</li> </ul>   | RED        | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned.  |  |  |
| 3.  | TA585: Ocrelizuma<br>treating primary pro<br>multiple sclerosis                            |                      | Ocrelizumab is recommended, within its<br>marketing authorisation, as an option for<br>treating early primary progressive multiple<br>sclerosis with imaging features characteristic of<br>inflammatory activity in adults. It is<br>recommended only if the company provides it<br>according to the commercial arrangement.   | RED        | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned.  |  |  |

NHS Vale of York **Clinical Commissioning Group** 

|     | Chinical Commissioning Group   |   |                      |   |  |  |
|-----|--|---|----------------------|---|--|--|
| 4.  | TA586: Lenalidomide plus<br>dexamethasone for multiple<br>myeloma after 1 treatment with<br>bortezomib | <ul> <li>Lenalidomide plus dexamethasone is recommended as an option for treating multiple myeloma in adults only if:</li> <li>they have had only 1 previous therapy, which included bortezomib, and</li> <li>the company provides it according to the commercial arrangement.</li> </ul>   | RED                  | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned.   |  |  |
| 5.  | TA587: Lenalidomide plus<br>dexamethasone for previously<br>untreated multiple myeloma                 | <ul> <li>Lenalidomide plus dexamethasone is<br/>recommended as an option for previously<br/>untreated multiple myeloma in adults who are<br/>not eligible for a stem cell transplant, only if:</li> <li>thalidomide is contraindicated (including for<br/>pre-existing conditions that it may<br/>aggravate) or</li> <li>the person cannot tolerate thalidomide, and</li> <li>the company provides lenalidomide<br/>according to the commercial arrangement.</li> </ul> | RED                  | No cost impact to CCGs as NHS England commissioned.   |  |  |
| For | mulary applications or amendme   | ents/pathways/guidelines  |                      |   |  |  |
| 6.  | Melatonin in children with primary insomnia  | The MCC recommend not to commission<br>melatonin to treat primary insomnia in children<br>where this is the sole indication.<br>N.B. Remains AMBER shared care for<br>treatment of sleep disorders in children with<br>visual problems and learning difficulties,<br>cerebral palsy, autistic spectrum disorders,<br>complex neurodisabilities, and Chronic sleep<br>disorders in children & young people with<br>neurodevelopmental disorders.                         | BLACK                | Potential cost saving to CCG if these patients reviewed and treatment stopped.  |  |  |
| 7.  | Apomorphine (Dacepton) in<br>Parkinson's Disease   | Approved for treatment of motor fluctuations<br>("on-off" phenomena) in patients with<br>Parkinson's disease which are not sufficiently<br>controlled by oral anti-Parkinson medication as<br>to alternative to Apo-go® brand of<br>apomorphine which is already on the formulary.<br>Dacepton has a longer expiry once opened,<br>safer to use as a pump and the same price as<br>APO-go. New patients will be started on<br>Dacepton.                                 | AMBER Shared<br>Care | No significant cost impact to CCGs expected.<br><u>PENS</u><br>Drug purchase costs of APO-GO pen and Dacepton<br>pen are similar (£123.91/5 vs £123/5). Savings will be<br>achieved due to the ability to use the Dacepton pen<br>for more than 24 hours ( APO-Go pens have an in<br>use expiry of 24 hours).<br>The cost saving will differ in each patient according to<br>their dose, with the greatest cost savings for patients<br>who are prescribed doses between 3mg/day and<br>14mg/day. The savings for these doses will be<br>between £3624.82/year and £332.52/year |  |  |
|     |  | Page 210 of 221   |                      |   |  |  |

**NHS** Vale of York **Clinical Commissioning Group** 

|     |  |  |                                    | Chinical Commission   | ing croup  |
|-----|--|--|------------------------------------|---|--|
|     |  |  |                                    | respectively per patient per annum.   |  |
| 8.  | Semaglutide for type 2   | The MCC approved that semaglutide would  | GREEN                              | INFUSION<br>Volume for volume, the drug purchase<br>Go and Dacepton solution for infusion<br>The cost of the consumables are also<br>less if the Dacepton pump is changed<br>compared with daily with APO-Go (£<br>No cost to CCG expected. Cost ne | are similar.<br>comparable or<br>every 2 days<br>105 vs £142). |
| 0.  | diabetes   | replace exenatide once weekly on the   | GREEN                              |   | eutral.  |
|     | diabetes   | formulary. Exenatide will be for continuation<br>only. Semaglutide has established<br>cardiovascular outcome data and one pen<br>provides four doses, other GLP-1 receptor   |                                    | Product   | Monthly<br>primary<br>care cost                                |
|     |  | agonists have one dose per pen.<br>Use would be in line with current Type 2 local  |                                    | Exenetide (Bydureon) 2mg<br>prefilled pen (x4 pens)   | £73.36   |
|     |  | pathway, but would be used specifically for the following cohort :   |                                    | Dulaglutide 0.75mg (x4 pens)  | £73.25   |
|     |  | <ul> <li>Use when the patient requires a GLP-1<br/>receptor agonist and would prefer a weekly<br/>preparation and</li> </ul>   |                                    | Dulaglutide 1.5mg (x4 pens)<br>Semaglutide (Ozempic) 0.5mg and  | £73.25<br>£73.25   |
|     |  | Have established cardiovascular disease.<br>(see application for evidence) or     The surrout CLD 1 recenter appliet has   |                                    | 1mg prefilled pen (x 1 pen with 4 doses)  |  |
|     |  | <ul> <li>The current GLP-1 receptor agonist has<br/>not achieved sufficient clinical response<br/>in terms of HbA1c or weight reduction or</li> <li>Another GLP-1 receptor agonist has<br/>caused local skin reactions at the site of<br/>injection.</li> </ul>  |                                    |   | L]   |
| 9.  | Fixapost® (Latanoprost +<br>Timolol P/F) for glaucoma          | The MCC approved that the combination<br>product Fixaprost® is cost saving in<br>comparison to using the individual preservative<br>free products. It is use will be in line with the  | AMBER Specialist<br>Recommendation | Reduction in cost for using combir<br>instead of separate preparation of<br>free eye drops  | •  |
|     |  | current glaucoma pathway for patients with proven sensitivity to preservatives.  |                                    | Cost saving = £15.98 (as separate<br>£13.49 (as combination product)<br>unit doses  | • •  |
| 10. | Hydrochlorothiazide containing<br>products – review of current | The MCC recommend to black list products containing hydrochlorothiazide. There was a DSU in Dec 2018 from the MHRA warning of a risk of non-melanoma skin caped with the state of the state | BLACK                              | No cost to CCG expected. Potenti savings.   | al cost  |
|     |  | 3  |                                    |   |  |

**NHS** Vale of York

Clinical Commissioning Group

|     |  |   |       | Clinical Commissioning Group   |
|-----|--|---|-------|--|
|     | prescribing  | hydrochlorothiazide.<br>There are no hydrochlorothiazide containing<br>products currently listed in the formulary and<br>there are currently no combination products for<br>hypertension listed in the formulary.   |       | Indapamide 1.5mg modified-release tablets x30<br>= $\pounds$ 3.40<br>Indapamide 2.5mg tablets x28 = 93p<br>Enalapril 20mg tablets x28 = $\pounds$ 1.82<br>Enalapril 5mg tablets x28 = $\pounds$ 1.64<br>Co-amilozide 2.5mg/25mg tablets x28 = $\pounds$ 7.35<br>Co-amilozide 5mg/50mg tablets x28 = $\pounds$ 1.67                                   |
| 11. | Hydrocortisone granules<br>(Alkinid®) for children | The MCC recommended that Alkinid® should<br>be first-line treatment for infants and young<br>children with adrenal insufficiency aged from<br>birth to less than six years of age for whom<br>hydrocortisone must otherwise be individually<br>prepared by manipulation such as by<br>compounding (or crushing) or by production of<br>special solutions in order to produce age-<br>appropriate doses, or hydrocortisone given as<br>off-label buccal tablets. | AMBER | Alkindi ® 8 to 15mg/m <sup>2</sup> /day in three to four<br>divided doses (ie 6.5mg to 12mg daily) = £3,194<br>to £ 5,897 per year per patient.<br>Hydrocortisone 10mg tablets 8 to 15mg/m <sup>2</sup> /day<br>in three to four divided doses = £1,239 per year<br>per patient<br>Assume £2,000 to £5,000 additional cost per<br>patient per annum. |
| 12. | Monitoring following discontinuation of amiodarone | The MCC recommend that TFTs and LFTs<br>should be monitored 6 months and 12 months<br>after stopping amiodarone as per national<br>guidance from the British Thyroid Foundation.  | n/a   | No significant cost to CCGs expected.  |
| 13. | CMPA & Baby Milk Guidance                          | The MCC agreed to remove updating the current local CMPA & Baby Milk Guidance from its workplan due to lack of resources currently to support this work and other current priorities.   | n/a   | Updating current CMPA & Baby Milk Guidance<br>could potential lead to cost-savings to CCG due<br>to more cost-effective use and choice of<br>products.   |